



2010

Pennsylvania

Juvenile Court Dispositions



2010 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Hon. Tom Corbett, Governor

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN

Hon. Arthur E. Grim
Berks County

VICE-CHAIRMAN

Hon. Kevin M. Dougherty
Philadelphia County

SECRETARY

Hon. Lori A. Dumas Brooks
Philadelphia County

MEMBERS

Hon. Rea B. Boylan
Bucks County

Hon. Elizabeth K. Kelly
Erie County

Hon. John M. Cleland
Superior Court

Hon. H. William White
Venango County

Hon. Kathryn Hens-Greco
Allegheny County

Hon. Dwayne D. Woodruff
Allegheny County

James E. Anderson,
Executive Director

2010 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

CENTER FOR JUVENILE JUSTICE TRAINING AND RESEARCH SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY

ADMINISTRATION

John M. Cookus, Director
Stephen Bishop, Deputy Director
Rebecca Anderson
Heather Armstrong-Shugart
Chris Heberlig

INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

Linda B. Bender, Director

Julie Byers	Barbara Mull
Sharon Chamberlin	Christy Nailor
Susan Conner	Donna Reasner
Robert Diehl	Michael Tan
Randy Fisher	Steve Varner
Lanette Hutchison	Nancy Witter
Linda Miller	Tim Wright

DETENTION MONITORING

Seth Bloomquist, Director
Marlene Benedict

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

Center For Juvenile Justice Training And Research
Information And Technology Division
Shippensburg University
1871 Old Main Drive
Shippensburg, Pa. 17257-2299
www.jcjc.state.pa.us




This report provides an overview of juvenile court dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2010 and preceding years, and summarizes juvenile court statistical data provided by county juvenile probation departments. Without the support of the courts and juvenile probation departments, this report would not be possible.

Although the report focuses primarily on delinquency dispositions, information has again been included on dependency cases that have been referred to juvenile probation departments. While county children and youth agencies handle the overwhelming majority of cases involving dependent children in the Commonwealth, some juvenile probation departments provide services to children who are alleged to be dependent on the basis of ungovernability or chronic truancy.

The information contained in this report is of value to all individuals, agencies and organizations having an interest in the Pennsylvania juvenile justice system. However, it is not intended to reflect the total workload of juvenile courts or juvenile probation departments.

It is with great pleasure that we dedicate this report to the judges, probation officers, victim advocates, district attorneys, service treatment providers, and other professionals who work on a daily basis in the Pennsylvania juvenile court system.

Respectfully submitted,



James E. Anderson
Executive Director



Honorable Arthur E. Grim
Chairman



Highlights.....	1
Terms.....	2
Delinquency Dispositions	
Delinquency Dispositions, 2010 (Map)	3
Delinquency Dispositions, 2006-2010	4
Frequency of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions.....	5
Source of Allegation.....	5
Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2010	6
Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with No Attorney or Waived Attorney.....	7
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2010.....	8
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2006-2010	9
Delinquency Offenses	
Alleged Offenses, 2006-2010	10
Substantiated Offenses, 2006-2010	11
Most Serious Offenses, 2006-2010.....	12
Secure Detention	
Secure Detention Overview	13
Secure Detention Admissions, 2006-2010	14
Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2010	15
Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act	16
Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes.....	17
Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2010	18
Delinquency Placements	
Delinquency Placements, 2006-2010	19
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2006-2010.....	19
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2010 (Map)	20
New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements	21
Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2010	23
Disposition and Placement Reviews	
Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2010	24
Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2010	24
Demographics	
Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2010.....	25
Total Dispositions by Age, 2009-2010	26
Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2010.....	27
Educational Status	27
Family Status	28



Table of Contents

Race and Ethnicity.....	29
Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity.....	30
Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity.....	31
Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity.....	32

Dependency Dispositions

Dependency Dispositions, 2010	34
Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2010	35

Appendices

County Class and Population	Appendix 1
Delinquency Dispositions, 2006-2010	Appendix 2
Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2010	Appendix 4
Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2010	Appendix 6
Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2010	Appendix 10
Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2010	Appendix 12
Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2010	Appendix 14
Alleged Offenses by Type, 2010	Appendix 16
Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2010	Appendix 18
Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2010	Appendix 20
Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2010.....	Appendix 22
Secure Detention by Selected Codes	Appendix 24
Delinquency Placements, 2006-2010	Appendix 26
Delinquency Placements by Type, 2010.....	Appendix 28
Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2010	Appendix 30
Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2010	Appendix 32
Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2010	Appendix 34
Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2010	Appendix 36
Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2010	Appendix 40
Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2010.....	Appendix 42
Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2010.....	Appendix 44
Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2010.....	Appendix 46
Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity.....	Appendix 48
Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2010	Appendix 50
Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2010.....	Appendix 52
Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity	Appendix 54
Dispositions Resulting in Placement by Race and Ethnicity.....	Appendix 56
Dispositions Resulting in Secure Placement by Race and Ethnicity.....	Appendix 57
Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2010	Appendix 58

In this year's report, several changes to the format and presentation have been made that are intended to help summarize various trends in juvenile court processing throughout the Commonwealth. In addition to the graphs and charts, narrative has been added that is intended to help readers more quickly recognize various statewide trends, as well as highlight information not reflected in the visual displays.

The data within this year's report is generally organized in a manner that reflects the chronological processing of juvenile court delinquency cases. With the exception of pages 3-5 (*Delinquency Dispositions through Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions*), the data presented flows logically from allegation source through placement.

Within this report, some of the data is organized and presented in graphs by Class County. This will help readers make comparisons across counties of similar size and demographic composition.

While some county-specific data is presented within the body of the report, all of the raw data for each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties remains available for viewing. For each section within the report, please reference the appendices to review county specific data on that topical area.

We draw your attention to the following statistical highlights of 2010:

- There were 38,978 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2010. This represents a 6.2% decrease from 2009, and a 14.5% decrease since 2007 (page 4).
- Statewide secure detention admissions have declined each year since 2006. This represents a 16.4% overall decrease since 2006, and a 3.6% decrease since 2009 (page 14).
- Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2007, and have decreased by 15.4% since 2006 (page 19).
- The majority of all delinquency dispositions involved White Non-Hispanic youth (45.4%), followed in descending order by Black Non-Hispanic youth (40.4%) and Hispanic youth (10.1%). The additional category of Multi-Racial has been added to the data on race and ethnicity, which accounted for 1.5% of all dispositions (page 30).

In addition to this annual disposition report, another source of information on juvenile court delinquency dispositions is the Pennsylvania Juvenile Delinquency Data Analysis Tool (JDDAT). The JDDAT application was developed to facilitate independent analysis of annual dispositions reported to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission (JCJC) by juvenile probation departments throughout the Commonwealth and can be accessed at www.jcjc.state.pa.us.

Please note, the data contained in JDDAT is updated on a regular basis by all sixty-seven juvenile probation departments and therefore may not match the information contained in this report.

The JDDAT was developed for the JCJC by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice and it is maintained by the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research.



This report is a compilation of the data collected from the juvenile probation departments in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.


As in the past, to avoid confusion regarding the unit of count used in tabulating juvenile court statistics and to improve the understanding of how data can be interpreted, please note:

1. The primary unit of count is the *disposition*. A *disposition* is defined as an allegation disposed of by the juvenile probation department and/or the court. Within a single delinquency allegation, a youth may be charged with a number of offenses that may result in multiple petitions. Any one youth may be involved in a number of dispositions within a calendar year. In addition, a youth may be referred on more than one occasion and receive only one disposition. Dependency dispositions include only those referrals to probation departments alleging dependency that are not accompanied by allegations of delinquency. Therefore, one is cautioned NOT to attempt to interpret dispositions as: a) the number of youth who are processed by the court; b) the number of offenses charged to juveniles.
2. There are times when you will see the word *case* used to describe the data. In this report, the word *case* is used interchangeably with the word *disposition*;
3. The *unit of count* refers to a case disposed of by a juvenile court and/or juvenile probation department. The term *disposed* means that a definite action/decision has been implemented or that a treatment plan has been decided upon or begun;
4. The statistical system is constructed to collect up to the seven (7) most serious offenses per delinquency disposition;
5. The Commission collects additional information on *placement reviews*, *disposition reviews*, and selected special conditions attached to a disposition. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement. A *placement review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did involve out-of-home placement.
6. The data in the secure detention section of this report were collected in conjunction with the JCJC Secure Detention Monitoring Program. This information should not be compared with detention data collected and reported prior to 1989.
7. The reader is cautioned that in the tables containing rows with “percent of total”, the row may not total 100 percent due to rounding.

Custom reports, including any information contained in tables included in previous reports that are not included in this report, can be obtained by contacting the Director of Juvenile Justice Information and Technology at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training & Research at Shippensburg University, 717-477-1412. The report is available on the Juvenile Court Judges’ Commission website at www.jcjc.state.pa.us.



Delinquency Dispositions, 2010







Delinquency Dispositions

Delinquency Dispositions, 2006-2010

There were 38,978 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2010. This represents a 6.2% decrease from 2009, and a 14.5% decrease since 2007. A significant majority (65%) of the total dispositions occurred in the eleven jurisdictions reporting more than 1,000 dispositions (see map on page 3.)

Dispositions resulting from Disposition and Placement Reviews, or Dependency referrals are not included.




The Commonwealth's largest counties, Allegheny and Philadelphia, reported decreases from 2009 of 8% and 21% respectively (see insert).



Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions


Consent Decree, Probation, and Informal Adjustment continue to be the most frequent dispositions, representing approximately half (49.4%) of all dispositions. The percentage of Warned/Counseled/Case Closed dispositions increased from 6.5% in 2009 to 11.3% in 2010, primarily due to Delaware County, which reported 2,231 such cases in 2010, compared to 313 in 2009. The majority of these Delaware County cases were referred in prior years and closed in 2010. Complaint Withdrawn decreased from 7.2% in 2009 to 5.8% in 2010, while Placement utilization remained relatively stable – 6.6% to 6.4% - from 2009 to 2010.



This data does not include dispositions resulting from Disposition or Placement Review hearings.

Source of Allegation


The Police and Magisterial District Judges continue to be the primary sources of delinquency allegations – 66.9% and 25.4% respectively. It should be noted that Delaware County reported 2,097 referrals from Magisterial District Judges in 2010, which represents a 1,346% increase from 2009, and 21.2% of the total number of Magisterial District Judge referrals statewide.





Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2010


Legal representation provided by Public Defenders continued to be the most common form of representation in delinquency proceedings during 2010. Legal representation was provided by Court Appointed or Private Counsel in a little more than 1/4 of delinquency proceedings. (An entry of Court Appointed includes cases where counsel, other than the public defender, was appointed by the court.) Delinquency proceedings were conducted without defense counsel (waived or not present) in less than 1% of all delinquency proceedings.




A breakdown of dispositions where attorney representation was waived and where no attorney was present is shown in the accompanying charts. Of the 77 cases in 2010 in which an attorney was waived, approximately 82% of those cases resulted in a disposition of Consent Decree or Probation. Of the 47 cases in which an attorney was not present, approximately 70% of those cases resulted in a disposition of Warned, Case Closed or Fines/Costs Ordered.

The data on attorney representation include only the dispositions that occurred at a formal proceeding, defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. Informally handled cases, reviews, and dependency cases are not included.

Proceedings with No Attorney
Total Cases = 47



Proceedings with Waived Attorney
Total Cases = 77





* No out-of-home placement dispositions resulted from these proceedings.



Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with Waived Attorney or No Attorney, 2010

Shown below are the counties that reported any dispositions with waived attorney or no attorney present. With the exception of Clearfield County, the average percentage of proceedings with waived representation is 2.7% of all formal proceedings in those counties. The average percentage of proceedings with no attorney present is 1.3% of all formal proceedings in the counties listed.





Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2010

Statewide, cases handled through informal proceedings were disposed of sooner than cases handled through formal proceedings. Significant distinctions in time lapse occurred in cases resulting in dispositions of Fines/Costs Ordered (3 times longer for formal proceedings) and Informal Adjustment (twice as long for formal proceedings).

A formal proceeding is defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. The time lapse is measured from the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition.

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Formal

(Note: In the 33 cases resulting in a disposition of Informal Adjustment, the petition was withdrawn at the hearing.)

TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
OTHER DISPOSITIONS	1,248.....	40.5
PLACEMENT.....	2,479.....	54.5
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	12.....	58.0
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	137.....	59.0
CONSENT DECREE	5,911.....	71.0
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	1,147.....	74.0
PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	313.....	74.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	511.....	79.0
WARNED,CASE CLOSED.....	703.....	86.5
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	1,240.....	88.0
PROBATION.....	6,416.....	89.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED.....	432.....	100.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT.....	33.....	119.0
DISMISSED.....	1,273.....	141.0
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	5.....	167.0
TOTAL.....	21,860.....	81.0

Informal


In cases resulting in a disposition of Placement (1) or Probation (10), the disposition was ordered by the court, but no post-petition hearing was held.

TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	109.....	19.0
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	161.....	35.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED	3,543.....	35.0
PLACEMENT.....	1.....	38.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT.....	5,702.....	47.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	256.....	50.0
OTHER DISPOSITIONS	1,413.....	54.0
CONSENT DECREE	1,199.....	70.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	1,004.....	71.0
DISMISSED.....	6.....	73.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED	3,714.....	78.0
PROBATION.....	10.....	89.0
TOTAL.....	17,118.....	62.0




Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2006-2010

As shown in the charts, the time lapse for formal proceedings has increased by 11% overall since 2006. However, since peaking in 2008, the time lapse in formal proceedings has decreased by 9% through 2010.




The time lapse for informal proceedings has increased in each year since 2006, including a 51% increase overall during this time period, and a 26.5% increase from 2009. The significant increase for informal proceedings is related to an increase in reported dispositions resulting from informal proceedings in Delaware County, from 391 in 2009 to 2,344 in 2010.





Alleged Offenses, 2006-2010

Continuing in 2010, there was a sharp decline statewide in alleged offenses. Person and Property offenses have shown the sharpest decline – decreases of 31.1% and 35.7% respectively – since 2008. Drug offenses have also declined significantly over the same time period, 24.9% overall. The decrease in all Other offenses has been less drastic, 10.4% since 2008. (*The Other offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Non-Payment offenses; and Firearms offenses.*)




Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions, the seven most serious charges per disposition were collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2010 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



Substantiated Offenses, 2006-2010

Similar to alleged offenses, the total number of substantiated offenses has steadily declined in recent years. Substantiated Property and all Other offenses have seen the most significant decline (24.6% and 23.5% respectively since 2008). Drug offenses have declined by 13.6% since 2008, while Person offenses have declined by 10.7% overall during the same time period. It should be noted that Person offenses actually increased from 2008 to 2009, but declined significantly from 2009 to 2010. (*The Other offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Non-Payment offenses; and Firearms offenses.*)



Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions, the seven most serious charges per disposition were collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2010 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)




Most Serious Offenses, 2006-2010

The chart at top right shows the statewide total of the most serious alleged charges from 2006-2010. Only the most serious of these charges per disposition is depicted. The Crime Severity key to the right shows the crime severity ranking employed for coding purposes. For instance, a disposition with an alleged drug charge and none of the other listed alleged charges (rape, robbery, aggravated assault) was counted in the drug category. A disposition with an alleged aggravated assault charge, and no alleged rape or robbery charges was counted in the aggravated assault category. A disposition with an alleged robbery charge and no alleged rape charge was counted in the robbery category. Any disposition with an alleged rape charge was counted in the rape category.

There were 298 alleged rape charges in 2010, representing a 15.6% decrease from 2009. Also of interest, the 1,584 alleged robbery charges in 2010 represents a 20.1% decrease from 2009. Aggravated Assault charges decreased by 15.8% from 2009, while drug charges decreased 9.8%.


The chart at bottom right shows the most serious substantiated charges. The same Crime Severity Ranking methodology utilized for coding alleged offenses was employed here. For the reasons explained previously, the reader is again cautioned not to compare these figures to those in reports prior to 1997.

There were 44 substantiated rape charges in 2010, representing a 13.7% decrease from 2009. Of interest, there were 516 substantiated aggravated assault charges representing a 2.8% increase from 2009, while the alleged aggravated assault charges showed a 15.8% decrease from 2009. Substantiated robbery charges decreased 12.8% from 2009 and substantiated drug charges decreased 9.8%.



CRIME SEVERITY

1. Rape
2. Robbery
3. Aggravated Assault
4. Drug





Secure Detention Overview

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's "Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under the Juvenile Act" were developed to guide decision making regarding juvenile detention usage in Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system. Compliance with these standards is required for counties participating in the Commission's Grant-in-Aid Program, with the exception of Philadelphia.

In accordance with the Standards Governing Secure Detention, all counties report their secure detention admissions to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission. Detention information appearing in this Disposition Report, with the exception of Philadelphia data, was extracted from the secure detention database at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research. Philadelphia's data is maintained in a separate database. The secure detention database is populated with data reported directly from juvenile detention centers each month. For the first time, secure detention admissions and length of stay data includes Philadelphia.


When interpreting the data contained in these tables, the reader should note the following:

1. The unit of count is the admission of a juvenile to a secure detention center;
2. A juvenile who is admitted to a secure detention center and subsequently transferred to another secure detention center without release is counted as two admissions.



Secure Detention Admissions, 2006-2010

Statewide, detention admissions have declined each year since 2006. This represents a 16.4% overall decrease since 2006. Admissions in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia also decreased each year, representing an even more significant overall decrease – 31.4% since 2006. *Philadelphia and Allegheny County account for 35.2% and 20.3%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions.*



Detention admission trends in Allegheny County and Philadelphia were not as stable over this time period as in other jurisdictions. While Allegheny County showed a 5.3% decrease from 2006 to 2008, Philadelphia had a 12.1% increase in admissions. Conversely, Philadelphia showed a 9.9% decrease from 2008 to 2010, while Allegheny County had a 7.4% increase. As shown in the chart above, in 2008 Allegheny County had a low in detention admissions, while Philadelphia reported its highest number, for the time period. Overall, however, each jurisdiction yielded negligible increases in detention admissions from 2006 to 2010 – 1.7% in Allegheny County and 1.1% in Philadelphia.

PERCENTAGE CHANGE OF DETENTION ADMISSIONS				
	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10
STATEWIDE	-3.1	-3.1	-7.6	-3.6
ALLEGHENY	-3.6	-1.8	+6.9	+0.5
PHILADELPHIA	+0.9	+11.1	-5.2	-4.9
REMAINING COUNTIES	-5.2	-11.6	-14.4	-4.3



Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2010

In 2010, the statewide median length of stay in secure detention was 9 days, which is a decrease of 1 day from 2009. The table below shows the median length of stay by county, from greatest to least number of days. Multiple counties with identical length of stay are listed alphabetically. This data, sorted by greatest to least number of admissions can be found in the appendix.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
WARREN	1	69.0
MCKEAN	6	49.0
SULLIVAN	1	44.0
JEFFERSON	4	21.0
MONTGOMERY	482	21.0
BUTLER	8	20.0
SUSQUEHANNA	4	20.0
NORTHAMPTON	288	19.5
CHESTER	376	19.0
BERKS	382	18.0
WAYNE	10	15.5
CAMBRIA	77	15.0
CLEARFIELD	47	15.0
COLUMBIA	17	15.0
LEHIGH	512	15.0
LANCASTER	384	14.5
BEAVER	109	14.0
YORK	219	14.0
CLINTON	20	13.5
FRANKLIN	80	13.5
LUZERNE	112	13.5
DELAWARE	1,058	13.0
WYOMING	15	13.0
HUNTINGDON	17	12.0
JUNIATA	12	12.0
MONROE	130	11.5
SOMERSET	22	11.5
BUCKS	793	11.0
ERIE	262	11.0
PERRY	21	11.0
POTTER	10	10.5
DAUPHIN	549	10.0
LYCOMING	81	10.0
SNYDER	13	10.0

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
CUMBERLAND	67	9.0
ELK	6	9.0
MIFFLIN	33	9.0
WESTMORELAND	274	9.0
BEDFORD	3	8.0
CARBON	13	8.0
LEBANON	70	8.0
WASHINGTON	229	8.0
ADAMS	30	7.0
BLAIR	45	7.0
PIKE	14	6.5
ARMSTRONG	3	6.0
BRADFORD	12	6.0
CENTRE	29	6.0
FAYETTE	28	5.5
ALLEGHENY	3,384	5.0
LACKAWANNA	334	5.0
SCHUYLKILL	29	5.0
UNION	4	5.0
INDIANA	11	4.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	4.0
TIOGA	25	4.0
PHILADELPHIA	5,854	2.0
CAMERON	0	0.0
CLARION	0	0.0
CRAWFORD	0	0.0
FOREST	0	0.0
FULTON	0	0.0
GREENE	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0
MERCER	0	0.0
MONTOUR	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
TOTAL	16,636	9.0**

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.

* Includes 360 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (109); Butler (8); Fayette (14); Washington (229).

** This does not include Philadelphia secure detention admissions.



Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act

Each month, all juvenile detention centers, with the exception of the Youth Study Center in Philadelphia, report a Statement of Facts and Reasons that must accompany every probation-authorized admission to secure detention. Below are the Section Codes related to this process. Philadelphia data is not included in any charts presenting information pertaining to particular JCJC Detention Standard Codes.

- 100 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of an alleged offense or on the basis of an alleged offense and the child's current status with the court or prior record.

- 200 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of a child's status as an absconder or fugitive; on the basis of a child's record of failing to appear at previous juvenile proceedings; or because of extraordinary circumstances which require secure detention to prevent a child from absconding.

- 300 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the written request of the child or child's attorney.

- 400 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered pending disposition, subsequent to a finding that a child committed a delinquent act or is a delinquent child.

- 500 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered following disposition pending transfer to placement.


- 600 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of the child's status, pending or subsequent to a disposition review proceeding.

- Code 701** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes

In 2010, the overwhelming majority of secure detention admissions were authorized under the 100 and 200 Codes – 30.2 and 48.3% respectively. This trend has remained constant since 2006.




Special attention is given to the utilization of Codes 206, 701, and Unknown. In instances where secure detention is authorized under Codes 206 or 701, the juvenile probation officer is required to provide a detailed written explanation of the reasons that necessitate secure detention. These codes were intended to be utilized in limited circumstances where none of the other codes are applicable.

Code 206 - The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child's age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child's family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction and substance abuse.)

Code 701 - Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.


The reporting of Unknown, as shown in this report, indicates that a code was not reported to the JCJC. Secure detention admissions that are authorized without codes are not in compliance with JCJC Standards.

The utilization of Codes 206, 701, as well as admissions with an Unknown code, accounted for 48.2% of all secure detention admissions in 2010. Since 2006, the utilization of Code 206 has increased each year – from 32.1% of total admissions in 2006 to 36.5% in 2010. Conversely, however, the utilization of Code 701 as a percentage of total admissions has decreased over the same time period – from 10% in 2006 to 6.5% in both 2009 and 2010.





Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2010




** For years 2005-2007, this facility was referred to as Luzerne.



Delinquency Placements including Disposition Reviews, 2006-2010

Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2007, with an overall decrease of 15.4% since 2006. Placements in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia decreased each year since 2006, representing an even more significant overall decrease of 26% in these jurisdictions. Delinquency placement


trends in Allegheny County mirrored statewide trends, as the number of commitments decreased each year, with the exception of 2009 (which remained the same from 2008). Overall, placements in Allegheny County have decreased by 26.8% from 2006 through 2010. In 2010, Philadelphia placements were 13.8% higher than in 2006. Placements in Philadelphia peaked in 2008, and then decreased in each of the last two years, by 3.9% and 1.8% respectively.



Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2006-2010

The number of placements as a percentage of total dispositions has remained relatively stable statewide, decreasing slightly from 8.5% in 2009 to 8.3% in 2010. However, the number of placements as a percentage of dispositions has shown a greater decrease since 2006, from 10.3% to 8.3% in 2010. In all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia the number of placements as a percentage of dispositions has decreased from 12.8% in 2006 to 9.5% in 2010.

See the map on the next page for individual county delinquency placements as a percentage of total dispositions.





New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements

The following chart depicts the relative percentage of placements that resulted from new allegations of delinquency versus disposition review proceedings. *A disposition review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.*

The percentage of both new allegations and disposition reviews of total placements is shown for each county by Class County.

Statewide, the majority of delinquency placements (60.5%) were ordered as a result of a disposition review. This figure, however, is significantly impacted by Philadelphia, which accounts for 36.1% of all delinquency placements statewide, and where 92% of all delinquency placements were ordered as a result of a disposition review.

Across all other Class County groups, the average distribution of delinquency placements is 53.8% from new allegations and 46.2% from disposition review. Class 7 is the only Class County group, except Philadelphia, with a majority of delinquency placements originating from disposition reviews (54.7%).

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 1			
PHILADELPHIA	7.8%	92.2%	2269
CLASS 2			
ALLEGHENY	50.9%	49.1%	521
CLASS 2A (AVERAGE)	60%	40%	
BUCKS	48.6%	51.4%	286
DELAWARE	41.5%	58.5%	330
MONTGOMERY	91.2%	8.8%	296
CLASS 3 (AVERAGE)	57%	43%	
BERKS	55.4%	44.6%	157
CHESTER	41.5%	58.5%	135
DAUPHIN	75.9%	24.1%	166
ERIE	76.0%	24.0%	217
LACKAWANNA	47.9%	52.1%	73
LANCASTER	66.0%	34.0%	100
LEHIGH	70.0%	30.0%	220
LUZERNE	36.9%	63.1%	84
NORTHAMPTON	51.3%	48.7%	113
WESTMORELAND	44.3%	55.7%	131
YORK	61.1%	38.9%	198
CLASS 4 (AVERAGE)	53%	47%	
BEAVER	38.9%	61.1%	36
BUTLER	47.5%	52.5%	40
CAMBRIA	60.0%	40.0%	15
CUMBERLAND	50.0%	50.0%	18
FAYETTE	78.6%	21.4%	14
SCHUYLKILL	58.5%	41.5%	41
WASHINGTON	38.9%	61.1%	72
CLASS 5 (AVERAGE)	55%	45%	
BLAIR	42.9%	57.1%	14
CENTRE	73.3%	26.7%	15
FRANKLIN	74.7%	25.3%	75
LAWRENCE	26.7%	73.3%	15
LEBANON	64.3%	35.7%	42
LYCOMING	17.0%	83.0%	53
MERCER	89.1%	10.9%	46
MONROE	66.7%	33.3%	117
NORTHUMBERLAND	39.3%	60.7%	28



Delinquency Placements

New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements continued

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 6 (AVERAGE)	53%	47%	
ADAMS	54.5%	45.5%	22
ARMSTRONG	42.9%	57.1%	7
BEDFORD	50.0%	50.0%	12
BRADFORD	28.6%	71.4%	7
CARBON	50.0%	50.0%	10
CLARION	37.5%	62.5%	16
CLEARFIELD	53.8%	46.2%	13
CLINTON	52.9%	47.1%	17
COLUMBIA	46.7%	53.3%	15
CRAWFORD	58.3%	41.7%	24
ELK	90.0%	10.0%	10
GREENE	50.0%	50.0%	10
HUNTINGDON	100.0%	0.0%	5
INDIANA	50.0%	50.0%	2
JEFFERSON	64.3%	35.7%	14
MCKEAN	71.4%	28.6%	7
MIFFLIN	33.3%	66.7%	12
PIKE	50.0%	50.0%	24
SOMERSET	20.0%	80.0%	5
SUSQUEHANNA	42.9%	57.1%	7
TIOGA	28.6%	71.4%	7
VENANGO	61.9%	38.1%	21
WARREN	78.6%	21.4%	14
WAYNE	50.0%	50.0%	10
CLASS 7 (AVERAGE)	45%	55%	
JUNIATA	36.4%	63.6%	11
PERRY	80.0%	20.0%	10
SNYDER	21.4%	78.6%	14
UNION	60.0%	40.0%	5
WYOMING	28.6%	71.4%	7
CLASS 8 (AVERAGE)	56%	44%	
FULTON	66.7%	33.3%	3
MONTOUR	0.0%	100.0%	2
POTTER	100.0%	0.0%	1


Cameron, Forest and Sullivan Counties are not shown because these counties each reported zero delinquency placement dispositions in 2010.



Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2010 Including Disposition Reviews

Delinquency placements to private institutions continue to represent the most frequent type of delinquency placements in 2010 – more than 45% of all placements. Commitments to drug and alcohol programs, as well as group home commitments, represented almost 25% of all delinquency placements, while wilderness-based, boot camp and all secure programs (private and DPW/YDC) comprise another 22%.


These trends have shown negligible change from 2009, with a few exceptions: the utilization of Wilderness-based programs increased from 4.9% in 2009 to 6.4% in 2010; and boot camp utilization decreased from 7.7% in 2009 to 4.8% in 2010.





Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2010

Although the majority of delinquency placements resulted from disposition reviews (see page 21), only 1 in 10 disposition review proceedings resulted in a delinquency placement. The vast majority of disposition reviews resulted in an order of Continued on Previous Disposition. "Withdrawn" indicates that the motion for review was withdrawn at the hearing.





Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2010

A placement review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which resulted in out-of-home placement.

The majority of delinquency placement review proceedings resulted in an order of "Continued in Previous Placement".

In the small percentage of placement reviews in which a transfer to another placement was ordered, most transfers were to a "private institution".






Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2010


Juvenile Court dispositions in Pennsylvania continue to primarily involve males. As reflected in the charts, the proportion of delinquency dispositions involving males, as compared to the proportion for all dispositions, increases as a case penetrates further through the juvenile justice system. The distribution of dispositions by gender for 2010 has remained relatively stable from 2009 figures.

All Dispositions




SELECTED DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL
ALL DISPOSITIONS	38,978
CONSENT DECREE	7,110
PROBATION	6,739
PLACEMENTS	2,480
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	137

Consent Decree




Probation




Placements

(Does not include disposition reviews)




Transferred to Criminal Proceedings





Total Dispositions by Age, 2009-2010

Seventeen-year olds accounted for 9,912 (25.4%) of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2010, representing the most dispositions for any age group. Juveniles who were age 16 accounted for 8,235 (21.1%), while juveniles who were age 18 and over accounted for 6,604 or 16.9%, and juveniles who were age 15 accounted for 6,126 (15.7%) of the dispositions in 2010. This data remains consistent with that of 2009, in which seventeen year olds accounted for 26.6%, sixteen year olds accounted for 22.2% and fifteen year olds accounted for 16.8% of juvenile delinquency dispositions. However, dispositions for juveniles age 18 and over increased to 16.9% in 2010 from 12.8% in 2009. The significant increase in the number of juveniles age 18 and over is related to an increase in dispositions involving juveniles age 18 and over in Delaware County. This increased from 458 in 2009 to 2,267 in 2010, representing one-third of all dispositions involving juveniles age 18 and over.



This table reflects the age of the juvenile at the time of disposition.
 Note: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2010


The following charts reflect the distribution of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2010 by the selected variables. JCJC only requires the reporting of these variables when a hearing occurs and/or the juvenile is seen by a juvenile probation officer. Therefore the category “Not Reported” is listed.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.


Educational Status

The vast majority of delinquency dispositions in 2010 were for youth reported as “In School” at the time of disposition. Nearly 60% of youth were reported as having completed grades 9-12 or as being in an “Other” educational program. This figure is interesting when contrasted with the data on dispositions by age, where nearly 80% of all dispositions were for youth ages 15-18 or older (typical ages for grades 9-12). This gap of approximately 20% could be indicative of low academic performance, and falling behind grade level, which is a common correlate of delinquency. The category “Other” could include a college or technical school, or an alternative education setting such as home schooling or night classes.

School Status 2010



Highest Grade Completed 2010






Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2010


Family Status

In juvenile delinquency dispositions, youth whose biological parents were reported as Never Married represents the largest group – almost 40%. In only 16% of cases, the biological parents were reported as Married at the time of disposition.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.



The trends regarding the living arrangements of juveniles are more glaring. Nearly 44% of youth resided only with their mother, while only 16.4% of juveniles reside with both biological parents.





Race and Ethnicity

On the following pages, specific data on race and ethnicity trends related to Juvenile Court dispositions are provided. A few points of clarification regarding the reading and interpreting of this data should be noted.

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research collects data on race and ethnicity from each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. The collection of this data is done in concert with the protocols outlined in Guidelines for Collecting & Recording the Race and Ethnicity of Juveniles in Conjunction with Juvenile Delinquency Disposition Reporting to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission (National Center for Juvenile Justice, 2006). As such, race and ethnicity are collected and recorded as two separate and distinct sets of data.



For the purposes of this disposition report, and for the convenience of the reader to be able to more readily note trends related to both, race and ethnicity are presented within the same charts, graphs, and tables. References to racial or ethnic groups are defined as follows:

<i>White Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and White for race
<i>Black Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and Black for race
<i>Multi-Racial Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one or more categories for race
<i>Hispanic</i>	reported as Hispanic for ethnicity regardless of reported race
<i>Other and Unknown</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one of the following races: Asian, Pacific Islander, Native Hawaiian, Native Alaskan, or Native American; or race and ethnicity was reported as unknown



Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity

In 2010, White Non-Hispanic youth represented the largest racial/ethnic category for all delinquency dispositions. However, in comparison to the racial/ethnic distribution of all youth in Pennsylvania, ages 10-17, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth is disproportionate – 12.8% of the population versus 40.4% of all dispositions. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, in remaining counties, Black-Non-Hispanic youth represent just 6.9% of the youth population, but 29.0% of all delinquency dispositions. Please see the appendix for the percentage of delinquency dispositions by race and ethnicity for each county and by Class County.



In Philadelphia, Black Non-Hispanic youth represented 46.4% of the total youth population and 76.3% of all delinquency dispositions. Allegheny County reported an even more significant disproportion, with Black Non-Hispanic youth representing 18.7% of the total youth population but 65.7% of all delinquency dispositions.

While statewide rates of disproportionality are not evident among Hispanic youth, the sparse distribution of the Commonwealth's Hispanic population impacts the statewide aggregate figures. Counties with significant Hispanic populations should review the appendix for county specific data on total and selected dispositions by race and ethnicity.







Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity

The following charts show the distribution of various dispositions and secure detention by race and ethnicity.



For delinquency dispositions that did not result in out of home placement (Informal Adjustment, Consent Decree and Probation), Black Non-Hispanic youth comprise a smaller proportion of cases, while White Non-Hispanic youth comprise a larger proportion of cases, than what is reflected in all delinquency dispositions. Conversely, for dispositions that resulted in an out of home placement (not including disposition and placement reviews), the proportion of both Hispanic (12.4%) and Black Non-Hispanic (43.2%) youth exceeds the representation in all delinquency dispositions.

The data reported on community-based dispositions, out of home placements, and secure detention, by race and ethnicity, indicate that, in 2010, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth were more likely to be removed from the home for services and less likely to receive a community-based intervention.






For secure detention, the rate of disproportionality statewide is more significant, with Black Non-Hispanic youth comprising approximately 62% of all secure detention admissions in 2010. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, in remaining counties, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth represent approximately 15% and 39%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions. In Philadelphia, 79% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth and 12.7% involved Hispanic youth. In Allegheny County, 82% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth.



The category Multi-Racial is not collected within the secure detention database.






Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity

The following charts show the distribution of various placement types by race and ethnicity. In 2010, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth (63.4%) for all secure placement settings was disproportionate to what is reflected among all placement dispositions, 43.2%.





Similarly, Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised more than 60% of placement commitments to Private Institutions and DPW Open Youth Development Centers. Hispanic youth were disproportionately represented within Boot Camp programs, as well as DPW Secure Youth Development Centers and DPW Youth Forestry Camps.





Dependency Dispositions, 2010

In 1991, the Commission began collecting information on dependency cases that are processed by juvenile probation departments. These figures do not reflect the total number of dependency cases in the Commonwealth, nor do they represent the total number of dependency cases handled by juvenile probation departments. A dependency case is defined as a new referral that is processed by the juvenile probation department and that does not have accompanying delinquency allegations.

Only the counties that report dependency dispositions are shown in the tables in this section.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WARNED, COUNSELED, CASE CLOSED	DISMISSED	REFERRED TO ANOTHER AGENCY	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	CONSENT DECREE	OTHER	PLACEMENT	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION
BEAVER	45	2	14	0	3	0	0	10	16
BERKS	281	267	0	0	0	0	14	0	0
BRADFORD	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	127	9	8	2	78	1	0	0	29
ERIE	9	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	4
FRANKLIN	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
LUZERNE	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
MONTGOMERY	161	9	1	2	16	1	13	26	93
NORTHUMBERLAND	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
WESTMORELAND	47	31	0	3	12	0	0	1	0
TOTAL	686	323	23	10	112	2	36	38	142
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	47.1%	3.4%	1.5%	16.3%	0.3%	5.2%	5.5%	20.7%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2010

Age calculated at date of disposition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9 & UNDER	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+	NOT REPORTED
BEAVER	45	19	1	2	0	0	4	5	3	3	0	8
BERKS	281	0	0	1	2	9	33	66	115	52	3	0
BRADFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	127	1	2	1	10	11	24	37	35	5	1	0
ERIE	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	161	1	0	1	3	15	27	39	62	13	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
VENANGO	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	47	2	0	0	2	2	8	11	9	11	2	0
TOTAL	686	31	4	6	17	38	97	163	228	87	7	8
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	4.5%	0.6%	0.9%	2.5%	5.5%	14.1%	23.8%	33.2%	12.7%	1.0%	1.2%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Population Statistics

County Class and Population

COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17	COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17
Philadelphia	1	1,526,006	151,957	Adams	6	101,407	10,748
Allegheny	2	1,223,348	113,680	Armstrong	6	68,941	6,943
Bucks	2A	625,249	70,445	Bedford	6	49,762	5,182
Delaware	2A	558,979	61,684	Bradford	6	62,622	6,722
Montgomery	2A	799,874	85,881	Carbon	6	65,249	6,354
Berks	3	411,442	45,888	Clarion	6	39,988	3,692
Chester	3	498,886	58,000	Clearfield	6	81,642	7,942
Dauphin	3	268,100	28,880	Clinton	6	39,238	3,671
Erie	3	280,566	29,984	Columbia	6	67,295	5,954
Lackawanna	3	214,437	20,671	Crawford	6	88,765	9,515
Lancaster	3	519,445	58,048	Elk	6	31,946	3,323
Lehigh	3	349,497	38,703	Greene	6	38,686	3,624
Luzerne	3	320,918	30,958	Huntingdon	6	45,913	4,298
Northampton	3	297,735	30,971	Indiana	6	88,880	7,763
Westmoreland	3	365,169	35,725	Jefferson	6	45,200	4,509
York	3	434,972	47,235	McKean	6	43,450	4,326
Beaver	4	170,539	16,628	Mifflin	6	46,682	4,907
Butler	4	183,862	20,000	Pike	6	57,369	7,046
Cambria	4	143,679	13,285	Somerset	6	77,742	7,351
Cumberland	4	235,406	22,641	Susquehanna	6	43,356	4,607
Fayette	4	136,606	13,440	Tioga	6	41,981	4,077
Schuylkill	4	148,289	13,898	Venango	6	54,984	5,659
Washington	4	207,820	20,446	Warren	6	41,815	4,297
Blair	5	127,089	12,268	Wayne	6	52,822	5,227
Centre	5	153,990	11,121	Juniata	7	24,636	2,679
Franklin	5	149,618	16,159	Perry	7	45,969	5,127
Lawrence	5	91,108	9,326	Snyder	7	39,702	3,974
Lebanon	5	133,568	13,893	Union	7	44,947	3,822
Lycoming	5	116,111	11,135	Wyoming	7	28,276	3,009
Mercer	5	116,638	12,562	Cameron	8	5,085	528
Monroe	5	169,842	21,315	Forest	8	7,716	565
Northumberland	5	94,528	8,905	Fulton	8	14,845	1,611
				Montour	8	18,267	1,760
				Potter	8	17,457	1,898
				Sullivan	8	6,428	540

Note: 2010 census data retrieved from U.S. Census Bureau American Fact Finder.



Delinquency Dispositions, 2006-2010

The information in this section includes those cases referred to juvenile probation with alleged delinquent offenses. Disposition and Placement reviews, and dispositions involving children alleged to be dependent, are not included.

COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	% CHANGE 09-10
ADAMS	364	419	335	330	325	-1.5%
ALLEGHENY	4,611	4,692	4,591	4,620	4,251	-8.0%
ARMSTRONG	222	236	194	160	134	-16.3%
BEAVER	710	548	543	490	474	-3.3%
BEDFORD	127	74	90	53	52	-1.9%
BERKS	1,821	1,828	1,607	1,620	1,361	-16.0%
BLAIR	254	516	434	448	472	5.4%
BRADFORD	91	123	94	62	112	80.6%
BUCKS	1,650	1,790	1,548	1,477	1,321	-10.6%
BUTLER	323	364	316	308	322	4.5%
CAMBRIA	529	497	500	438	393	-10.3%
CAMERON	16	12	26	25	21	-16.0%
CARBON	225	183	184	157	116	-26.1%
CENTRE	173	249	202	167	149	-10.8%
CHESTER	1,059	1,088	1,041	1,202	1,030	-14.3%
CLARION	90	86	138	77	94	22.1%
CLEARFIELD	128	133	73	133	121	-9.0%
CLINTON	44	46	53	80	99	23.8%
COLUMBIA	291	286	220	165	159	-3.6%
CRAWFORD	203	203	292	280	236	-15.7%
CUMBERLAND	636	644	598	599	596	-0.5%
DAUPHIN	1,359	1,548	1,484	1,476	1,417	-4.0%
DELAWARE	1,705	1,631	2,049	1,948	3,565	83.0%
ELK	86	82	76	68	84	23.5%
ERIE	1,043	1,061	971	947	905	-4.4%
FAYETTE	443	383	371	366	327	-10.7%
FOREST	22	25	48	25	11	-56.0%
FRANKLIN	597	636	628	567	469	-17.3%
FULTON	29	31	21	28	29	3.6%
GREENE	140	177	137	107	77	-28.0%
HUNTINGDON	83	87	75	70	78	11.4%
INDIANA	176	179	150	118	127	7.6%
JEFFERSON	160	178	132	85	119	40.0%
JUNIATA	28	53	45	42	33	-21.4%



Delinquency Dispositions, 2006-2010

continued

COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	% CHANGE 09-10
LACKAWANNA	415	425	437	397	390	-1.8%
LANCASTER	1,528	1,494	1,501	1,269	1,022	-19.5%
LAWRENCE	383	382	366	322	403	25.2%
LEBANON	414	402	382	335	333	-0.6%
LEHIGH	1,506	1,521	1,257	1,554	1,473	-5.2%
LUZERNE	1,101	1,066	921	727	728	0.1%
LYCOMING	570	567	587	568	481	-15.3%
MCKEAN	110	105	113	95	65	-31.6%
MERCER	241	303	292	319	337	5.6%
MIFFLIN	104	85	112	75	134	78.7%
MONROE	484	481	430	444	378	-14.9%
MONTGOMERY	2,205	2,294	2,338	2,679	2,173	-18.9%
MONTOUR	20	55	36	29	33	13.8%
NORTHAMPTON	970	1,089	938	880	782	-11.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	534	502	582	582	492	-15.5%
PERRY	130	136	129	110	132	20.0%
PHILADELPHIA	8,894	8,796	8,572	7,756	6,115	-21.2%
PIKE	144	136	126	103	115	11.7%
POTTER	68	72	42	49	36	-26.5%
SCHUYLKILL	423	443	512	398	437	9.8%
SNYDER	159	174	134	113	119	5.3%
SOMERSET	145	178	123	127	83	-34.6%
SULLIVAN	14	16	15	2	7	250.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	103	80	89	87	88	1.1%
TIOGA	97	103	137	107	71	-33.6%
UNION	20	48	53	56	62	10.7%
VENANGO	182	181	150	122	156	27.9%
WARREN	125	144	135	87	96	10.3%
WASHINGTON	721	911	628	576	536	-6.9%
WAYNE	81	80	101	80	90	12.5%
WESTMORELAND	935	1,219	1,257	1,006	829	-17.6%
WYOMING	136	116	78	66	70	6.1%
YORK	1,539	1,881	1,915	1,703	1,633	-4.1%
TOTAL	43,939	45,573	43,754	41,561	38,978	-6.2%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
ADAMS	325	0.8%	10,748	31	13	3.0
ALLEGHENY	4,251	10.9%	113,680	2	10	3.7
ARMSTRONG	134	0.3%	6,943	39	42	1.9
BEAVER	474	1.2%	16,628	21	19	2.9
BEDFORD	52	0.1%	5,182	45	67	1.0
BERKS	1,361	3.5%	45,888	9	16	3.0
BLAIR	472	1.2%	12,268	28	8	3.8
BRADFORD	112	0.3%	6,722	40	56	1.7
BUCKS	1,321	3.4%	70,445	4	46	1.9
BUTLER	322	0.8%	20,000	20	60	1.6
CAMBRIA	393	1.0%	13,285	26	17	3.0
CAMERON	21	0.1%	528	67	7	4.0
CARBON	116	0.3%	6,354	41	48	1.8
CENTRE	149	0.4%	11,121	30	63	1.3
CHESTER	1,030	2.6%	58,000	7	51	1.8
CLARION	94	0.2%	3,692	56	29	2.5
CLEARFIELD	121	0.3%	7,942	35	61	1.5
CLINTON	99	0.3%	3,671	57	22	2.7
COLUMBIA	159	0.4%	5,954	42	24	2.7
CRAWFORD	236	0.6%	9,515	32	33	2.5
CUMBERLAND	596	1.5%	22,641	16	26	2.6
DAUPHIN	1,417	3.6%	28,880	15	3	4.9
DELAWARE	3,565	9.1%	61,684	5	1	5.8
ELK	84	0.2%	3,323	59	31	2.5
ERIE	905	2.3%	29,984	14	14	3.0
FAYETTE	327	0.8%	13,440	25	34	2.4
FOREST	11	0.0%	565	65	41	1.9
FRANKLIN	469	1.2%	16,159	22	18	2.9
FULTON	29	0.1%	1,611	64	50	1.8
GREENE	77	0.2%	3,624	58	40	2.1
HUNTINGDON	78	0.2%	4,298	51	49	1.8
INDIANA	127	0.3%	7,763	36	57	1.6
JEFFERSON	119	0.3%	4,509	49	25	2.6
JUNIATA	33	0.1%	2,679	61	65	1.2



Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
LACKAWANNA	390	1.0%	20,671	18	45	1.9
LANCASTER	1,022	2.6%	58,048	6	53	1.8
LAWRENCE	403	1.0%	9,326	33	4	4.3
LEBANON	333	0.9%	13,893	24	35	2.4
LEHIGH	1,473	3.8%	38,703	10	9	3.8
LUZERNE	728	1.9%	30,958	13	36	2.4
LYCOMING	481	1.2%	11,135	29	5	4.3
MCKEAN	65	0.2%	4,326	50	62	1.5
MERCER	337	0.9%	12,562	27	23	2.7
MIFFLIN	134	0.3%	4,907	47	21	2.7
MONROE	378	1.0%	21,315	17	52	1.8
MONTGOMERY	2,173	5.6%	85,881	3	30	2.5
MONTOUR	33	0.1%	1,760	63	47	1.9
NORTHAMPTON	782	2.0%	30,971	12	32	2.5
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	1.3%	8,905	34	2	5.5
PERRY	132	0.3%	5,127	46	28	2.6
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	15.7%	151,957	1	6	4.0
PIKE	115	0.3%	7,046	38	58	1.6
POTTER	36	0.1%	1,898	62	44	1.9
SCHUYLKILL	437	1.1%	13,898	23	12	3.1
SNYDER	119	0.3%	3,974	54	15	3.0
SOMERSET	83	0.2%	7,351	37	66	1.1
SULLIVAN	7	0.0%	540	66	64	1.3
SUSQUEHANNA	88	0.2%	4,607	48	43	1.9
TIOGA	71	0.2%	4,077	53	54	1.7
UNION	62	0.2%	3,822	55	59	1.6
VENANGO	156	0.4%	5,659	43	20	2.8
WARREN	96	0.2%	4,297	52	39	2.2
WASHINGTON	536	1.4%	20,446	19	27	2.6
WAYNE	90	0.2%	5,227	44	55	1.7
WESTMORELAND	829	2.1%	35,725	11	38	2.3
WYOMING	70	0.2%	3,009	60	37	2.3
YORK	1,633	4.2%	47,235	8	11	3.5
TOTALS	38,978	100.0%	1,308,982			3.0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
ADAMS	325	0	12	26	6	117	12	4
ALLEGHENY	4,251	6	34	564	237	859	0	679
ARMSTRONG	134	4	9	5	11	30	17	3
BEAVER	474	0	2	36	7	166	1	81
BEDFORD	52	0	2	0	0	4	1	1
BERKS	1,361	3	34	35	333	290	78	12
BLAIR	472	2	9	24	2	48	191	3
BRADFORD	112	4	1	6	0	12	1	4
BUCKS	1,321	1	73	108	9	195	126	4
BUTLER	322	2	32	17	9	13	0	3
CAMBRIA	393	6	18	7	26	1	67	59
CAMERON	21	0	0	1	3	8	0	1
CARBON	116	0	11	10	33	0	1	0
CENTRE	149	0	15	8	0	0	2	1
CHESTER	1,030	1	52	48	28	125	366	7
CLARION	94	0	9	4	3	10	3	4
CLEARFIELD	121	0	27	0	0	1	0	1
CLINTON	99	0	2	1	27	1	2	3
COLUMBIA	159	1	9	2	19	90	1	0
CRAWFORD	236	1	13	7	5	32	0	0
CUMBERLAND	596	0	84	18	176	118	0	4
DAUPHIN	1,417	12	52	38	98	107	119	42
DELAWARE	3,565	14	95	73	2,231	0	173	12
ELK	84	0	0	14	0	20	5	1
ERIE	905	1	13	23	97	257	0	4
FAYETTE	327	0	14	0	98	21	1	6
FOREST	11	0	0	5	1	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	469	1	20	56	2	41	50	4
FULTON	29	1	3	0	1	0	11	1
GREENE	77	0	2	2	0	42	2	1
HUNTINGDON	78	2	9	1	5	1	0	9
INDIANA	127	0	10	6	2	20	55	3
JEFFERSON	119	0	13	4	0	1	0	2
JUNIATA	33	0	4	0	0	0	2	1

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2010

continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	0	0	55	72	0	0	9	12
ALLEGHENY	0	0	848	419	174	9	157	265
ARMSTRONG	0	1	25	21	0	1	4	3
BEAVER	0	0	110	44	2	1	10	14
BEDFORD	0	0	20	16	0	0	2	6
BERKS	0	2	242	99	13	80	53	87
BLAIR	0	0	136	31	1	16	3	6
BRADFORD	0	0	44	30	0	3	5	2
BUCKS	1	0	259	302	0	31	73	139
BUTLER	0	0	69	98	3	25	32	19
CAMBRIA	1	1	125	66	0	7	0	9
CAMERON	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
CARBON	0	0	34	14	2	0	6	5
CENTRE	0	0	59	46	0	6	1	11
CHESTER	0	0	62	256	0	0	29	56
CLARION	0	0	19	33	0	0	3	6
CLEARFIELD	0	0	28	56	0	0	1	7
CLINTON	0	0	25	23	3	1	2	9
COLUMBIA	0	7	2	11	0	1	9	7
CRAWFORD	0	0	44	96	0	17	7	14
CUMBERLAND	0	1	149	23	1	5	8	9
DAUPHIN	0	2	382	266	19	112	42	126
DELAWARE	0	0	199	523	0	42	66	137
ELK	0	0	32	2	0	0	1	9
ERIE	0	1	144	127	48	13	12	165
FAYETTE	0	0	117	35	2	9	13	11
FOREST	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	0	1	95	123	0	15	5	56
FULTON	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	2
GREENE	0	1	5	14	0	1	2	5
HUNTINGDON	0	0	34	12	0	0	0	5
INDIANA	0	0	19	8	0	0	3	1
JEFFERSON	0	0	54	26	0	8	2	9
JUNIATA	0	0	14	8	0	0	0	4



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
LACKAWANNA	390	4	3	16	3	107	1	2
LANCASTER	1,022	2	15	152	105	259	1	9
LAWRENCE	403	0	11	7	35	205	1	13
LEBANON	333	11	23	11	13	35	11	11
LEHIGH	1,473	4	37	24	138	217	166	20
LUZERNE	728	2	22	19	56	82	293	8
LYCOMING	481	10	16	8	0	147	1	14
MCKEAN	65	0	10	2	2	2	0	0
MERCER	337	0	16	22	15	44	21	6
MIFFLIN	134	2	6	2	0	1	78	1
MONROE	378	1	19	79	1	12	4	10
MONTGOMERY	2,173	10	135	35	1	262	727	10
MONTOUR	33	0	8	2	0	2	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	782	2	63	24	44	198	39	7
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	4	31	4	31	95	185	0
PERRY	132	0	11	2	7	39	2	2
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	12	2	453	257	798	0	157
PIKE	115	0	8	1	0	0	28	1
POTTER	36	0	4	7	0	0	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	437	0	14	17	13	49	201	3
SNYDER	119	0	15	15	0	2	14	0
SOMERSET	83	1	6	18	11	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	2	3	4	0	12	2	0
TIOGA	71	1	6	6	0	0	8	2
UNION	62	0	14	1	2	8	3	0
VENANGO	156	0	4	47	0	8	0	4
WARREN	96	0	8	4	0	17	4	0
WASHINGTON	536	0	17	33	27	82	191	0
WAYNE	90	0	8	15	11	6	3	1
WESTMORELAND	829	2	34	11	166	115	48	19
WYOMING	70	3	10	8	0	2	6	1
YORK	1,633	2	45	46	10	297	646	18
TOTAL	38,978	137	1,308	2,244	4,417	5,735	3,975	1,279
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	0.4%	3.4%	5.8%	11.3%	14.7%	10.2%	3.3%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2010

continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	0	1	102	97	0	16	3	35
LANCASTER	0	1	36	353	0	7	16	66
LAWRENCE	0	0	48	70	0	4	5	4
LEBANON	0	0	127	53	0	2	9	27
LEHIGH	0	79	191	352	0	35	56	154
LUZERNE	0	1	78	90	0	24	22	31
LYCOMING	0	1	186	62	8	3	16	9
MCKEAN	0	0	12	25	0	2	5	5
MERCER	1	8	30	105	3	6	19	41
MIFFLIN	0	0	12	20	0	0	8	4
MONROE	0	0	31	137	1	0	5	78
MONTGOMERY	2	0	97	291	0	10	323	270
MONTOUR	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	0	5	89	170	0	28	55	58
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	0	47	43	9	23	9	11
PERRY	0	0	28	30	0	1	2	8
PHILADELPHIA	0	0	1,998	768	0	140	1,354	176
PIKE	0	0	17	45	0	0	3	12
POTTER	0	0	13	8	0	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	0	0	17	64	0	9	26	24
SNYDER	0	1	8	15	0	1	45	3
SOMERSET	0	0	15	28	0	1	2	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0
SUSQUEHANNA	0	0	20	29	0	2	11	3
TIOGA	0	0	25	16	0	0	5	2
UNION	0	1	7	22	0	0	1	3
VENANGO	0	2	22	54	0	0	2	13
WARREN	0	1	23	21	1	0	6	11
WASHINGTON	0	0	24	106	0	4	24	28
WAYNE	0	0	16	22	0	0	3	5
WESTMORELAND	0	2	143	175	14	1	41	58
WYOMING	0	0	2	33	0	3	0	2
YORK	0	1	174	199	9	42	23	121
TOTAL	5	121	7,110	6,426	313	767	2,661	2,480
% OF TOTAL	0.0%	0.3%	18.2%	16.5%	0.8%	2.0%	6.8%	6.4%

*The following counties had a total of 1,230 cases where the disposition was reported as either deferred adjudication or deferred placement with no reported adjudication of delinquency at the time of disposition. These dispositions have been included with the disposition of Consent Decree. (Bucks-31, Chester-1, Clinton-5, Erie-37, Franklin-1, Lackawanna-17, Lebanon-117, Lycoming-89, Mercer-5, Northumberland-1, Philadelphia-921, Venango-1, Washington-1, Westmoreland-2, Wyoming-1).



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	325	183	56.3%	123	37.8%	19	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	4,251	2,638	62.1%	951	22.4%	104	2.4%	5	0.1%	553	13.0%
ARMSTRONG	134	95	70.9%	29	21.6%	10	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	474	301	63.5%	151	31.9%	19	4.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%
BEDFORD	52	47	90.4%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.8%
BERKS	1,361	721	53.0%	576	42.3%	45	3.3%	0	0.0%	19	1.4%
BLAIR	472	244	51.7%	184	39.0%	13	2.8%	1	0.2%	30	6.4%
BRADFORD	112	110	98.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	1,321	961	72.7%	310	23.5%	37	2.8%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%
BUTLER	322	299	92.9%	0	0.0%	11	3.4%	1	0.3%	11	3.4%
CAMBRIA	393	272	69.2%	105	26.7%	6	1.5%	1	0.3%	9	2.3%
CAMERON	21	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	116	95	81.9%	14	12.1%	6	5.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
CENTRE	149	149	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	1,030	630	61.2%	352	34.2%	46	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
CLARION	94	65	69.1%	17	18.1%	12	12.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	121	119	98.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	99	76	76.8%	16	16.2%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	6	6.1%
COLUMBIA	159	68	42.8%	73	45.9%	17	10.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
CRAWFORD	236	177	75.0%	38	16.1%	18	7.6%	3	1.3%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	596	476	79.9%	88	14.8%	30	5.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
DAUPHIN	1,417	1,195	84.3%	179	12.6%	39	2.8%	0	0.0%	4	0.3%
DELAWARE	3,565	1,266	35.5%	2,097	58.8%	189	5.3%	0	0.0%	13	0.4%
ELK	84	66	78.6%	16	19.0%	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	905	690	76.2%	186	20.6%	16	1.8%	0	0.0%	13	1.4%
FAYETTE	327	215	65.7%	59	18.0%	25	7.6%	1	0.3%	27	8.3%
FOREST	11	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	469	363	77.4%	74	15.8%	10	2.1%	1	0.2%	21	4.5%
FULTON	29	28	96.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%
GREENE	77	40	51.9%	0	0.0%	4	5.2%	0	0.0%	33	42.9%
HUNTINGDON	78	76	97.4%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	127	65	51.2%	48	37.8%	14	11.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	119	80	67.2%	11	9.2%	28	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	33	31	93.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	390	369	94.6%	3	0.8%	10	2.6%	7	1.8%	1	0.3%
LANCASTER	1,022	735	71.9%	230	22.5%	48	4.7%	7	0.7%	2	0.2%
LAWRENCE	403	200	49.6%	193	47.9%	5	1.2%	4	1.0%	1	0.2%
LEBANON	333	320	96.1%	0	0.0%	12	3.6%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	1,473	988	67.1%	407	27.6%	62	4.2%	10	0.7%	6	0.4%
LUZERNE	728	319	43.8%	348	47.8%	29	4.0%	0	0.0%	32	4.4%
LYCOMING	481	296	61.5%	148	30.8%	36	7.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
MCKEAN	65	62	95.4%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%
MERCER	337	296	87.8%	27	8.0%	13	3.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
MIFFLIN	134	51	38.1%	77	57.5%	6	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	378	261	69.0%	98	25.9%	19	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	2,173	1,182	54.4%	921	42.4%	59	2.7%	0	0.0%	11	0.5%
MONTOUR	33	29	87.9%	0	0.0%	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	782	630	80.6%	106	13.6%	45	5.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	256	52.0%	211	42.9%	25	5.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	132	123	93.2%	0	0.0%	9	6.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	5,552	90.8%	0	0.0%	312	5.1%	0	0.0%	251	4.1%
PIKE	115	90	78.3%	10	8.7%	13	11.3%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%
POTTER	36	31	86.1%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	437	211	48.3%	201	46.0%	24	5.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
SNYDER	119	86	72.3%	9	7.6%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	23	19.3%
SOMERSET	83	52	62.7%	24	28.9%	7	8.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	7	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	88	78	88.6%	4	4.5%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%
TIOGA	71	54	76.1%	12	16.9%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%
UNION	62	59	95.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.2%
VENANGO	156	120	76.9%	24	15.4%	10	6.4%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
WARREN	96	67	69.8%	27	28.1%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	536	206	38.4%	214	39.9%	24	4.5%	2	0.4%	90	16.8%
WAYNE	90	56	62.2%	26	28.9%	8	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	829	479	57.8%	162	19.5%	55	6.6%	0	0.0%	133	16.0%
WYOMING	70	36	51.4%	8	11.4%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	23	32.9%
YORK	1,633	897	54.9%	682	41.8%	48	2.9%	0	0.0%	6	0.4%
TOTAL	38,978	26,060	66.9%	9,883	25.4%	1,628	4.2%	57	0.1%	1,350	3.5%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2010

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
ADAMS	168	114	67.9%	49	29.2%	5	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	3,110	2,232	71.8%	580	18.6%	297	9.5%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
ARMSTRONG	63	43	68.3%	14	22.2%	5	7.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%
BEAVER	148	111	75.0%	20	13.5%	17	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	47	40	85.1%	4	8.5%	3	6.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	566	375	66.3%	159	28.1%	32	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BLAIR	218	120	55.0%	73	33.5%	23	10.6%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%
BRADFORD	63	43	68.3%	4	6.3%	16	25.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	881	665	75.5%	74	8.4%	142	16.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUTLER	245	186	75.9%	47	19.2%	12	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	269	202	75.1%	39	14.5%	28	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	9	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	33	21	63.6%	9	27.3%	3	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	149	78	52.3%	34	22.8%	23	15.4%	14	9.4%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	471	328	69.6%	0	0.0%	143	30.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARION	72	46	63.9%	22	30.6%	4	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	80	30	37.5%	1	1.3%	13	16.3%	36	45.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	67	31	46.3%	32	47.8%	4	6.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	34	27	79.4%	0	0.0%	7	20.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	172	126	73.3%	30	17.4%	13	7.6%	0	0.0%	3	1.7%
CUMBERLAND	129	101	78.3%	22	17.1%	6	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	1,096	903	82.4%	24	2.2%	169	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	1,221	780	63.9%	28	2.3%	363	29.7%	11	0.9%	39	3.2%
ELK	21	19	90.5%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	519	428	82.5%	57	11.0%	34	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FAYETTE	70	64	91.4%	2	2.9%	4	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FOREST	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	233	5	2.1%	217	93.1%	11	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FULTON	12	6	50.0%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
GREENE	27	21	77.8%	1	3.7%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	69	55	79.7%	9	13.0%	5	7.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	32	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	60	52	86.7%	1	1.7%	7	11.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	33	26	78.8%	1	3.0%	6	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2010

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
LACKAWANNA	259	173	66.8%	0	0.0%	86	33.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	532	363	68.2%	104	19.5%	63	11.8%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%
LAWRENCE	127	126	99.2%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	274	206	75.2%	54	19.7%	14	5.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	667	528	79.2%	60	9.0%	70	10.5%	9	1.3%	0	0.0%
LUZERNE	276	210	76.1%	10	3.6%	56	20.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LYCOMING	315	311	98.7%	2	0.6%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	54	51	94.4%	0	0.0%	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	244	163	66.8%	58	23.8%	22	9.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
MIFFLIN	54	47	87.0%	5	9.3%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	292	189	64.7%	69	23.6%	33	11.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	947	793	83.7%	0	0.0%	154	16.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTOUR	29	26	89.7%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	428	304	71.0%	78	18.2%	46	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	106	59	55.7%	41	38.7%	6	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	84	66	78.6%	0	0.0%	18	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	4,883	3,660	75.0%	916	18.8%	307	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PIKE	101	56	55.4%	1	1.0%	44	43.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
POTTER	36	25	69.4%	7	19.4%	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	142	100	70.4%	24	16.9%	18	12.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	42	28	66.7%	12	28.6%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	41	37	90.2%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	7	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	60	43	71.7%	10	16.7%	7	11.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	55	47	85.5%	0	0.0%	8	14.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	44	29	65.9%	12	27.3%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	103	15	14.6%	82	79.6%	6	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	50	29	58.0%	16	32.0%	5	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	191	131	68.6%	33	17.3%	27	14.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE	54	52	96.3%	0	0.0%	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	477	389	81.6%	46	9.6%	39	8.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%
WYOMING	57	47	82.5%	3	5.3%	7	12.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	441	317	71.9%	83	18.8%	41	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	21,860	15,944	72.9%	3,290	15.1%	2,502	11.4%	77	0.4%	47	0.2%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2010

Time lapse information is calculated from the date of allegation to the date of disposition. Disposition reviews, placement reviews, and dependency cases are not included. Dispositions are grouped into one of two categories: “formal” or “informal”. A disposition is counted in the “formal” category if a date was reported in the Date of Hearing field indicating that a hearing before a judge or master was conducted, other than a detention hearing, following the filing of a petition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
ADAMS	325	168	90.0	157	29.0
ALLEGHENY	4,251	3,110	105.0	1,141	126.0
ARMSTRONG	134	63	97.0	71	31.0
BEAVER	474	148	102.0	326	47.0
BEDFORD	52	47	88.0	5	55.0
BERKS	1,361	566	87.0	795	40.0
BLAIR	472	218	56.0	254	61.5
BRADFORD	112	63	164.0	49	120.0
BUCKS	1,321	881	85.0	440	73.0
BUTLER	322	245	56.0	77	50.0
CAMBRIA	393	269	115.0	124	79.0
CAMERON	21	9	98.0	12	54.0
CARBON	116	33	98.5	83	82.5
CENTRE	149	149	54.0	0	0.0
CHESTER	1,030	471	120.0	559	129.0
CLARION	94	72	58.5	22	37.0
CLEARFIELD	121	80	107.0	41	117.0
CLINTON	99	67	84.0	32	187.0
COLUMBIA	159	34	91.5	125	57.0
CRAWFORD	236	172	90.5	64	125.0
CUMBERLAND	596	129	63.0	467	64.0
DAUPHIN	1,417	1,096	106.0	321	119.5
DELAWARE	3,565	1,221	64.0	2,344	82.0
ELK	84	21	71.5	63	41.5
ERIE	905	519	112.0	386	50.0
FAYETTE	327	70	69.0	257	33.0
FOREST	11	1	49.0	10	26.0
FRANKLIN	469	233	78.0	236	49.0
FULTON	29	12	126.0	17	34.0
GREENE	77	27	139.0	50	161.0
HUNTINGDON	78	69	146.5	9	111.0
INDIANA	127	32	82.0	95	26.5
JEFFERSON	119	60	50.5	59	29.5
JUNIATA	33	33	48.0	0	0.0



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
LACKAWANNA	390	259	100.0	131	56.0
LANCASTER	1,022	532	97.0	490	34.0
LAWRENCE	403	127	82.5	276	105.5
LEBANON	333	274	96.0	59	37.0
LEHIGH	1,473	667	62.0	806	70.0
LUZERNE	728	276	99.0	452	91.0
LYCOMING	481	315	28.0	166	27.0
MCKEAN	65	54	69.5	11	294.5
MERCER	337	244	92.0	93	70.0
MIFFLIN	134	54	59.5	80	60.0
MONROE	378	292	113.0	86	70.0
MONTGOMERY	2,173	947	100.0	1,226	40.0
MONTOUR	33	29	77.0	4	16.0
NORTHAMPTON	782	428	82.0	354	44.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	106	92.0	386	58.0
PERRY	132	84	62.5	48	19.0
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	4,883	42.0	1,232	6.5
PIKE	115	101	91.0	14	10.0
POTTER	36	36	43.0	0	0.0
SCHUYLKILL	437	142	97.0	295	20.0
SNYDER	119	42	116.0	77	108.5
SOMERSET	83	41	101.0	42	106.0
SULLIVAN	7	7	106.0	0	0.0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	60	56.0	28	48.0
TIOGA	71	55	60.0	16	18.0
UNION	62	44	176.0	18	105.0
VENANGO	156	103	146.0	53	213.5
WARREN	96	50	49.0	46	18.5
WASHINGTON	536	191	62.0	345	36.0
WAYNE	90	54	140.5	36	269.5
WESTMORELAND	829	477	66.0	352	72.0
WYOMING	70	57	72.0	13	52.0
YORK	1,633	441	145.5	1,192	25.0
TOTAL	38,978	21,860	81.0	17,118	62.0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Alleged Offenses by Type, 2010

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	156	212	97	278	743
ALLEGHENY	3,467	3,309	1,509	3,660	11,945
ARMSTRONG	32	142	54	83	311
BEAVER	289	301	89	417	1,096
BEDFORD	36	46	15	31	128
BERKS	630	554	226	1,038	2,448
BLAIR	273	397	87	435	1,192
BRADFORD	133	115	39	56	343
BUCKS	773	1,262	783	1,098	3,916
BUTLER	242	515	151	273	1,181
CAMBRIA	397	348	81	466	1,292
CAMERON	8	11	7	25	51
CARBON	123	86	34	79	322
CENTRE	103	357	88	55	603
CHESTER	942	1,084	558	1,442	4,026
CLARION	140	172	29	67	408
CLEARFIELD	98	162	45	97	402
CLINTON	92	140	59	44	335
COLUMBIA	24	94	32	147	297
CRAWFORD	150	338	69	140	697
CUMBERLAND	267	428	307	354	1,356
DAUPHIN	871	949	617	1,104	3,541
DELAWARE	3,104	2,098	928	5,344	11,474
ELK	18	91	37	69	215
ERIE	532	983	286	704	2,505
FAYETTE	193	287	58	304	842
FOREST	12	0	2	15	29
FRANKLIN	262	358	212	305	1,137
FULTON	76	20	12	14	122
GREENE	31	41	17	77	166
HUNTINGDON	55	74	26	25	180
INDIANA	38	58	43	100	239
JEFFERSON	112	86	33	153	384
JUNIATA	53	14	34	14	115



Alleged Offenses by Type, 2010

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	552	311	160	226	1,249
LANCASTER	389	643	372	731	2,135
LAWRENCE	168	332	47	353	900
LEBANON	166	479	152	233	1,030
LEHIGH	1,101	1,172	389	1,214	3,876
LUZERNE	274	337	212	815	1,638
LYCOMING	221	386	140	596	1,343
MCKEAN	73	86	32	44	235
MERCER	255	264	164	295	978
MIFFLIN	35	79	39	108	261
MONROE	257	547	151	287	1,242
MONTGOMERY	1,808	1,851	816	2,065	6,540
MONTOUR	12	24	23	13	72
NORTHAMPTON	680	606	287	731	2,304
NORTHUMBERLAND	273	363	123	534	1,293
PERRY	79	100	59	103	341
PHILADELPHIA	10,135	5,983	5,923	5,926	27,967
PIKE	68	259	75	62	464
POTTER	23	40	6	17	86
SCHUYLKILL	165	344	125	407	1,041
SNYDER	52	112	5	130	299
SOMERSET	43	69	33	82	227
SULLIVAN	1	3	2	1	7
SUSQUEHANNA	65	69	26	43	203
TIOGA	42	78	47	53	220
UNION	28	81	23	33	165
VENANGO	88	111	62	153	414
WARREN	46	88	38	82	254
WASHINGTON	313	256	123	497	1,189
WAYNE	91	55	49	80	275
WESTMORELAND	427	593	288	732	2,040
WYOMING	23	27	58	35	143
YORK	687	1,506	558	1,523	4,274
TOTAL	32,372	32,386	17,271	36,717	118,746
% OF TOTAL	27.3%	27.3%	14.5%	30.9%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2010

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	19	41	23	15	98
ALLEGHENY	719	689	407	678	2,493
ARMSTRONG	3	24	10	9	46
BEAVER	23	31	8	28	90
BEDFORD	11	8	5	4	28
BERKS	232	209	83	214	738
BLAIR	24	46	7	37	114
BRADFORD	16	28	5	10	59
BUCKS	274	447	270	232	1,223
BUTLER	43	77	44	58	222
CAMBRIA	37	39	6	62	144
CAMERON	3	3	0	2	8
CARBON	13	20	9	6	48
CENTRE	55	223	68	31	377
CHESTER	146	228	128	221	723
CLARION	24	46	14	17	101
CLEARFIELD	47	76	26	49	198
CLINTON	63	31	39	9	142
COLUMBIA	2	18	4	14	38
CRAWFORD	49	124	37	50	260
CUMBERLAND	8	24	17	14	63
DAUPHIN	477	593	465	706	2,241
DELAWARE	792	644	261	531	2,228
ELK	2	12	2	11	27
ERIE	224	383	119	245	971
FAYETTE	59	80	11	35	185
FOREST	0	0	0	1	1
FRANKLIN	89	106	57	64	316
FULTON	65	4	7	10	86
GREENE	11	16	10	19	56
HUNTINGDON	16	43	16	11	86
INDIANA	3	8	9	14	34
JEFFERSON	10	19	6	25	60
JUNIATA	46	9	26	10	91



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2010

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	160	117	68	90	435
LANCASTER	232	329	163	249	973
LAWRENCE	32	62	6	21	121
LEBANON	129	356	83	170	738
LEHIGH	401	338	143	299	1,181
LUZERNE	121	156	116	177	570
LYCOMING	52	115	48	57	272
MCKEAN	35	41	18	18	112
MERCER	97	101	77	80	355
MIFFLIN	12	25	22	6	65
MONROE	64	126	44	61	295
MONTGOMERY	608	440	290	285	1,623
MONTOUR	4	4	10	5	23
NORTHAMPTON	190	152	80	105	527
NORTHUMBERLAND	37	97	29	25	188
PERRY	33	30	13	12	88
PHILADELPHIA	1,249	538	528	616	2,931
PIKE	20	68	20	23	131
POTTER	18	39	3	12	72
SCHUYLKILL	71	144	44	58	317
SNYDER	12	29	3	9	53
SOMERSET	18	38	9	28	93
SULLIVAN	1	0	1	1	3
SUSQUEHANNA	34	18	2	6	60
TIOGA	26	23	11	13	73
UNION	13	19	9	3	44
VENANGO	44	57	36	36	173
WARREN	22	35	11	25	93
WASHINGTON	101	129	52	64	346
WAYNE	24	21	30	17	92
WESTMORELAND	73	153	76	104	406
WYOMING	10	13	14	13	50
YORK	259	500	198	205	1,162
TOTAL	7,807	8,662	4,456	6,335	27,260
% OF TOTAL	28.6%	31.8%	16.3%	23.2%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2010*

This table reflects the number of admissions by county from greatest to least number of admissions.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
PHILADELPHIA	5,854	2.0
ALLEGHENY	3,384	5.0
DELAWARE	1,058	13.0
BUCKS	793	11.0
DAUPHIN	549	10.0
LEHIGH	512	15.0
MONTGOMERY	482	21.0
LANCASTER	384	14.5
BERKS	382	18.0
CHESTER	376	19.0
LACKAWANNA	334	5.0
NORTHAMPTON	288	19.5
WESTMORELAND	274	9.0
ERIE	262	11.0
WASHINGTON	229	8.0
YORK	219	14.0
MONROE	130	11.5
LUZERNE	112	13.5
BEAVER	109	14.0
LYCOMING	81	10.0
FRANKLIN	80	13.5
CAMBRIA	77	15.0
LEBANON	70	8.0
CUMBERLAND	67	9.0
CLEARFIELD	47	15.0
BLAIR	45	7.0
MIFFLIN	33	9.0
ADAMS	30	7.0
CENTRE	29	6.0
SCHUYLKILL	29	5.0
FAYETTE	28	5.5
TIOGA	25	4.0
SOMERSET	22	11.5



Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2010*

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
PERRY	21	11.0
CLINTON	20	13.5
COLUMBIA	17	15.0
HUNTINGDON	17	12.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	4.0
WYOMING	15	13.0
PIKE	14	6.5
SNYDER	13	10.0
CARBON	13	8.0
JUNIATA	12	12.0
BRADFORD	12	6.0
INDIANA	11	4.0
WAYNE	10	15.5
POTTER	10	10.5
BUTLER	8	20.0
MCKEAN	6	49.0
ELK	6	9.0
JEFFERSON	4	21.0
SUSQUEHANNA	4	20.0
UNION	4	5.0
BEDFORD	3	8.0
ARMSTRONG	3	6.0
WARREN	1	69.0
SULLIVAN	1	44.0
CAMERON	0	0.0
CLARION	0	0.0
CRAWFORD	0	0.0
FOREST	0	0.0
FULTON	0	0.0
GREENE	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0
MERCER	0	0.0
MONTOUR	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
TOTAL	16,636	9.0

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.

* Includes 360 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (109); Butler (8); Fayette (14); Washington (229).



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2010*

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
ADAMS	30	9	10	0	2	1	6	2	0
ALLEGHENY	3,385	668	2,509	0	8	8	88	28	76
ARMSTRONG	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	109	25	74	0	0	0	4	0	6
BEDFORD	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
BERKS	383	147	111	0	37	13	20	40	15
BLAIR	45	9	25	0	0	4	0	0	7
BRADFORD	12	7	2	0	0	0	2	0	1
BUCKS	793	76	395	0	9	3	43	6	261
BUTLER	8	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
CAMBRIA	77	22	28	0	1	0	9	0	17
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	13	9	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
CENTRE	29	14	7	0	0	1	6	0	1
CHESTER	376	144	81	0	97	10	22	21	1
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	47	2	39	0	0	1	0	0	5
CLINTON	20	10	4	0	0	1	1	4	0
COLUMBIA	17	5	8	0	1	1	1	0	1
CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	67	16	44	0	1	0	2	4	0
DAUPHIN	549	382	90	0	14	5	20	37	1
DELAWARE	1,058	349	504	0	29	17	145	1	13
ELK	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	262	91	83	0	5	63	16	3	1
FAYETTE	28	14	0	0	2	1	1	10	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	80	65	12	0	0	0	1	1	1
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	17	7	3	0	0	0	0	6	1
INDIANA	11	2	1	0	0	0	0	7	1
JEFFERSON	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
JUNIATA	12	9	0	0	0	1	1	1	0



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2010*

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
LACKAWANNA	334	55	243	0	8	5	6	2	15
LANCASTER	384	217	105	0	9	6	8	38	1
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	70	18	50	0	1	1	0	0	0
LEHIGH	512	265	71	0	13	5	20	138	0
LUZERNE	112	26	38	0	20	3	5	6	14
LYCOMING	81	22	49	0	1	3	0	0	6
MCKEAN	6	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	33	17	14	0	0	0	1	0	1
MONROE	130	36	69	0	5	9	6	3	2
MONTGOMERY	482	199	36	0	6	0	12	183	46
MONTOUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	288	94	160	0	14	5	15	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	9	7	0	0	0	0	0	1
PERRY	21	7	5	0	8	0	1	0	0
PIKE	14	3	4	0	3	0	4	0	0
POTTER	10	2	0	0	3	0	0	5	0
SCHUYLKILL	29	12	4	0	1	1	3	7	1
SNYDER	13	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
SOMERSET	22	6	7	0	0	0	5	0	4
SULLIVAN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
TIOGA	25	3	14	0	1	3	1	2	1
UNION	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	229	56	23	0	0	6	2	132	10
WAYNE	10	1	5	0	1	0	1	2	0
WESTMORELAND	274	55	128	0	7	10	31	0	43
WYOMING	15	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	6
YORK	220	42	127	0	7	6	26	12	0
TOTAL	10,785	3,256	5,212	1	314	194	539	703	566
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	30.2%	48.3%	0.0%	2.9%	1.8%	5.0%	6.5%	5.2%





NOTE: This table does not include Philadelphia County secure detention admissions. Philadelphia County is under a different reporting requirement for the use of secure detention and therefore does not report using the JCJC detention section codes.

* Includes 360 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (109); Butler (8); Fayette (14); Washington (229).



Secure Detention by Selected Codes




The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.





Secure Detention by Selected Codes *continued*

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.





Delinquency Placements, 2006-2010
Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	PERCENT CHANGE 09-10	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
ADAMS	41	54	36	21	22	4.8%	6.0%
ALLEGHENY	712	686	587	587	521	-11.2%	6.5%
ARMSTRONG	11	11	8	9	7	-22.2%	4.8%
BEAVER	43	52	42	40	36	-10.0%	6.7%
BEDFORD	27	13	14	15	12	-20.0%	16.2%
BERKS	272	301	220	161	157	-2.5%	10.0%
BLAIR	15	20	18	18	14	-22.2%	2.9%
BRADFORD	8	11	5	6	7	16.7%	5.6%
BUCKS	409	438	400	350	286	-18.3%	15.8%
BUTLER	43	37	35	37	40	8.1%	7.5%
CAMBRIA	27	10	13	19	15	-21.1%	3.2%
CAMERON	5	6	3	1	0	-100.0%	0.0%
CARBON	22	27	21	13	10	-23.1%	8.3%
CENTRE	34	38	19	27	15	-44.4%	7.7%
CHESTER	182	167	205	173	135	-22.0%	9.5%
CLARION	15	7	24	11	16	45.5%	11.7%
CLEARFIELD	13	13	5	8	13	62.5%	8.9%
CLINTON	16	15	4	14	17	21.4%	10.8%
COLUMBIA	25	24	11	12	15	25.0%	6.6%
CRAWFORD	29	14	35	26	24	-7.7%	9.1%
CUMBERLAND	33	39	33	23	18	-21.7%	2.1%
DAUPHIN	235	323	257	160	166	3.8%	10.7%
DELAWARE	421	471	486	474	330	-30.4%	5.8%
ELK	6	7	8	5	10	100.0%	11.8%
ERIE	276	251	247	245	217	-11.4%	22.3%
FAYETTE	29	25	19	18	14	-22.2%	4.2%
FOREST	1	4	2	1	0	-100.0%	0.0%
FRANKLIN	78	66	68	65	75	15.4%	14.0%
FULTON	4	2	4	3	3	0.0%	8.1%
GREENE	6	5	6	9	10	11.1%	11.2%
HUNTINGDON	6	8	3	6	5	-16.7%	6.3%
INDIANA	10	6	7	2	2	0.0%	1.6%
JEFFERSON	37	27	19	9	14	55.6%	11.2%
JUNIATA	10	9	11	8	11	37.5%	26.2%



Delinquency Placements, 2006-2010

Including Disposition Reviews

continued

COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	PERCENT CHANGE 09-10	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
LACKAWANNA	91	65	76	56	73	30.4%	5.2%
LANCASTER	178	147	170	115	100	-13.0%	8.7%
LAWRENCE	15	12	14	11	15	36.4%	3.3%
LEBANON	40	32	50	30	42	40.0%	10.0%
LEHIGH	246	265	263	185	220	18.9%	13.1%
LUZERNE	298	314	212	106	84	-20.8%	9.9%
LYCOMING	96	85	75	60	53	-11.7%	7.4%
MCKEAN	9	8	12	6	7	16.7%	6.8%
MERCER	31	44	50	44	46	4.5%	12.3%
MIFFLIN	13	21	18	16	12	-25.0%	8.2%
MONROE	172	170	116	106	117	10.4%	27.5%
MONTGOMERY	344	299	284	273	296	8.4%	13.4%
MONTOUR	2	8	9	4	2	-50.0%	5.1%
NORTHAMPTON	126	114	128	120	113	-5.8%	13.3%
NORTHUMBERLAND	36	28	26	37	28	-24.3%	5.4%
PERRY	9	9	14	8	10	25.0%	7.2%
PHILADELPHIA	1,994	2,144	2,404	2,311	2,269	-1.8%	7.4%
PIKE	32	22	27	24	24	0.0%	17.3%
POTTER	6	1	1	1	1	0.0%	2.8%
SCHUYLKILL	55	42	45	55	41	-25.5%	9.0%
SNYDER	10	10	11	8	14	75.0%	9.9%
SOMERSET	5	13	3	13	5	-61.5%	4.8%
SULLIVAN	2	3	2	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	7	3	11	5	7	40.0%	7.0%
TIOGA	14	11	17	12	7	-41.7%	8.0%
UNION	8	5	7	4	5	25.0%	7.8%
VENANGO	12	7	11	8	21	162.5%	12.8%
WARREN	18	17	19	9	14	55.6%	13.5%
WASHINGTON	101	100	73	76	72	-5.3%	11.2%
WAYNE	14	10	18	13	10	-23.1%	9.8%
WESTMORELAND	108	103	143	90	131	45.6%	10.9%
WYOMING	21	16	13	8	7	-12.5%	8.2%
YORK	207	210	247	186	198	6.5%	8.6%
TOTAL	7,421	7,525	7,444	6,576	6,281	-4.5%	8.3%

NOTE: Does not include placement reviews.



Delinquency Placements by Type, 2010 Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED†	BOOT CAMP	INDEP LIVING	OTHER TYPE
ADAMS	22	11	0	4	0	0	3	2	2	0	0
ALLEGHENY	521	212	17	164	1	28	54	20	1	14	10
ARMSTRONG	7	2	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	36	15	0	6	1	2	7	0	1	0	4
BEDFORD	12	3	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	2	1
BERKS	157	114	2	14	7	8	10	0	1	1	0
BLAIR	14	6	0	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	1
BRADFORD	7	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
BUCKS	286	63	1	37	14	11	82	50	24	1	3
BUTLER	40	18	2	7	2	1	6	0	0	4	0
CAMBRIA	15	4	0	1	0	0	5	4	1	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	10	3	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	1
CENTRE	15	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
CHESTER	135	37	3	15	2	14	21	21	13	5	4
CLARION	16	8	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
CLEARFIELD	13	2	0	4	0	2	0	2	3	0	0
CLINTON	17	9	0	0	1	1	2	0	4	0	0
COLUMBIA	15	11	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
CRAWFORD	24	5	0	15	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
CUMBERLAND	18	4	0	2	0	1	6	0	4	0	1
DAUPHIN	166	54	2	29	0	15	3	3	12	5	43
DELAWARE	330	163	0	31	0	18	47	7	18	1	45
ELK	10	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
ERIE	217	99	0	71	0	21	26	0	0	0	0
FAYETTE	14	11	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	75	38	0	0	5	1	0	25	1	2	3
FULTON	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	10	3	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	5	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0
INDIANA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
JEFFERSON	14	8	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0
JUNIATA	11	1	0	2	0	1	2	0	3	0	2

† Placements to the Department of Public Welfare Youth Forestry Camps are included in either Wilderness-Based, Boot Camp, Drug & Alcohol, or Other category, depending upon the type of service reported.



Delinquency Placements by Type, 2010

Including Disposition Reviews

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED†	BOOT CAMP	INDEP LIVING	OTHER TYPE
LACKAWANNA	73	22	0	14	2	8	21	2	1	0	3
LANCASTER	100	19	0	43	4	11	16	0	6	1	0
LAWRENCE	15	2	0	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
LEBANON	42	21	0	0	0	4	9	0	8	0	0
LEHIGH	220	43	19	10	3	23	15	58	48	1	0
LUZERNE	84	29	0	15	0	7	23	5	0	2	3
LYCOMING	53	25	0	0	7	0	5	4	10	2	0
MCKEAN	7	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
MERCER	46	14	4	9	0	1	4	5	7	1	1
MIFFLIN	12	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1
MONROE	117	42	1	1	0	11	10	25	27	0	0
MONTGOMERY	296	189	3	54	4	7	17	10	0	4	8
MONTOUR	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	113	86	0	2	2	5	14	3	1	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	28	3	0	0	8	0	6	3	8	0	0
PERRY	10	7	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,269	1,267	85	41	2	237	308	245	46	31	7
PIKE	24	6	1	3	0	1	2	7	3	0	1
POTTER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	41	17	8	1	2	1	7	2	3	0	0
SNYDER	14	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	5	0	2
SOMERSET	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	7	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
TIOGA	7	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
UNION	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
VENANGO	21	15	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2
WARREN	14	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
WASHINGTON	72	35	0	10	0	5	13	0	2	1	6
WAYNE	10	7	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
WESTMORELAND	131	25	1	34	0	7	40	11	5	5	3
WYOMING	7	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
YORK	198	42	5	21	9	44	30	17	11	8	11
TOTAL	6,281	2,882	154	692	85	511	851	538	302	95	171
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	45.9%	2.5%	11.0%	1.4%	8.1%	13.5%	8.6%	4.8%	1.5%	2.7%



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2010

A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	44	0	0	0	11	0	23	0	10
ALLEGHENY	3,721	1,402	43	2	1	32	1,984	1	256
ARMSTRONG	13	1	1	1	1	4	1	0	4
BEAVER	62	2	10	0	1	17	8	2	22
BEDFORD	22	4	0	0	0	7	4	1	6
BERKS	216	0	0	3	20	27	82	14	70
BLAIR	17	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	8
BRADFORD	12	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	5
BUCKS	488	48	0	0	11	111	161	10	147
BUTLER	208	2	0	0	0	41	136	8	21
CAMBRIA	80	15	3	0	0	0	55	1	6
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CENTRE	47	0	0	0	2	23	13	5	4
CHESTER	393	0	0	0	0	6	307	1	79
CLARION	43	0	0	1	0	3	24	5	10
CLEARFIELD	25	0	0	0	0	14	0	5	6
CLINTON	59	9	0	0	1	5	34	2	8
COLUMBIA	70	13	2	0	1	25	20	1	8
CRAWFORD	28	0	0	1	0	0	15	2	10
CUMBERLAND	269	129	0	1	10	0	114	6	9
DAUPHIN	130	9	1	0	1	27	50	2	40
DELAWARE	2,173	783	1	12	3	43	1,096	42	193
ELK	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ERIE	67	0	0	1	0	11	1	2	52
FAYETTE	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
FOREST	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	67	0	0	0	22	1	7	18	19
FULTON	8	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	1
GREENE	12	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	5
HUNTINGDON	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
INDIANA	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
JEFFERSON	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
JUNIATA	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	1,010	59	0	3	2	3	897	8	38
LANCASTER	126	2	0	0	0	88	2	0	34
LAWRENCE	49	7	30	0	0	1	0	0	11
LEBANON	88	1	0	0	10	10	35	17	15
LEHIGH	207	23	0	0	0	22	88	8	66
LUZERNE	123	2	0	0	0	7	40	21	53
LYCOMING	236	4	16	11	28	41	62	30	44
MCKEAN	38	0	0	0	0	7	27	2	2
MERCER	37	9	0	1	0	2	9	11	5
MIFFLIN	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	8
MONROE	48	0	0	0	0	2	6	1	39
MONTGOMERY	44	0	0	0	1	3	10	4	26
MONTOUR	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
NORTHAMPTON	69	1	0	0	0	4	7	2	55
NORTHUMBERLAND	23	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	17
PERRY	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	2
PHILADELPHIA	24,606	3,946	77	15	265	677	15,771	1,762	2,093
PIKE	24	2	0	0	0	2	0	8	12
POTTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	21	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	17
SNYDER	23	2	0	0	0	5	5	0	11
SOMERSET	21	1	0	0	0	0	16	0	4
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	12	1	0	2	0	1	2	2	4
TIOGA	17	2	0	0	0	5	3	2	5
UNION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
VENANGO	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
WARREN	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	3
WASHINGTON	105	0	0	0	1	0	53	7	44
WAYNE	12	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	5
WESTMORELAND	368	212	0	2	0	19	62	0	73
WYOMING	15	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	5
YORK	680	0	0	2	0	523	40	38	77
TOTAL	36,349	6,693	185	59	395	1,836	21,314	2,066	3,801
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	18.4%	0.5%	0.2%	1.1%	5.1%	58.6%	5.7%	10.5%

NOTE: Dispositional outcomes were combined for these tables. *Informal Adjustment* and *Fines and Costs* are included in the category titled *Other*. *Transferred to Other Juvenile Court* and *Referred to Another Agency/Individual* are included in the category *Referred*. *Complaint Withdrawn and Warned, Counseled, Case Closed* are included in the category *Withdrawn*. *Protective Supervision* and *Probation with Day Treatment* are included with *Probation*.



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2010

A *placement review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition that resulted in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
ADAMS	52	24	18	10
ALLEGHENY	1,290	637	522	131
ARMSTRONG	7	3	4	0
BEAVER	14	0	4	10
BEDFORD	18	3	13	2
BERKS	311	125	152	34
BLAIR	43	19	18	6
BRADFORD	11	7	3	1
BUCKS	417	188	168	61
BUTLER	89	43	43	3
CAMBRIA	25	9	16	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0
CARBON	21	10	8	3
CENTRE	32	15	15	2
CHESTER	360	179	132	49
CLARION	26	14	12	0
CLEARFIELD	9	3	5	1
CLINTON	35	20	12	3
COLUMBIA	16	6	6	4
CRAWFORD	43	28	13	2
CUMBERLAND	45	23	16	6
DAUPHIN	239	185	27	27
DELAWARE	672	247	362	63
ELK	3	1	2	0
ERIE	401	122	216	63
FAYETTE	31	19	10	2
FOREST	1	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	148	74	66	8
FULTON	10	9	1	0
GREENE	19	8	11	0
HUNTINGDON	7	4	3	0
INDIANA	2	0	2	0
JEFFERSON	2	0	1	1
JUNIATA	17	6	9	2



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
LACKAWANNA	125	44	61	20
LANCASTER	244	128	83	33
LAWRENCE	18	6	12	0
LEBANON	56	38	15	3
LEHIGH	298	142	138	18
LUZERNE	129	37	72	20
LYCOMING	107	40	50	17
MCKEAN	27	19	8	0
MERCER	76	25	40	11
MIFFLIN	17	5	11	1
MONROE	179	82	83	14
MONTGOMERY	597	273	273	51
MONTOUR	6	4	1	1
NORTHAMPTON	109	85	13	11
NORTHUMBERLAND	31	21	5	5
PERRY	7	5	1	1
PHILADELPHIA	10,600	7,679	2,643	278
PIKE	34	11	20	3
POTTER	2	1	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	52	45	4	3
SNYDER	22	12	9	1
SOMERSET	11	5	3	3
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	18	9	5	4
TIOGA	25	15	7	3
UNION	5	3	2	0
VENANGO	44	27	10	7
WARREN	13	13	0	0
WASHINGTON	201	99	69	33
WAYNE	38	24	9	5
WESTMORELAND	248	94	103	51
WYOMING	10	3	6	1
YORK	376	197	130	49
TOTAL	18,141	11,222	5,778	1,141
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	61.9%	31.9%	6.3%



Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	INDEP. LIVING	OTHER TYPE
ADAMS	10	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1
ALLEGHENY	131	32	14	28	3	27	9	5	0	11	2
BEAVER	10	2	0	4	0	0	2	1	0	1	0
BEDFORD	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
BERKS	34	25	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	3	0
BLAIR	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
BRADFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
BUCKS	61	19	1	7	3	4	6	10	3	4	4
BUTLER	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
CENTRE	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CHESTER	49	14	2	8	2	13	1	3	2	3	1
CLEARFIELD	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	6	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	27	3	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	2	14
DELAWARE	63	22	0	8	0	12	6	1	3	5	6
ERIE	63	20	0	22	1	14	5	0	0	1	0
FAYETTE	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	8	1	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
LACKAWANNA	20	5	0	5	0	3	6	0	1	0	0
LANCASTER	33	1	0	7	3	4	2	2	1	13	0
LEBANON	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	18	2	2	3	0	7	1	0	1	2	0



Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	INDEP. LIVING	OTHER TYPE
LUZERNE	20	5	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	3	6
LYCOMING	17	7	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	7	0
MERCER	11	3	0	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MONROE	14	3	0	1	2	5	1	0	2	0	0
MONTGOMERY	51	36	0	6	2	1	2	1	0	1	2
MONTOUR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	11	3	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
PERRY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
PHILADELPHIA	278	56	7	66	2	59	25	21	7	35	0
PIKE	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
SNYDER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TIOGA	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	7	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	33	13	0	4	0	5	4	1	0	3	3
WAYNE	5	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
WESTMORELAND	51	8	0	11	4	11	2	1	2	11	1
WYOMING	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YORK	49	4	5	2	2	19	1	2	0	12	2
TOTAL	1,141	324	35	205	47	200	80	50	25	129	46
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	28.4%	3.1%	18.0%	4.1%	17.5%	7.0%	4.4%	2.2%	11.3%	4.0%



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2010

Age calculated at date of disposition

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	325	0	0.0%	3	0.9%	6	1.8%	24	7.4%
ALLEGHENY	4,251	22	0.5%	53	1.2%	157	3.7%	279	6.6%
ARMSTRONG	134	0	0.0%	5	3.7%	8	6.0%	6	4.5%
BEAVER	474	2	0.4%	6	1.3%	8	1.7%	31	6.5%
BEDFORD	52	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	3	5.8%	5	9.6%
BERKS	1,361	7	0.5%	24	1.8%	34	2.5%	84	6.2%
BLAIR	472	2	0.4%	3	0.6%	6	1.3%	28	5.9%
BRADFORD	112	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	3	2.7%	9	8.0%
BUCKS	1,321	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	17	1.3%	52	3.9%
BUTLER	322	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	2.5%	34	10.6%
CAMBRIA	393	3	0.8%	3	0.8%	6	1.5%	32	8.1%
CAMERON	21	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	4	19.0%
CARBON	116	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%	4	3.4%
CENTRE	149	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	6	4.0%	5	3.4%
CHESTER	1,030	4	0.4%	6	0.6%	5	0.5%	42	4.1%
CLARION	94	1	1.1%	3	3.2%	2	2.1%	9	9.6%
CLEARFIELD	121	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	12	9.9%
CLINTON	99	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%
COLUMBIA	159	1	0.6%	2	1.3%	4	2.5%	11	6.9%
CRAWFORD	236	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	7	3.0%	20	8.5%
CUMBERLAND	596	2	0.3%	7	1.2%	15	2.5%	32	5.4%
DAUPHIN	1,417	16	1.1%	36	2.5%	50	3.5%	97	6.8%
DELAWARE	3,565	8	0.2%	19	0.5%	38	1.1%	90	2.5%
ELK	84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.6%	5	6.0%
ERIE	905	10	1.1%	31	3.4%	38	4.2%	85	9.4%
FAYETTE	327	0	0.0%	7	2.1%	13	4.0%	29	8.9%
FOREST	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%
FRANKLIN	469	4	0.9%	10	2.1%	16	3.4%	34	7.2%
FULTON	29	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%
GREENE	77	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	4	5.2%	4	5.2%
HUNTINGDON	78	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	4	5.1%
INDIANA	127	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	5	3.9%
JEFFERSON	119	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	5	4.2%	21	17.6%
JUNIATA	33	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	9.1%



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2010

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	28	8.6%	73	22.5%	79	24.3%	78	24.0%	34	10.5%
ALLEGHENY	460	10.8%	666	15.7%	883	20.8%	1,061	25.0%	670	15.8%
ARMSTRONG	17	12.7%	18	13.4%	32	23.9%	26	19.4%	22	16.4%
BEAVER	56	11.8%	65	13.7%	89	18.8%	121	25.5%	96	20.3%
BEDFORD	4	7.7%	5	9.6%	11	21.2%	12	23.1%	11	21.2%
BERKS	154	11.3%	219	16.1%	321	23.6%	368	27.0%	150	11.0%
BLAIR	63	13.3%	72	15.3%	108	22.9%	151	32.0%	39	8.3%
BRADFORD	16	14.3%	17	15.2%	15	13.4%	23	20.5%	27	24.1%
BUCKS	109	8.3%	204	15.4%	294	22.3%	391	29.6%	248	18.8%
BUTLER	26	8.1%	72	22.4%	69	21.4%	86	26.7%	27	8.4%
CAMBRIA	49	12.5%	56	14.2%	98	24.9%	108	27.5%	38	9.7%
CAMERON	3	14.3%	6	28.6%	1	4.8%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%
CARBON	15	12.9%	20	17.2%	34	29.3%	28	24.1%	11	9.5%
CENTRE	7	4.7%	22	14.8%	26	17.4%	51	34.2%	31	20.8%
CHESTER	65	6.3%	115	11.2%	168	16.3%	229	22.2%	396	38.4%
CLARION	5	5.3%	11	11.7%	29	30.9%	23	24.5%	11	11.7%
CLEARFIELD	20	16.5%	20	16.5%	28	23.1%	24	19.8%	12	9.9%
CLINTON	18	18.2%	11	11.1%	17	17.2%	26	26.3%	13	13.1%
COLUMBIA	17	10.7%	31	19.5%	35	22.0%	39	24.5%	19	11.9%
CRAWFORD	22	9.3%	50	21.2%	54	22.9%	63	26.7%	19	8.1%
CUMBERLAND	60	10.1%	98	16.4%	130	21.8%	176	29.5%	76	12.8%
DAUPHIN	157	11.1%	237	16.7%	298	21.0%	374	26.4%	152	10.7%
DELAWARE	141	4.0%	261	7.3%	312	8.8%	408	11.4%	2,267	63.6%
ELK	6	7.1%	17	20.2%	19	22.6%	23	27.4%	11	13.1%
ERIE	120	13.3%	136	15.0%	178	19.7%	236	26.1%	71	7.8%
FAYETTE	35	10.7%	61	18.7%	59	18.0%	84	25.7%	39	11.9%
FOREST	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%
FRANKLIN	62	13.2%	89	19.0%	114	24.3%	107	22.8%	33	7.0%
FULTON	6	20.7%	0	0.0%	4	13.8%	10	34.5%	7	24.1%
GREENE	10	13.0%	13	16.9%	19	24.7%	17	22.1%	9	11.7%
HUNTINGDON	7	9.0%	9	11.5%	19	24.4%	27	34.6%	9	11.5%
INDIANA	12	9.4%	21	16.5%	33	26.0%	32	25.2%	20	15.7%
JEFFERSON	14	11.8%	17	14.3%	28	23.5%	22	18.5%	11	9.2%
JUNIATA	2	6.1%	5	15.2%	7	21.2%	15	45.5%	1	3.0%



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2010

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	390	2	0.5%	9	2.3%	16	4.1%	35	9.0%
LANCASTER	1,022	1	0.1%	10	1.0%	35	3.4%	61	6.0%
LAWRENCE	403	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	1.7%	18	4.5%
LEBANON	333	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	7	2.1%	28	8.4%
LEHIGH	1,473	11	0.7%	22	1.5%	68	4.6%	122	8.3%
LUZERNE	728	3	0.4%	8	1.1%	14	1.9%	36	4.9%
LYCOMING	481	2	0.4%	7	1.5%	11	2.3%	39	8.1%
MCKEAN	65	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	10	15.4%
MERCER	337	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	11	3.3%	28	8.3%
MIFFLIN	134	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%
MONROE	378	2	0.5%	5	1.3%	10	2.6%	15	4.0%
MONTGOMERY	2,173	3	0.1%	16	0.7%	51	2.3%	110	5.1%
MONTOUR	33	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	4	12.1%
NORTHAMPTON	782	4	0.5%	10	1.3%	21	2.7%	60	7.7%
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	1	0.2%	5	1.0%	9	1.8%	18	3.7%
PERRY	132	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	2	1.5%	13	9.8%
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	33	0.5%	101	1.7%	219	3.6%	402	6.6%
PIKE	115	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2.6%	3	2.6%
POTTER	36	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	4	11.1%
SCHUYLKILL	437	2	0.5%	6	1.4%	7	1.6%	22	5.0%
SNYDER	119	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	9	7.6%
SOMERSET	83	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%
SULLIVAN	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	88	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	4	4.5%	6	6.8%
TIOGA	71	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	2	2.8%	4	5.6%
UNION	62	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	3	4.8%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	156	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	4	2.6%	7	4.5%
WARREN	96	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.3%	7	7.3%
WASHINGTON	536	4	0.7%	8	1.5%	26	4.9%	44	8.2%
WAYNE	90	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.2%
WESTMORELAND	829	2	0.2%	7	0.8%	18	2.2%	37	4.5%
WYOMING	70	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	2	2.9%	6	8.6%
YORK	1,633	2	0.1%	15	0.9%	31	1.9%	85	5.2%
TOTAL	38,978	164	0.4%	484	1.2%	1,075	2.8%	2,348	6.0%



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2010

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	40	10.3%	55	14.1%	92	23.6%	101	25.9%	40	10.3%
LANCASTER	114	11.2%	182	17.8%	215	21.0%	291	28.5%	113	11.1%
LAWRENCE	41	10.2%	59	14.6%	79	19.6%	93	23.1%	106	26.3%
LEBANON	42	12.6%	66	19.8%	67	20.1%	90	27.0%	32	9.6%
LEHIGH	189	12.8%	231	15.7%	332	22.5%	340	23.1%	158	10.7%
LUZERNE	50	6.9%	120	16.5%	165	22.7%	212	29.1%	120	16.5%
LYCOMING	61	12.7%	79	16.4%	111	23.1%	138	28.7%	33	6.9%
MCKEAN	8	12.3%	7	10.8%	19	29.2%	13	20.0%	6	9.2%
MERCER	36	10.7%	49	14.5%	78	23.1%	90	26.7%	39	11.6%
MIFFLIN	26	19.4%	34	25.4%	35	26.1%	30	22.4%	3	2.2%
MONROE	33	8.7%	58	15.3%	82	21.7%	106	28.0%	67	17.7%
MONTGOMERY	272	12.5%	365	16.8%	535	24.6%	615	28.3%	206	9.5%
MONTOUR	6	18.2%	3	9.1%	4	12.1%	11	33.3%	4	12.1%
NORTHAMPTON	94	12.0%	132	16.9%	165	21.1%	218	27.9%	78	10.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	59	12.0%	112	22.8%	122	24.8%	125	25.4%	41	8.3%
PERRY	12	9.1%	29	22.0%	29	22.0%	35	26.5%	11	8.3%
PHILADELPHIA	692	11.3%	1,092	17.9%	1,442	23.6%	1,701	27.8%	433	7.1%
PIKE	10	8.7%	13	11.3%	33	28.7%	45	39.1%	8	7.0%
POTTER	6	16.7%	1	2.8%	6	16.7%	10	27.8%	6	16.7%
SCHUYLKILL	41	9.4%	78	17.8%	123	28.1%	118	27.0%	40	9.2%
SNYDER	19	16.0%	18	15.1%	26	21.8%	26	21.8%	19	16.0%
SOMERSET	6	7.2%	11	13.3%	24	28.9%	20	24.1%	17	20.5%
SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%
SUSQUEHANNA	7	8.0%	12	13.6%	26	29.5%	23	26.1%	9	10.2%
TIOGA	11	15.5%	9	12.7%	11	15.5%	19	26.8%	14	19.7%
UNION	4	6.5%	7	11.3%	16	25.8%	20	32.3%	9	14.5%
VENANGO	15	9.6%	29	18.6%	27	17.3%	43	27.6%	30	19.2%
WARREN	12	12.5%	13	13.5%	16	16.7%	27	28.1%	13	13.5%
WASHINGTON	50	9.3%	91	17.0%	117	21.8%	135	25.2%	61	11.4%
WAYNE	10	11.1%	6	6.7%	18	20.0%	22	24.4%	31	34.4%
WESTMORELAND	94	11.3%	139	16.8%	178	21.5%	233	28.1%	121	14.6%
WYOMING	9	12.9%	6	8.6%	17	24.3%	21	30.0%	7	10.0%
YORK	154	9.4%	301	18.4%	408	25.0%	492	30.1%	145	8.9%
TOTAL	4,009	10.3%	6,126	15.7%	8,235	21.1%	9,912	25.4%	6,604	16.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	325	291	18	16
ALLEGHENY	4,251	3,991	240	20
ARMSTRONG	134	106	18	10
BEAVER	474	417	53	4
BEDFORD	52	39	13	0
BERKS	1,361	1,245	110	6
BLAIR	472	264	36	172
BRADFORD	112	69	17	26
BUCKS	1,321	1,083	157	81
BUTLER	322	287	27	8
CAMBRIA	393	385	8	0
CAMERON	21	19	2	0
CARBON	116	110	6	0
CENTRE	149	129	20	0
CHESTER	1,030	627	403	0
CLARION	94	83	10	1
CLEARFIELD	121	112	9	0
CLINTON	99	92	4	3
COLUMBIA	159	131	16	12
CRAWFORD	236	236	0	0
CUMBERLAND	596	546	49	1
DAUPHIN	1,417	1,299	98	20
DELAWARE	3,565	1,949	213	1,403
ELK	84	74	4	6
ERIE	905	803	96	6
FAYETTE	327	284	43	0
FOREST	11	10	1	0
FRANKLIN	469	397	30	42
FULTON	29	25	4	0
GREENE	77	67	10	0
HUNTINGDON	78	71	7	0
INDIANA	127	98	18	11
JEFFERSON	119	111	8	0
JUNIATA	33	29	4	0



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	390	365	20	5
LANCASTER	1,022	847	94	81
LAWRENCE	403	355	38	10
LEBANON	333	296	32	5
LEHIGH	1,473	1,263	161	49
LUZERNE	728	424	51	253
LYCOMING	481	466	15	0
MCKEAN	65	60	5	0
MERCER	337	278	34	25
MIFFLIN	134	123	10	1
MONROE	378	350	27	1
MONTGOMERY	2,173	1,378	113	682
MONTOUR	33	29	3	1
NORTHAMPTON	782	755	27	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	315	27	150
PERRY	132	119	13	0
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	5,086	581	448
PIKE	115	90	10	15
POTTER	36	34	2	0
SCHUYLKILL	437	232	24	181
SNYDER	119	99	11	9
SOMERSET	83	75	3	5
SULLIVAN	7	7	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	79	9	0
TIOGA	71	58	11	2
UNION	62	57	5	0
VENANGO	156	140	8	8
WARREN	96	85	9	2
WASHINGTON	536	340	3	193
WAYNE	90	61	5	24
WESTMORELAND	829	821	8	0
WYOMING	70	62	8	0
YORK	1,633	846	97	690
TOTAL	38,978	31,074	3,216	4,688
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	79.7%	8.3%	12.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	325	103	79	68	48	11	0	16
ALLEGHENY	4,251	1,534	789	946	704	176	50	52
ARMSTRONG	134	56	28	24	6	8	2	10
BEAVER	474	173	71	101	71	22	32	4
BEDFORD	52	18	12	9	8	4	1	0
BERKS	1,361	548	375	246	136	22	13	21
BLAIR	472	118	67	61	41	7	6	172
BRADFORD	112	35	19	15	11	5	1	26
BUCKS	1,321	328	269	305	235	45	15	124
BUTLER	322	102	84	57	50	15	6	8
CAMBRIA	393	127	91	99	70	6	0	0
CAMERON	21	14	1	2	2	1	1	0
CARBON	116	49	28	22	16	0	0	1
CENTRE	149	38	29	32	39	8	3	0
CHESTER	1,030	118	171	193	266	195	3	84
CLARION	94	31	28	20	5	2	7	1
CLEARFIELD	121	47	28	25	16	4	0	1
CLINTON	99	36	14	14	29	1	2	3
COLUMBIA	159	35	39	30	23	15	3	14
CRAWFORD	236	35	11	7	4	0	179	0
CUMBERLAND	596	185	108	95	55	15	137	1
DAUPHIN	1,417	567	277	292	219	38	3	21
DELAWARE	3,565	634	322	275	184	44	680	1,426
ELK	84	20	21	17	16	4	0	6
ERIE	905	426	206	150	79	13	24	7
FAYETTE	327	109	52	54	49	20	43	0
FOREST	11	2	1	4	3	1	0	0
FRANKLIN	469	167	78	68	41	3	70	42
FULTON	29	10	4	3	11	1	0	0
GREENE	77	25	16	21	6	6	2	1
HUNTINGDON	78	19	19	21	14	3	2	0
INDIANA	127	28	31	28	17	12	0	11
JEFFERSON	119	52	27	17	14	0	0	9
JUNIATA	33	8	4	12	5	4	0	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	390	135	89	67	42	1	51	5
LANCASTER	1,022	369	240	178	127	19	9	80
LAWRENCE	403	134	118	73	37	9	22	10
LEBANON	333	114	86	68	53	2	5	5
LEHIGH	1,473	477	331	264	208	83	7	103
LUZERNE	728	158	96	110	74	9	28	253
LYCOMING	481	140	118	93	117	9	3	1
MCKEAN	65	29	13	14	6	3	0	0
MERCER	337	103	79	66	49	7	7	26
MIFFLIN	134	60	33	26	13	1	0	1
MONROE	378	132	79	81	63	13	1	9
MONTGOMERY	2,173	307	250	289	250	108	285	684
MONTOUR	33	15	6	6	5	0	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	782	188	138	193	185	78	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	149	101	43	25	8	16	150
PERRY	132	47	36	24	20	3	2	0
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	1,209	1,314	1,191	743	419	723	516
PIKE	115	24	21	30	19	6	0	15
POTTER	36	14	2	6	13	0	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	437	88	62	54	26	10	8	189
SNYDER	119	33	23	25	7	1	2	28
SOMERSET	83	22	11	20	14	4	0	12
SULLIVAN	7	1	3	2	1	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	26	17	21	16	3	1	4
TIOGA	71	28	11	11	10	2	1	8
UNION	62	17	16	22	6	0	0	1
VENANGO	156	48	30	27	17	3	23	8
WARREN	96	32	17	26	17	1	1	2
WASHINGTON	536	153	75	79	31	4	1	193
WAYNE	90	20	11	15	16	4	0	24
WESTMORELAND	829	238	179	186	214	9	3	0
WYOMING	70	31	17	13	4	3	1	1
YORK	1,633	352	223	169	104	21	74	690
TOTAL	38,978	10,690	7,244	6,825	5,025	1,554	2,560	5,080
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	27.4%	18.6%	17.5%	12.9%	4.0%	6.6%	13.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2010

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	325	87	98	13	20	1	84	6	16
ALLEGHENY	4,251	667	259	101	142	2	3,024	6	50
ARMSTRONG	134	37	51	6	11	1	17	1	10
BEAVER	474	81	97	16	15	1	170	90	4
BEDFORD	52	17	23	4	2	0	3	3	0
BERKS	1,361	218	245	102	55	3	709	6	23
BLAIR	472	61	69	23	17	0	136	0	166
BRADFORD	112	22	30	4	2	0	20	8	26
BUCKS	1,321	333	283	125	73	8	375	43	81
BUTLER	322	81	143	9	24	0	56	1	8
CAMBRIA	393	79	49	20	13	0	231	1	0
CAMERON	21	2	5	3	2	0	9	0	0
CARBON	116	29	25	5	7	1	48	0	1
CENTRE	149	38	100	0	2	0	8	1	0
CHESTER	1,030	239	58	25	29	0	61	618	0
CLARION	94	17	32	4	7	0	25	8	1
CLEARFIELD	121	33	56	7	4	0	20	1	0
CLINTON	99	21	35	8	1	0	20	11	3
COLUMBIA	159	39	35	10	9	0	48	5	13
CRAWFORD	236	11	22	1	3	1	18	180	0
CUMBERLAND	596	145	68	32	21	1	87	241	1
DAUPHIN	1,417	191	189	63	36	0	893	24	21
DELAWARE	3,565	292	220	89	97	4	791	646	1,426
ELK	84	17	33	1	8	0	19	1	5
ERIE	905	143	161	72	68	3	432	20	6
FAYETTE	327	43	45	69	12	0	104	54	0
FOREST	11	4	4	0	0	0	3	0	0
FRANKLIN	469	84	135	13	17	2	92	84	42
FULTON	29	8	13	1	0	0	2	5	0
GREENE	77	25	31	2	4	0	9	3	3
HUNTINGDON	78	15	23	7	3	1	25	4	0
INDIANA	127	32	33	12	3	1	26	9	11
JEFFERSON	119	24	63	4	1	0	24	0	3
JUNIATA	33	8	10	0	1	1	13	0	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2010

continued

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	390	104	109	9	11	0	151	3	3
LANCASTER	1,022	182	205	59	63	3	414	14	82
LAWRENCE	403	79	73	32	19	0	149	41	10
LEBANON	333	66	100	23	16	3	116	4	5
LEHIGH	1,473	236	207	98	71	4	708	15	134
LUZERNE	728	92	87	47	45	1	159	41	256
LYCOMING	481	64	119	7	8	2	263	17	1
MCKEAN	65	12	21	2	1	0	28	1	0
MERCER	337	63	84	35	21	2	94	12	26
MIFFLIN	134	37	48	6	3	0	36	3	1
MONROE	378	175	62	18	20	1	95	7	0
MONTGOMERY	2,173	311	201	47	57	5	336	534	682
MONTOUR	33	9	10	3	3	0	7	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	782	206	23	505	14	1	1	32	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	89	70	35	16	1	84	47	150
PERRY	132	31	48	6	10	0	30	7	0
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	514	320	223	510	16	4,005	6	521
PIKE	115	38	29	3	8	0	21	1	15
POTTER	36	11	19	1	1	4	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	437	48	60	16	19	0	79	28	187
SNYDER	119	32	50	5	3	0	11	3	15
SOMERSET	83	17	29	2	2	0	21	3	9
SULLIVAN	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	23	33	4	0	2	19	3	4
TIOGA	71	15	30	0	2	0	14	4	6
UNION	62	15	26	3	2	0	11	2	3
VENANGO	156	44	22	7	6	0	66	3	8
WARREN	96	22	39	7	7	0	18	1	2
WASHINGTON	536	69	86	11	14	0	151	12	193
WAYNE	90	21	24	4	3	0	11	3	24
WESTMORELAND	829	210	177	10	27	2	381	22	0
WYOMING	70	18	35	0	5	1	8	3	0
YORK	1,633	189	230	70	43	2	398	12	689
TOTAL	38,978	6,190	5,420	2,149	1,739	81	15,487	2,965	4,947
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	15.9%	13.9%	5.5%	4.5%	0.2%	39.7%	7.6%	12.7%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	325	82	118	29	18	3	38	3	18	16
ALLEGHENY	4,251	626	2,655	345	329	29	136	45	82	4
ARMSTRONG	134	35	39	14	7	3	18	1	7	10
BEAVER	474	77	222	41	43	7	22	3	55	4
BEDFORD	52	13	12	7	5	2	7	2	4	0
BERKS	1,361	243	714	112	82	25	90	16	68	11
BLAIR	472	63	243	59	36	10	28	0	16	17
BRADFORD	112	21	30	6	6	5	8	4	6	26
BUCKS	1,321	350	460	134	82	26	96	5	87	81
BUTLER	322	89	128	38	19	3	18	3	16	8
CAMBRIA	393	84	199	47	26	1	10	3	23	0
CAMERON	21	3	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	116	29	49	6	8	5	12	2	5	0
CENTRE	149	37	52	16	9	5	18	1	11	0
CHESTER	1,030	236	471	103	71	9	27	2	111	0
CLARION	94	18	34	10	3	5	12	1	10	1
CLEARFIELD	121	32	43	14	6	1	16	0	9	0
CLINTON	99	19	38	5	5	4	15	0	10	3
COLUMBIA	159	37	54	13	8	13	17	0	5	12
CRAWFORD	236	15	24	6	2	2	6	1	180	0
CUMBERLAND	596	150	239	70	22	17	48	12	37	1
DAUPHIN	1,417	203	758	114	103	18	99	22	82	18
DELAWARE	3,565	305	1,056	115	141	27	107	9	404	1,401
ELK	84	17	34	12	0	1	12	0	3	5
ERIE	905	152	444	85	67	24	80	6	41	6
FAYETTE	327	44	146	15	31	4	25	5	57	0
FOREST	11	4	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	469	80	135	48	0	13	36	11	104	42
FULTON	29	8	11	2	1	1	4	0	2	0
GREENE	77	21	25	10	3	2	6	3	6	1
HUNTINGDON	78	17	30	9	6	2	6	3	5	0
INDIANA	127	31	45	5	7	9	12	2	5	11
JEFFERSON	119	24	48	17	8	2	11	2	5	2
JUNIATA	33	8	8	6	6	2	3	0	0	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2010

continued






COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	390	101	191	38	15	2	19	8	14	2
LANCASTER	1,022	183	420	77	63	35	116	11	36	81
LAWRENCE	403	83	170	41	23	1	24	2	49	10
LEBANON	333	68	131	32	22	9	42	2	22	5
LEHIGH	1,473	263	750	117	85	26	98	11	53	70
LUZERNE	728	127	181	51	5	14	31	1	62	256
LYCOMING	481	69	258	73	21	7	15	5	32	1
MCKEAN	65	15	19	5	7	1	9	0	9	0
MERCER	337	65	129	17	32	4	43	1	20	26
MIFFLIN	134	38	69	7	7	2	6	3	1	1
MONROE	378	170	123	22	15	3	21	11	13	0
MONTGOMERY	2,173	321	597	121	76	17	79	13	270	679
MONTOUR	33	9	9	3	2	0	4	0	6	0
NORTHAMPTON	782	202	375	83	33	3	17	6	63	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	492	68	119	29	15	11	48	11	41	150
PERRY	132	26	51	22	3	2	17	4	7	0
PHILADELPHIA	6,115	573	3,368	396	715	50	0	130	389	494
PIKE	115	35	29	12	5	2	12	0	5	15
POTTER	36	11	12	5	0	2	3	0	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	437	56	114	28	12	5	23	2	15	182
SNYDER	119	30	39	8	5	1	10	4	11	11
SOMERSET	83	16	38	7	5	2	4	0	6	5
SULLIVAN	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	88	24	22	9	3	2	20	3	5	0
TIOGA	71	17	16	13	4	4	6	4	4	3
UNION	62	15	28	8	1	2	3	1	3	1
VENANGO	156	39	58	13	6	4	20	0	8	8
WARREN	96	23	40	10	9	3	6	0	3	2
WASHINGTON	536	81	179	37	19	1	10	2	14	193
WAYNE	90	24	24	3	3	1	8	2	1	24
WESTMORELAND	829	218	417	77	19	12	43	1	42	0
WYOMING	70	19	25	11	1	1	6	1	6	0
YORK	1,633	206	441	77	54	19	81	14	52	689
TOTAL	38,978	6,373	17,027	2,967	2,446	529	1,888	421	2,739	4,588
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	16.4%	43.7%	7.6%	6.3%	1.4%	4.8%	1.1%	7.0%	11.8%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.








Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity

The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.





Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity continued



The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	232	188	17	8	16	3
ALLEGHENY	2,975	1,013	1,889	51	17	5
ARMSTRONG	100	95	2	3	0	0
BEAVER	354	221	116	11	6	0
BEDFORD	36	30	3	2	1	0
BERKS	1,015	355	150	23	481	6
BLAIR	346	287	24	14	11	10
BRADFORD	98	96	0	0	2	0
BUCKS	977	662	210	11	77	17
BUTLER	242	207	22	9	1	3
CAMBRIA	275	202	70	1	2	0
CAMERON	15	13	0	0	2	0
CARBON	84	67	9	2	6	0
CENTRE	114	102	3	0	5	4
CHESTER	736	388	243	0	98	7
CLARION	73	64	3	3	1	2
CLEARFIELD	90	88	1	0	1	0
CLINTON	78	77	0	0	0	1
COLUMBIA	131	111	16	1	3	0
CRAWFORD	185	163	17	1	3	1
CUMBERLAND *	419	277	64	10	18	50
DAUPHIN	1,003	299	546	36	118	4
DELAWARE	2,553	922	1,437	17	40	137
ELK	70	67	0	0	3	0
ERIE	659	351	225	28	54	1
FAYETTE	261	180	77	2	1	1
FOREST	9	4	3	0	1	1
FRANKLIN	364	265	70	4	21	4
FULTON	25	21	3	0	1	0
GREENE	50	45	1	0	1	3
HUNTINGDON	70	66	4	0	0	0
INDIANA	91	71	10	5	0	5
JEFFERSON	95	91	0	1	0	3
JUNIATA	22	21	1	0	0	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	310	205	48	2	52	3
LANCASTER	777	388	162	15	202	10
LAWRENCE	285	158	56	14	1	56
LEBANON	265	153	23	0	87	2
LEHIGH	1,047	293	226	24	489	15
LUZERNE	538	368	82	6	67	15
LYCOMING	307	180	108	5	13	1
MCKEAN	50	45	1	1	1	2
MERCER	255	175	72	5	2	1
MIFFLIN	93	86	6	0	0	1
MONROE	281	169	69	3	40	0
MONTGOMERY	1,486	548	819	18	59	42
MONTOUR	29	23	2	1	0	3
NORTHAMPTON	571	301	150	1	113	6
NORTHUMBERLAND	350	275	31	4	17	23
PERRY	98	87	5	5	1	0
PHILADELPHIA	4,827	441	3,634	33	629	90
PIKE	87	63	11	3	7	3
POTTER	30	28	0	1	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	314	256	29	2	20	7
SNYDER	74	66	4	0	4	0
SOMERSET	61	60	1	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	4	3	1	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	62	59	0	2	1	0
TIOGA	50	49	1	0	0	0
UNION	54	42	6	0	6	0
VENANGO	123	81	15	7	19	1
WARREN	69	68	0	1	0	0
WASHINGTON	378	256	119	0	2	1
WAYNE	69	57	3	0	7	2
WESTMORELAND	586	433	105	33	10	5
WYOMING	51	43	5	0	3	0
YORK	1,190	649	344	4	115	78
TOTAL	28,618	13,217	11,374	433	2,958	636
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	46.2%	39.7%	1.5%	10.3%	2.2%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	93	76	8	1	8	0
ALLEGHENY	1,276	330	905	30	8	3
ARMSTRONG	34	24	3	2	2	3
BEAVER	120	63	53	3	1	0
BEDFORD	16	14	1	0	1	0
BERKS	346	100	47	16	182	1
BLAIR	126	104	7	8	2	5
BRADFORD	14	14	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	344	226	77	1	35	5
BUTLER	80	73	6	1	0	0
CAMBRIA	118	85	30	1	2	0
CAMERON	6	6	0	0	0	0
CARBON	32	25	2	0	5	0
CENTRE	35	32	1	0	2	0
CHESTER	294	143	123	0	25	3
CLARION	21	20	0	0	1	0
CLEARFIELD	31	30	0	0	0	1
CLINTON	21	21	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	28	26	2	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	51	41	5	2	3	0
CUMBERLAND *	177	124	28	1	7	17
DAUPHIN	414	91	252	23	44	4
DELAWARE	1,012	352	575	4	15	66
ELK	14	13	1	0	0	0
ERIE	246	115	96	11	24	0
FAYETTE	66	53	12	0	0	1
FOREST	2	1	0	0	0	1
FRANKLIN	105	81	21	1	1	1
FULTON	4	4	0	0	0	0
GREENE	27	25	0	0	0	2
HUNTINGDON	8	8	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	36	33	2	0	1	0
JEFFERSON	24	24	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	11	9	0	0	2	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	80	47	17	1	14	1
LANCASTER	245	122	55	3	63	2
LAWRENCE	118	37	23	2	0	56
LEBANON	68	37	9	0	21	1
LEHIGH	426	115	99	11	191	10
LUZERNE	190	128	27	3	25	7
LYCOMING	174	93	74	4	1	2
MCKEAN	15	12	0	1	2	0
MERCER	82	51	30	0	1	0
MIFFLIN	41	41	0	0	0	0
MONROE	97	44	37	1	15	0
MONTGOMERY	687	187	438	7	33	22
MONTOUR	4	4	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	211	109	46	2	53	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	142	101	5	4	12	20
PERRY	34	32	0	1	1	0
PHILADELPHIA	1,288	97	1,030	11	116	34
PIKE	28	23	1	0	4	0
POTTER	6	6	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	123	102	9	0	5	7
SNYDER	45	41	2	0	1	1
SOMERSET	22	22	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	3	3	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	26	23	0	1	2	0
TIOGA	21	20	0	1	0	0
UNION	8	6	1	0	0	1
VENANGO	33	20	4	1	6	2
WARREN	27	26	1	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	158	103	49	1	2	3
WAYNE	21	17	1	0	2	1
WESTMORELAND	243	182	49	9	3	0
WYOMING	19	18	0	0	0	1
YORK	443	239	127	2	53	22
TOTAL	10,360	4,494	4,391	171	997	307
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	43.4%	42.4%	1.7%	9.6%	3.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	OTHER/MIXED/ UNKNOWN	% OF TOTAL
ADAMS	30	22	73.3%	1	3.3%	5	16.7%	2	6.7%
ALLEGHENY	3,385	535	15.8%	2,782	82.2%	37	1.1%	31	0.9%
ARMSTRONG	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	109	46	42.2%	61	56.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%
BEDFORD	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	383	73	19.1%	96	25.1%	214	55.9%	0	0.0%
BLAIR	45	36	80.0%	9	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	12	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	793	581	73.3%	143	18.0%	63	7.9%	6	0.8%
BUTLER	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	77	50	64.9%	27	35.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	13	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	29	26	89.7%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	376	156	41.5%	178	47.3%	39	10.4%	3	0.8%
CLARION	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	47	46	97.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
CLINTON	20	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	17	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	67	51	76.1%	10	14.9%	3	4.5%	3	4.5%
DAUPHIN	549	67	12.2%	431	78.5%	46	8.4%	5	0.9%
DELAWARE	1,058	261	24.7%	740	69.9%	48	4.5%	9	0.9%
ELK	6	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
ERIE	262	108	41.2%	119	45.4%	14	5.3%	21	8.0%
FAYETTE	28	9	32.1%	18	64.3%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%
FOREST	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	80	49	61.3%	21	26.3%	7	8.8%	3	3.8%
FULTON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	17	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	11	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	12	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%



Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity

continued






COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	OTHER/MIXED/ UNKNOWN	% OF TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	334	240	71.9%	41	12.3%	52	15.6%	1	0.3%
LANCASTER	384	144	37.5%	94	24.5%	138	35.9%	8	2.1%
LAWRENCE	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	70	37	52.9%	10	14.3%	23	32.9%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	512	136	26.6%	156	30.5%	218	42.6%	2	0.4%
LUZERNE	112	61	54.5%	33	29.5%	17	15.2%	1	0.9%
LYCOMING	81	36	44.4%	38	46.9%	7	8.6%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	33	31	93.9%	0	0.0%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%
MONROE	130	65	50.0%	46	35.4%	18	13.8%	1	0.8%
MONTGOMERY	482	189	39.2%	226	46.9%	30	6.2%	37	7.7%
MONTOUR	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	288	113	39.2%	91	31.6%	83	28.8%	1	0.3%
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	21	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	5,869	412	7.0%	4,643	79.1%	747	12.7%	67	1.1%
PIKE	14	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%
POTTER	10	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	29	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	13	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	22	22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	25	25	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	4	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	229	135	59.0%	92	40.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.9%
WAYNE	10	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	274	165	60.2%	105	38.3%	1	0.4%	3	1.1%
WYOMING	15	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	220	85	38.6%	100	45.5%	31	14.1%	4	1.8%
TOTAL	16,654	4,240	25.5%	10,342	62.1%	1,858	11.2%	214	1.3%



Dispositions Resulting in Placement by Race and Ethnicity Including Disposition Reviews






The following charts highlight the distribution of total placements by race and ethnicity.

*Only counties with Placement Dispositions are shown here.





Dispositions Resulting in Secure Placement by Race and Ethnicity Including Disposition Reviews



*Only counties with Secure Placement Dispositions are shown here.



Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2010

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
ADAMS	421	421	325	44	52	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	9,262	9,262	4,251	3,721	1,290	0	0	0	0
ARMSTRONG	154	154	134	13	7	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	607	550	474	62	14	57	45	3	9
BEDFORD	92	92	52	22	18	0	0	0	0
BERKS	2,169	1,888	1,361	216	311	281	281	0	0
BLAIR	532	532	472	17	43	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	136	135	112	12	11	1	1	0	0
BUCKS	2,226	2,226	1,321	488	417	0	0	0	0
BUTLER	619	619	322	208	89	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	641	498	393	80	25	143	127	16	0
CAMERON	21	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	142	142	116	5	21	0	0	0	0
CENTRE	228	228	149	47	32	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	1,783	1,783	1,030	393	360	0	0	0	0
CLARION	163	163	94	43	26	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	155	155	121	25	9	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	193	193	99	59	35	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	245	245	159	70	16	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	307	307	236	28	43	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	910	910	596	269	45	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	1,786	1,786	1,417	130	239	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	6,410	6,410	3,565	2,173	672	0	0	0	0
ELK	88	88	84	1	3	0	0	0	0
ERIE	1,394	1,373	905	67	401	21	9	5	7
FAYETTE	363	363	327	5	31	0	0	0	0
FOREST	13	13	11	1	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	685	684	469	67	148	1	1	0	0
FULTON	47	47	29	8	10	0	0	0	0
GREENE	109	108	77	12	19	1	1	0	0
HUNTINGDON	87	87	78	2	7	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	131	131	127	2	2	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	129	127	119	6	2	2	1	0	1
JUNIATA	59	59	33	9	17	0	0	0	0



Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2010

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
LACKAWANNA	1,533	1,525	390	1,010	125	8	0	8	0
LANCASTER	1,392	1,392	1,022	126	244	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	470	470	403	49	18	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	477	477	333	88	56	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	1,978	1,978	1,473	207	298	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	982	980	728	123	129	2	2	0	0
LYCOMING	824	824	481	236	107	0	0	0	0
MCKEAN	130	130	65	38	27	0	0	0	0
MERCER	452	450	337	37	76	2	0	0	2
MIFFLIN	163	163	134	12	17	0	0	0	0
MONROE	605	605	378	48	179	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	3,023	2,814	2,173	44	597	209	161	11	37
MONTOUR	45	45	33	6	6	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	960	960	782	69	109	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	548	546	492	23	31	2	2	0	0
PERRY	145	145	132	6	7	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	41,321	41,321	6,115	24,606	10,600	0	0	0	0
PIKE	173	173	115	24	34	0	0	0	0
POTTER	38	38	36	0	2	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	510	510	437	21	52	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	164	164	119	23	22	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	115	115	83	21	11	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	118	118	88	12	18	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	113	113	71	17	25	0	0	0	0
UNION	69	69	62	2	5	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	210	208	156	8	44	2	2	0	0
WARREN	117	117	96	8	13	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	848	842	536	105	201	6	6	0	0
WAYNE	140	140	90	12	38	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	1,568	1,445	829	368	248	123	47	47	29
WYOMING	95	95	70	15	10	0	0	0	0
YORK	2,689	2,689	1,633	680	376	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	94,329	93,468	38,978	36,349	18,141	861	686	90	85
	100%	99.1%				0.9%			



Juvenile Court Judges' Commission
Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research
Information and Technology Division
Shippensburg University
1871 Old Main Drive,
Shippensburg, PA 17257-2299