



2012

PENNSYLVANIA

JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS



2012 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Hon. Tom Corbett, Governor

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN

Hon. Arthur E. Grim
Berks County

VICE-CHAIRMAN

Hon. Kevin M. Dougherty
Philadelphia County

SECRETARY

Hon. Lori A. Dumas Brooks
Philadelphia County

MEMBERS

Hon. Rea B. Boylan
Bucks County

Hon. Kathryn Hens-Greco
Allegheny County

Hon. Kim Berkeley Clark
Allegheny County

Hon. Carol Van Horn
Franklin/Fulton Counties

Hon. John M. Cleland
Superior Court

Hon. Dwayne D. Woodruff
Allegheny County

James E. Anderson,
Executive Director

2012 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

CENTER FOR JUVENILE JUSTICE TRAINING AND RESEARCH
SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY

ADMINISTRATION

Stephen Bishop, Director

INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

Linda B. Bender, Director

Rebecca Anderson	Linda Miller
Heather Armstrong-Shugart	Barbara Mull
Julie Byers	Christy Nailor
Sharon Chamberlin	Donna Reasner
Susan Conner	James Stewart
Robert Diehl	Michael Tan
Cogan Duffey	Steve Varner
Randy Fisher	Nancy Witter
Lanette Hutchison	Tim Wright

DETENTION MONITORING

Seth Bloomquist, Director
Marlene Benedict

LAYOUT/GRAPHIC DESIGN

Chris Heberlig

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

Center For Juvenile Justice Training And Research
Information And Technology Division
Shippensburg University
1871 Old Main Drive
Shippensburg, Pa. 17257-2299
www.jcjc.state.pa.us



This report provides an overview of juvenile court dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2012 and preceding years, and summarizes juvenile court statistical data provided by county juvenile probation departments. Without the support of the courts and juvenile probation departments, this report would not be possible.

Although the report focuses primarily on delinquency dispositions, information has again been included on dependency cases that have been referred to juvenile probation departments. While county children and youth agencies handle the overwhelming majority of cases involving dependent children in the Commonwealth, some juvenile probation departments provide services to children who are alleged to be dependent on the basis of ungovernability or chronic truancy.

The information contained in this report is of value to all individuals, agencies and organizations having an interest in the Pennsylvania juvenile justice system. However, it is not intended to reflect the total workload of juvenile courts or juvenile probation departments.

It is with great pleasure that we dedicate this report to the judges, probation officers, victim advocates, district attorneys, service treatment providers, and other professionals who work on a daily basis in the Pennsylvania juvenile court system.

Respectfully submitted,

*James E. Anderson
Executive Director*

*Honorable Arthur E. Grim
Chairman*

In addition to this annual disposition report, another source of information on juvenile court delinquency dispositions is the Pennsylvania Juvenile Delinquency Data Analysis Tool (JDDAT). The JDDAT application was developed to facilitate independent analysis of annual dispositions reported to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission (JCJC) by juvenile probation departments throughout the Commonwealth and can be accessed at www.jcjc.state.pa.us.

Please note, the data contained in JDDAT is updated on a regular basis by all sixty-seven juvenile probation departments and therefore may not match the information contained in this report.

The JDDAT was developed for the JCJC by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice and it is maintained by the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research.



Highlights.....	1
Terms	2
Delinquency Dispositions	
Delinquency Dispositions, 2012 (Map)	3
Delinquency Dispositions, 2008-2012	4
Frequency of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions.....	5
Source of Allegation.....	5
Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2012	6
Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with No Attorney or Waived Attorney.....	7
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2012	8
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2008-2012	9
Delinquency Offenses	
Alleged Offenses, 2008-2012	10
Substantiated Offenses, 2008-2012	11
Most Serious Offenses, 2008-2012.....	12
Secure Detention	
Secure Detention Overview.....	13
Secure Detention Admissions, 2008-2012	14
Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2012.....	15
Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act	16
Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes	17
Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2012	18
Delinquency Placements	
Delinquency Placements, 2008-2012	19
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2008-2012.....	19
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2012 (Map)	20
New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements	21
Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2012	23
Disposition and Placement Reviews	
Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2012	24
Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2012	24
Demographics	
Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2012.....	25
Total Dispositions by Age, 2011-2012	26
Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2012.....	27
Educational Status	27
Family Status	28



Table of Contents

Race and Ethnicity.....	29
Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity.....	30
Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity.....	31
Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity.....	32

Dependency Dispositions

Dependency Dispositions, 2012	34
Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2012	35

Appendices

1: County Class and Population	37
2: Delinquency Dispositions, 2008-2012.....	38
3: Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2012.....	40
4: Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2012	42
5: Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2012	46
6: Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2012.....	48
7: Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2012.....	50
8: Alleged Offenses by Type, 2012	52
9: Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2012	54
10: Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2012	56
11: Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2012	58
12: Secure Detention by Selected Codes, 2012	60
13: Delinquency Placements, 2008-2012.....	62
14: Delinquency Placements by Type, 2012.....	64
15: Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2012.....	66
16: Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2012.....	68
17: Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2012.....	70
18: Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2012.....	72
19: Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2012	76
20: Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2012	78
21: Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2012	80
22: Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2012	82
23: Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity	84
24: Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2012.....	86
25: Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2012	88
26: Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2012.....	90
27: Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2012.....	92

The format and presentation of the data in this report are intended to help summarize various trends in juvenile court processing throughout the Commonwealth. In addition to the graphs and charts, narrative is included that is intended to help readers more quickly recognize various statewide trends, as well as highlight information not reflected in the visual displays.

Please note that some 2011 data has been updated since the initial release of the 2011 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions. Those updates are reflected in the relevant charts and graphs, as well in the narrative on year-to-year comparisons.

The data within this year's report is generally organized in a manner that reflects the chronological processing of juvenile court delinquency cases. With the exception of pages 3-5 (*Delinquency Dispositions through Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions*), the data presented flows logically from allegation source through placement.

Within this report, some of the data is organized and presented in graphs by Class County. This will help readers make comparisons across counties of similar size and demographic composition.

While some county-specific data is presented within the body of the report, all of the raw data for each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties remains available for viewing. For each section within the report, please reference the appendices to review county specific data on that topical area.

We draw your attention to the following statistical highlights of 2012:

- There were 31,079 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2012. This represents a 4.5% decrease from 2011, and a 29.0% decrease since 2008 (page 4).
- Statewide secure detention admissions have declined 9.4% since 2011 (page 14), and 25.6% since 2008.
- Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2008, and have decreased by 30.6% since 2008 (page 19).
- Seventeen year olds accounted for the most dispositions of any age group in 2012, representing 26.6% of all dispositions, followed in descending order by sixteen year olds (21.1%) and fifteen year olds (16.5%) (page 26).
- Youth whose biological parents were reported as “never married” represented more than 45% of all delinquency dispositions, while youth whose parents were reported as “married” represented 17% (page 28).
- Statewide, 46% of youth were reported as residing only with their mother, while 17.9% of youth resided with both biological parents (page 28).
- The majority of all delinquency dispositions involved White Non-Hispanic youth (46.1%), followed in descending order by Black Non-Hispanic youth (37.5%) and Hispanic youth (11.2%) (page 30).



This report is a compilation of the data collected from the juvenile probation departments in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.


As in the past, to avoid confusion regarding the unit of count used in tabulating juvenile court statistics and to improve the understanding of how data can be interpreted, please note:

1. The primary unit of count is the *disposition*. A *disposition* is defined as an allegation disposed of by the juvenile probation department and/or the court. Within a single delinquency allegation, a youth may be charged with a number of offenses that may result in multiple petitions. Any one youth may be involved in a number of dispositions within a calendar year. In addition, a youth may be referred on more than one occasion and receive only one disposition. Dependency dispositions include only those referrals to probation departments alleging dependency that are not accompanied by allegations of delinquency. Therefore, one is cautioned NOT to attempt to interpret dispositions as the number of youth who are processed by the court or the number of offenses charged to juveniles.
2. There are times when you will see the word *case* used to describe the data. In this report, the word *case* is used interchangeably with the word *disposition*;
3. The *unit of count* refers to a case disposed of by a juvenile court and/or juvenile probation department. The term *disposed* means that a definite action/decision has been implemented or that a treatment plan has been decided upon or begun;
4. The statistical system is constructed to collect up to the seven (7) most serious offenses per delinquency disposition;
5. The Commission collects additional information on *placement reviews*, *disposition reviews*, and selected special conditions attached to a disposition. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement. A *placement review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did involve out-of-home placement.
6. The data in the secure detention section of this report were collected in conjunction with the JCJC Secure Detention Monitoring Program. This information should not be compared with detention data collected and reported prior to 1989.
7. The reader is cautioned that in the tables containing rows with “percent of total”, the row may not total 100 percent due to rounding.

Custom reports, including any information contained in tables included in previous reports that are not included in this report, can be obtained by contacting the Director of the Information and Technology Division at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training & Research at Shippensburg University, 717-477-1412. The report is available on the Juvenile Court Judges’ Commission’s website at www.jcjc.state.pa.us.



Delinquency Dispositions, 2012







Delinquency Dispositions

Delinquency Dispositions, 2008-2012

There were 31,079 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2012. This represents a 4.5% decrease from 2011 and a 29.0% decrease since 2008. A majority (54%) of the total dispositions occurred in the eight jurisdictions reporting more than 1,000 dispositions (see map on page 3).

Dispositions resulting from Disposition and Placement Reviews, and Dependency referrals are not included.




In the Commonwealth's largest jurisdictions, Allegheny County reported a decrease from 2011 of 14.1%, while Philadelphia reported an increase of 4.3%.



Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions

Consent Decree, Probation, and Informal Adjustment continue to be the most frequent dispositions, representing over half (52.6%) of all dispositions. Placement dispositions resulting from new allegations of delinquency remained relatively stable – 7.2% to 7.7% - from 2011 to 2012.


This data does not include dispositions resulting from Disposition or Placement



Review hearings.

Source of Allegation


The Police and Magisterial District Judges continue to be the primary sources of delinquency allegations – 73.6% and 18.5% respectively.





Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2012

Legal representation provided by Public Defenders continued to be the most common form of representation in delinquency proceedings during 2012. Legal representation was provided by Court Appointed or Private Counsel in more than ¼ of delinquency proceedings. (An entry of Court Appointed includes cases where counsel, other than the public defender, was appointed by the court.) Delinquency proceedings were conducted without defense counsel (waived or not present) in less than 1% of all delinquency proceedings.




A breakdown of dispositions where attorney representation


was waived and where no attorney was present is shown in the accompanying charts. Of the 6 cases in 2012 in which an attorney was waived, 66.7% (4) of those cases resulted in a disposition of Probation. Of the 16 cases in which an attorney was not present, almost 94% (15) of those cases resulted in a disposition of Dismissed, or Warned, Case Closed.

The data on attorney representation include only the dispositions that occurred at a formal proceeding, defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. Informally handled cases, reviews, and dependency cases are not included.

Dispositions Resulting From Proceedings with No Attorney
Total Cases = 16





Dispositions Resulting From Proceedings with Waived Attorney
Total Cases = 6





Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with Waived Attorney or No Attorney, 2012

Shown below are the counties that reported any dispositions with waived attorney or no attorney present. The percentage of proceedings with waived representation is less than 1% of all formal proceedings in each of the three counties listed. The percentage of proceedings with no attorney present is less than 3% of all formal proceedings in each of the counties listed.





Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2012

Statewide, cases handled through informal proceedings were disposed of sooner than cases handled through formal proceedings (50 days vs. 92 days). Significant distinctions in time lapse occurred with cases resulting in dispositions of Fines/Costs Ordered (more than 3 times as long for formal proceedings) and Continued on Previous Disposition (more than twice as long for formal proceedings).

A formal proceeding is defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. The time lapse is measured from the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition.

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Formal

(Note: In the 60 cases resulting in a disposition of Informal Adjustment, the petition was withdrawn at the hearing.)

TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
PLACEMENT.....	2,384.....	63.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT.....	60.....	65.0
CONSENT DECREE.....	5,154.....	76.0
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT.....	1,072.....	84.0
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	76.....	88.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION.....	500.....	89.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED.....	816.....	92.0
OTHER DISPOSITIONS.....	75.....	92.5
PROBATION.....	5,483.....	104.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED.....	333.....	105.5
PROBATION W/ DAY TREATMENT.....	200.....	106.5
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL.....	15.....	114.5
DISMISSED.....	1,472.....	118.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	724.....	119.0
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION.....	2.....	134.5
TOTAL.....	18,366.....	92.0

Informal


In the case resulting in a disposition of Placement (1), the disposition was reported to have been ordered by the court without a post-petition hearing being held. In the case resulting in a disposition of Transferred to Criminal Proceedings (1), the juvenile was reported to have requested transfer to criminal court, the District Attorney filed a motion, and the Court granted the motion via court order.

TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL.....	810.....	1.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED.....	2,486.....	32.0
OTHER DISPOSITIONS.....	710.....	35.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION.....	145.....	35.5
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT.....	105.....	36.0
PLACEMENT.....	1.....	51.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT.....	4,385.....	56.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	770.....	71.5
CONSENT DECREE.....	1,273.....	77.0
DISMISSED.....	90.....	87.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED.....	1,937.....	92.0
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	1.....	172.0
TOTAL.....	12,713.....	50.0




Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2008-2012

As shown in the charts, the time lapse for formal proceedings has increased by 2.2% since 2011. This increase is related to specific increases in time lapse from 2011 for dispositions of Transferred to Criminal Proceedings (50.5 days in 2011 vs. 88 days in 2012), Referred to Another Agency/Individual (84 days in 2011 vs. 114.5 days in 2012), and Protective Supervision (57.5 days in 2011 vs. 134.5 days in 2012).



The time lapse for informal proceedings has remained fairly consistent since 2009.






Alleged Offenses, 2008-2012

Alleged Offenses have decreased by 8.8% from 2011 to 2012 and have decreased by 41.5% since 2008. “Drug” offenses has shown the largest decrease (15.3%) from 2011 and “Other” offenses had a decrease of 11.2%. (The “Other” offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms Offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense).

Overall, Person and Property offenses have shown the sharpest decline – decreases of 44.1% and 47.7% respectively – since 2008. Drug offenses have also declined significantly over the same time period, 44.0% overall. The decrease in all “Other” offenses has been less drastic, 29.5% since 2008.




Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2012 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



Substantiated Offenses, 2008-2012

Although Alleged Offenses decreased from 2011 to 2012, Substantiated offenses increased from 2011 (12.1%). The largest increase occurred in the Property offenses category (21.1%). Drug offenses increased 16.0% and Person offenses increased 9.4%, while “Other” offenses increased 0.9%. *(The “Other” offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms Offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense).*

The total number of substantiated offenses remain well below 2008 levels, despite the increase from 2011 to 2012. Similarly, each category of substantiated offenses (Person, Property, Drugs and “Other”) remains below their respective 2008 levels, despite increases in each category from 2011 to 2012.




Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2012 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



Most Serious Offenses, 2008-2012

The chart at the right shows the statewide total of the most serious alleged charges from 2008-2012. Only the most serious of these charges per disposition is depicted. The Crime Severity key to the right shows the crime severity ranking employed for coding purposes. For instance, a disposition with an alleged drug charge and none of the other listed alleged charges (rape, robbery, aggravated assault) was counted in the drug category. A disposition with an alleged aggravated assault charge, and no alleged rape or robbery charges was counted in the aggravated assault category. A disposition with an alleged robbery charge and no alleged rape charge was counted in the robbery category. Any disposition with an alleged rape charge was counted in the rape category.


There were decreases in each of the most serious alleged charge categories from 2011 to 2012 except for Drug offenses. Alleged aggravated assault charges decreased by 11.1%, Rape and Robbery charges decreased by 5.7% and 2.4%, respectively. Alleged Drug charges, however, increased by 3%.



Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2012 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)

CRIME SEVERITY

1. Rape
2. Robbery
3. Aggravated Assault
4. Drug



Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2012 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)

The chart at left shows the most serious substantiated charges. The same Crime Severity Ranking methodology utilized for coding alleged offenses was employed here.

Each category of substantiated offenses decreased from 2011. Substantiated Aggravated Assault decreased by 12.9%, and Rape charges decreased by 10.8%, while Robbery and drug offenses decreased by 2.4% and 0.6%, respectively.



Secure Detention Overview

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's "Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under the Juvenile Act" were developed to guide decision making regarding juvenile detention usage in Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system. Compliance with these standards is required for counties participating in the Commission's Grant-in-Aid Program, with the exception of Philadelphia.

In accordance with the Standards Governing Secure Detention, all counties report their secure detention admissions to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission. Detention information appearing in this Disposition Report was extracted from either the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System or the secure detention database at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research. The secure detention database is populated with data reported directly from juvenile detention centers each month.


When interpreting the data contained in these tables, the reader should note the following:

1. The unit of count is the admission of a juvenile to a secure detention center;
2. A juvenile who is admitted to a secure detention center and subsequently transferred to another secure detention center without release is counted as two admissions.



Secure Detention Admissions, 2008-2012

Statewide, detention admissions have declined each year since 2008, with a 25.6% overall decrease. Allegheny County detention admissions decreased by 11.7% from 2011 to 2012, while Philadelphia County admissions declined by 14.6% during the same time period. Although detention admissions increased in 28 counties, overall statewide admissions, excluding Allegheny and Philadelphia, decreased by 9.4% from 2011. Philadelphia and Allegheny County account for 33.2% and 21.3%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions.



While Philadelphia recorded its highest number of detention admissions in 2008, admissions have decreased in each subsequent year, resulting in an overall decrease of 29.2%. Allegheny County detention admissions increased by 7.4% from 2008 to 2010, and then decreased by 12.4% from 2010 to 2012. This represents an overall decrease of 5.8% from the 2008 level.

PERCENTAGE CHANGE OF DETENTION ADMISSIONS				
	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12
STATEWIDE	-7.6%	-3.6%	-7.8%	-9.4%
ALLEGHENY COUNTY	6.9%	0.5%	-0.7%	-11.7%
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY	-5.2%	-4.9%	-7.9%	-14.6%
REMAINING COUNTIES	-14.4%	-4.3%	-11.0%	-3.9%



Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2012

In 2012, the statewide median length of stay in secure detention was 6 days, which is the same as in 2011. The table below shows the median length of stay by county, from greatest to least number of days. *Multiple counties with identical length of stay are listed alphabetically. This data, sorted by greatest to least number of admissions, can be found in the appendix.*

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
SULLIVAN	1	86.0
FULTON	1	38.0
MCKEAN	6	36.5
LANCASTER	265	27.0
BUTLER	7	26.0
PIKE	27	22.0
ARMSTRONG	2	21.5
COLUMBIA	21	21.0
CHESTER	302	19.0
WAYNE	12	19.0
NORTHAMPTON	221	17.0
CLARION	1	16.0
BERKS	331	15.0
POTTER	10	15.0
DELAWARE	1,070	14.0
MONTGOMERY	651	14.0
LEHIGH	355	14.0
DAUPHIN	249	14.0
ERIE	212	14.0
YORK	134	14.0
CLINTON	19	14.0
WYOMING	15	14.0
SNYDER	9	14.0
CRAWFORD	1	14.0
BEAVER	55	13.0
FRANKLIN	54	13.0
JUNIATA	14	12.5
CLEARFIELD	51	12.0
CAMBRIA	48	12.0
HUNTINGDON	12	12.0
WESTMORELAND	176	11.0
MONROE	119	11.0
LUZERNE	112	11.0
LEBANON	57	11.0

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
CENTRE	42	11.0
BLAIR	30	11.0
WASHINGTON	203	10.0
MIFFLIN	37	10.0
CARBON	11	10.0
WARREN	1	10.0
PERRY	16	9.5
SOMERSET	15	9.0
ELK	5	9.0
ADAMS	20	8.5
CAMERON	2	8.5
LYCOMING	99	8.0
CUMBERLAND	44	8.0
BEDFORD	10	7.5
FAYETTE	39	7.0
SCHUYLKILL	36	7.0
BRADFORD	13	7.0
MONTOUR	3	7.0
BUCKS	746	5.0
ALLEGHENY	2,967	4.0
LACKAWANNA	270	4.0
INDIANA	25	4.0
TIOGA	17	4.0
GREENE	2	4.0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	4.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	16	3.0
UNION	3	3.0
PHILADELPHIA	4,592	2.0
FOREST	0	0.0
JEFFERSON	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0
MERCER	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
TOTAL	13,885	6.0

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.

*Includes 278 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (55); Butler (7); Fayette (14); and Washington (202).



Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act

Each month, all juvenile detention centers report a Statement of Facts and Reasons that must accompany every probation-authorized admission to secure detention. Below are the Section Codes related to this process. In 2012, for the first time, Philadelphia County detention admissions by section code is included.

- 100 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of an alleged offense or on the basis of an alleged offense and the child's current status with the court or prior record.

- 200 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of a child's status as an absconder or fugitive; on the basis of a child's record of failing to appear at previous juvenile proceedings; or because of extraordinary circumstances which require secure detention to prevent a child from absconding.

- 300 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the written request of the child or child's attorney.

- 400 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered pending disposition, subsequent to a finding that a child committed a delinquent act or is a delinquent child.

- 500 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered following disposition pending transfer to placement.


- 600 Codes** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of the child's status, pending or subsequent to a disposition review proceeding.

- Code 701** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes

In 2012, the overwhelming majority of secure detention admissions were authorized under the 100 and 200 Codes – 26.6% and 39.6% respectively. This trend has remained constant since 2008.




Special attention is given to the utilization of Codes 206, 701, and Unknown. In instances where secure detention is authorized under Codes 206 or 701, the juvenile probation officer is required to provide a detailed written explanation of the reasons that necessitate secure detention. These codes were intended to be utilized in limited circumstances where none of the other codes are applicable.

Code 206 - The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child's age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child's family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction and substance abuse.)

Code 701 - Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.


The reporting of Unknown, as shown in this report, indicates that a code was not reported to the JCJC. Secure detention admissions that are authorized without codes are not in compliance with JCJC Standards.

The utilization of Codes 206, 701, as well as admissions with an Unknown code, accounted for 47.8% of all secure detention admissions in 2012. While the utilization of Code 206 decreased from 37.5% in 2011 to 26% in 2012, the reporting of Unknown significantly increased from 6.1% in 2011 to 16.7% in 2012. The increase in Unknown is attributed to the inclusion of Philadelphia detention data that had 26.5% of their admissions reported without a section code.






Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2012





Delinquency Placements including Disposition Reviews, 2008-2012




Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2008, with an overall decrease of 30.6%. Placements in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia decreased each year since 2008 representing an overall decrease of 29.3% in these jurisdictions. Delinquency placements in Allegheny County increased slightly (0.6%) from 2010 to 2011 but decreased by 26.1% from 2011 to 2012. Philadelphia recorded its highest number of placements in 2008, and then decreased significantly (34.0%) from 2010 to 2011. In 2012, Philadelphia placements increased by 8.9 from 2011%.

Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2008-2012

The number of placements as a percentage of total dispositions, including disposition reviews, decreased from 2011 (8.3%) to 2012 (8.1%). Allegheny County placements, as a percentage of total dispositions, decreased from 7.2% in 2011 to 5.4% in 2012. In all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia, the percentage has remained fairly stable, dropping from 10.1% in 2011 to 9.0% in 2012. Thirty-one counties showed a decrease, twenty-eight counties had an increase, and eight counties had no change in placements as a percent of dispositions.

See the map on the next page for individual county delinquency placements as a percentage of total dispositions.





New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements

The following chart depicts the relative percentage of placements that resulted from new allegations versus disposition review proceedings. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

The percentage of both new allegations and disposition reviews of total placements is shown for each county by Class County.

Statewide, over half of delinquency placements (53.8%) were ordered as a result of a disposition review. This figure, however, is significantly impacted by Philadelphia, which accounts for 31.5% of all delinquency placements statewide, and where 62.9% of all delinquency placements were ordered as a result of a disposition review.

Across all other Class County groups, the average distribution of delinquency placements is 50.3% from new allegations and 49.7% from disposition reviews. Philadelphia, Allegheny and Class 2A are the only Class County groups with a majority of delinquency placements originating from disposition reviews.

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 1			
PHILADELPHIA	37.1%	62.9%	1,630
CLASS 2			
ALLEGHENY	41.3%	58.7%	387
CLASS 2A (AVERAGE)	39.5%	60.5%	
BUCKS	24.1%	75.9%	203
DELAWARE	28.4%	71.6%	384
MONTGOMERY	69.2%	30.8%	250
CLASS 3 (AVERAGE)	56.8%	43.2%	
BERKS	57.6%	42.4%	118
CHESTER	44.8%	55.2%	116
DAUPHIN	25.3%	74.7%	174
ERIE	83.2%	16.8%	184
LACKAWANNA	51.4%	48.6%	37
LANCASTER	86.5%	13.5%	89
LEHIGH	69.6%	30.4%	138
LUZERNE	43.6%	56.4%	94
NORTHAMPTON	52.4%	47.6%	82
WESTMORELAND	51.7%	48.3%	89
YORK	55.2%	44.8%	181
CLASS 4 (AVERAGE)	50.9%	49.1%	
BEAVER	48.6%	51.4%	37
BUTLER	42.1%	57.9%	38
CAMBRIA	68.8%	31.3%	16
CUMBERLAND	31.6%	68.4%	19
FAYETTE	78.6%	21.4%	14
SCHUYLKILL	71.7%	28.3%	46
WASHINGTON	42.9%	57.1%	105
CLASS 5 (AVERAGE)	52.9%	47.1%	
BLAIR	35.3%	64.7%	17
CENTRE	50.0%	50.0%	2
FRANKLIN	68.9%	31.1%	61
LAWRENCE	45.5%	54.5%	11
LEBANON	57.9%	42.1%	38
LYCOMING	36.0%	64.0%	75
MERCER	75.6%	24.4%	45
MONROE	53.2%	46.8%	124
NORTHUMBERLAND	30.8%	69.2%	26



Delinquency Placements

New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements continued


COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 6 (AVERAGE)	58.2%	41.8%	
ADAMS	31.6%	68.4%	19
ARMSTRONG	88.9%	11.1%	9
BEDFORD	64.3%	35.7%	14
BRADFORD	66.7%	33.3%	6
CARBON	81.8%	18.2%	22
CLARION	38.5%	61.5%	13
CLEARFIELD	86.7%	13.3%	15
CLINTON	61.5%	38.5%	13
COLUMBIA	83.3%	16.7%	12
CRAWFORD	88.9%	11.1%	9
ELK	100.0%	0.0%	10
GREENE	37.5%	62.5%	8
HUNTINGDON	100.0%	0.0%	4
INDIANA	60.0%	40.0%	5
JEFFERSON	70.6%	29.4%	17
MCKEAN	75.0%	25.0%	4
MIFFLIN	10.0%	90.0%	10
PIKE	78.3%	21.7%	23
SOMERSET	30.8%	69.2%	13
SUSQUEHANNA	50.0%	50.0%	2
TIOGA	40.0%	60.0%	5
VENANGO	24.0%	76.0%	25
WARREN	28.6%	71.4%	14
WAYNE	40.0%	60.0%	10
CLASS 7 (AVERAGE)	62.8%	37.2%	
JUNIATA	37.5%	62.5%	8
PERRY	66.7%	33.3%	9
SNYDER	75.0%	25.0%	12
UNION	87.5%	12.5%	8
WYOMING	33.3%	66.7%	6
CLASS 8 (AVERAGE)	66.7%	33.3%	
CAMERON	100.0%	0.0%	1
FULTON	50.0%	50.0%	4
MONTOUR	75.0%	25.0%	4
POTTER	50.0%	50.0%	2
SULLIVAN	100.0%	0.0%	1

Forest County is not shown because this county reported zero delinquency placement dispositions in 2012.



**Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2012
Including Disposition Reviews**


Delinquency placements to private institutions continue to represent the most frequent type of delinquency placements in 2012 - 58% of all placements. Commitments to drug and alcohol programs and group homes, represented 19.2% of all delinquency placements, while all secure programs (private and DPW/YDC), boot camp, and wilderness-based comprised another 13.6%. While placements to private institutions increased from 46.2% of the total placements in 2011 to 58%, group home placements dropped from 13.6% in 2011 to 8.8% in 2012. The remaining placement types remained fairly constant.





Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2012

Although the majority of delinquency placements resulted from disposition reviews (see page 21), less than 1 in 10 disposition review proceedings resulted in a delinquency placement. The vast majority of disposition reviews resulted in an order of Continued on Previous Disposition. “Withdrawn” indicates that the motion for review was withdrawn at the hearing.





Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2012

A placement review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which resulted in out-of-home placement.

The majority of delinquency placement review proceedings resulted in an order of “Continued in Previous Placement”.

In the small percentage of placement reviews in which a transfer to another placement was ordered, most transfers were to a “private institution”.











Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2012

Juvenile Court dispositions in Pennsylvania continue to primarily involve males. As reflected in the charts, the proportion of delinquency dispositions related to new allegations of delinquency involving males increases as a case penetrates further through the juvenile justice system. The distribution of dispositions by gender for 2012 has remained relatively stable from 2011 figures.


SELECTED DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL
ALL DISPOSITIONS	31,079
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	4,445
CONSENT DECREE	6,427
PROBATION	5,683
PLACEMENTS	2,385
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	77





Total Dispositions by Age, 2011-2012

Seventeen-year olds accounted for 8,265 (26.6%) of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2012, representing the most dispositions for any age group. Juveniles who were age 16 accounted for 6,566 (21.1%), while juveniles who were age 15 accounted for 5,120 (16.5%) of the dispositions. This data remains consistent with that of 2011, in which seventeen year olds accounted for 26.8%, sixteen year olds accounted for 22.0% and fifteen year olds accounted for 16.2% of juvenile delinquency dispositions. Ten-year olds, twelve-year olds and fourteen-year olds are the three age groups in which there was an increase in the number of dispositions in 2012.



This table reflects the age of the juvenile at the time of disposition.
 Note: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.





Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2012

The following charts reflect the distribution for all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2012 by the selected variables. JCJC only requires the reporting of educational status and family status variables when a hearing occurs and/or the juvenile is seen by a juvenile probation officer. Therefore, the category “Not Reported” is listed.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Educational Status

The vast majority of delinquency dispositions in 2012 were for youth reported as “In School” at the time of disposition. Nearly 58% of youth were reported as having completed grades 9, 10, 11 or 12 or as being in an “Other” educational program.






Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2012


Family Status

In juvenile delinquency dispositions, youth whose biological parents were reported as Never Married represents the largest group – more than 45%. In only 17% of cases, the biological parents were reported as Married at the time of disposition.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.



In 2012, 46% of youth resided only with their mother, while only 17.9% of juveniles reside with both biological parents.





Race and Ethnicity

On the following pages, data on race and ethnicity trends related to Juvenile Court dispositions are provided. A few points of clarification regarding the reading and interpreting of this data should be noted.

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research collects data on race and ethnicity from each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. The collection of this data is done in concert with the protocols outlined in *Guidelines for Collecting & Recording the Race and Ethnicity of Juveniles in Conjunction with Juvenile Delinquency Disposition Reporting to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission* (National Center for Juvenile Justice, 2006). As such, race and ethnicity are collected and recorded as two separate and distinct sets of data.

For the purposes of this disposition report, and for the convenience of the reader to be able to more readily note trends related to both, race and ethnicity are presented within the same charts, graphs, and tables. References to racial or ethnic groups are defined as follows:



<i>White Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and White for race
<i>Black Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and Black for race
<i>Multi-Racial Non-Hispanic</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one or more categories for race
<i>Hispanic</i>	reported as Hispanic for ethnicity regardless of reported race
<i>Other and Unknown</i>	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one of the following races: Asian, Pacific Islander, Native Hawaiian, Native Alaskan, or Native American; or race and ethnicity was reported as unknown

The population data that is included (page 30 and appendix 1) is retrieved from The Center for Disease Control. The category of Multi-Racial is only collected in a census year; therefore this category is not included in the graphs on page 30. However, data on Multi-Racial youth is collected within the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System (PaJCMS), and this category is included in the graphs on pages 31-33.



Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity

In 2012, White Non-Hispanic youth represented the largest racial/ethnic category for all delinquency dispositions. However, in comparison to the racial/ethnic distribution of all youth in Pennsylvania, ages 10-17, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth is disproportionate: 14.1% of the population versus 37.5% of all dispositions. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, Black Non-Hispanic youth represent 8% of the youth population, but 25.8% of all delinquency dispositions. Please see the appendix for the percentage of delinquency dispositions by race and ethnicity for each county and by Class County.



In Philadelphia, Black Non-Hispanic youth represented 52.5% of the total youth population and 68% of all delinquency dispositions. Allegheny County reported an even more significant disproportion, with Black Non-Hispanic youth representing 19.8% of the total youth population but 65.3% of all delinquency dispositions. *While statewide rates of disproportionality are not evident among Hispanic youth, the sparse distribution of the Commonwealth’s Hispanic population impacts the statewide aggregate figures. Counties with significant Hispanic populations should review the appendix for county specific data on total and selected dispositions by race and ethnicity.*







Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity

The following charts show the distribution of various dispositions and secure detention by race and ethnicity.



For delinquency dispositions that did not result in out of home placement (Informal Adjustment, Consent Decree and Probation), Black Non-Hispanic youth comprise a smaller proportion of cases, while White Non-Hispanic youth comprise a larger proportion of cases, than what is reflected in all delinquency dispositions. Conversely, for dispositions that resulted in an out of home placement (not including disposition and placement reviews), the proportion of both Hispanic (12.0%) and Black Non-Hispanic (47.0%) youth exceeds the representation in all delinquency dispositions.

As in 2011, the data reported on community-based dispositions, out of home placements, and secure detention, by race and ethnicity, indicate that, in 2012, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth were more likely to be removed from their homes for services and less likely to receive a community-based intervention.






For secure detention, the statewide rate of disproportionality is more significant, with Black Non-Hispanic youth comprising more than 60% of all secure detention admissions in 2012. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth represent approximately 15% and 40%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions. In Philadelphia, 73% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth and 15.7% involved Hispanic youth. In Allegheny County, 83.0% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth.



The category Multi-Racial is not collected within the secure detention database.






Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity

The following charts show the distribution of various placement types by race and ethnicity. In 2012, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth (58.2%) for all secure placement settings was disproportionate to what is reflected among all placement dispositions, 47.0%.





Similarly, Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised approximately 58% of placements to Private Institutions, Wilderness Programs and DPW Open Youth Development Centers. Hispanic youth were disproportionately represented within DPW Youth Forestry Camps.





Dependency Dispositions, 2012

In 1991, the Commission began collecting information on dependency cases that are processed by juvenile probation departments. These figures do not reflect the total number of dependency cases in the Commonwealth, nor do they represent the total number of dependency cases handled by juvenile probation departments. A dependency case is defined as a new referral that is processed by the juvenile probation department and that does not have accompanying delinquency allegations.

Only the counties that report dependency dispositions are shown in the tables in this section.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WARNED, COUNSELED, CASE CLOSED	DISMISSED	REFERRED TO ANOTHER AGENCY	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	CONSENT DECREE	OTHER	PLACEMENT	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION
BEAVER	53	3	7	0	7	0	2	22	12
BERKS	497	497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	107	17	8	1	54	0	0	0	27
CAMERON	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	131	34	1	29	18	0	5	3	41
VENANGO	24	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0
WASHINGTON	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
WESTMORELAND	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	833	562	16	30	86	0	34	25	80
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	67.5%	1.9%	3.6%	10.3%	0.0%	4.1%	3.0%	9.6%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2012

Age calculated at date of disposition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9 & UNDER	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+	NOT REPORTED
BEAVER	53	25	1	1	0	2	2	4	10	1	1	6
BERKS	497	0	0	0	4	13	26	116	205	125	8	0
BRADFORD	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	107	0	0	1	5	11	24	31	34	1	0	0
CAMERON	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
CHESTER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	9	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	131	0	0	1	4	9	17	25	45	18	12	0
VENANGO	24	0	0	0	0	2	3	12	7	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	833	30	1	3	14	39	75	189	307	147	22	6
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	3.6%	0.1%	0.4%	1.7%	4.7%	9.0%	22.7%	36.9%	17.6%	2.6%	0.7%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Appendices



Population Statistics

County Class and Population

COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17	COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17
Philadelphia	1	1,547,607	146,809	Adams	6	101,482	10,395
Allegheny	2	1,229,338	109,277	Armstrong	6	68,409	6,462
Bucks	2A	627,053	68,489	Bedford	6	49,324	5,068
Delaware	2A	561,098	59,503	Bradford	6	62,792	6,492
Montgomery	2A	808,460	84,339	Carbon	6	65,006	6,238
Berks	3	413,491	44,929	Clarion	6	39,646	3,513
Chester	3	506,575	57,249	Clearfield	6	81,184	7,525
Dauphin	3	269,665	28,136	Clinton	6	39,517	3,703
Erie	3	280,646	28,897	Columbia	6	66,887	5,618
Lackawanna	3	214,477	19,912	Crawford	6	87,598	9,111
Lancaster	3	526,823	57,600	Elk	6	31,550	3,203
Lehigh	3	355,245	37,983	Greene	6	38,085	3,420
Luzerne	3	321,027	30,118	Huntingdon	6	45,943	4,222
Northampton	3	299,267	30,224	Indiana	6	88,218	7,438
Westmoreland	3	363,395	33,944	Jefferson	6	44,764	4,337
York	3	437,846	46,289	McKean	6	43,127	4,210
Beaver	4	170,245	15,866	Mifflin	6	46,773	4,903
Butler	4	184,970	19,524	Pike	6	56,899	6,545
Cambria	4	141,584	12,757	Somerset	6	76,957	7,049
Cumberland	4	238,614	22,500	Susquehanna	6	42,696	4,240
Fayette	4	135,660	12,886	Tioga	6	42,577	4,049
Schuylkill	4	147,063	13,524	Venango	6	54,272	5,435
Washington	4	208,716	20,039	Warren	6	41,146	4,037
Blair	5	127,121	11,927	Wayne	6	51,955	4,927
Centre	5	155,171	10,968	Juniata	7	24,904	2,584
Franklin	5	151,275	16,068	Perry	7	45,701	4,855
Lawrence	5	89,871	8,893	Snyder	7	39,672	3,922
Lebanon	5	135,251	13,802	Union	7	44,952	3,747
Lycoming	5	117,168	10,888	Wyoming	7	28,125	2,817
Mercer	5	115,655	12,036	Cameron	8	4,939	472
Monroe	5	168,798	19,934	Forest	8	7,667	531
Northumberland	5	94,428	8,760	Fulton	8	14,772	1,555
				Montour	8	18,356	1,717
				Potter	8	17,577	1,773
				Sullivan	8	6,461	516

Note: 2012 Bridged-Race Population Estimates retrieved from The Center for Disease Control available online at:
<http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-population.html>



Delinquency Dispositions, 2008-2012

The information in this section includes those cases referred to juvenile probation with alleged delinquent offenses. Disposition and Placement reviews, and dispositions involving children alleged to be dependent, are not included.

COUNTY	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	% CHANGE 11-12
ADAMS	335	330	324	293	255	-13.0%
ALLEGHENY	4,591	4,620	4,237	3,588	3,083	-14.1%
ARMSTRONG	194	160	132	110	95	-13.6%
BEAVER	543	490	454	410	442	7.8%
BEDFORD	90	53	52	36	81	125.0%
BERKS	1,607	1,620	1,361	1,353	1,202	-11.2%
BLAIR	434	448	471	337	354	5.0%
BRADFORD	94	62	112	142	117	-17.6%
BUCKS	1,548	1,477	1,277	982	878	-10.6%
BUTLER	316	308	322	278	266	-4.3%
CAMBRIA	500	438	393	361	322	-10.8%
CAMERON	26	25	21	11	9	-18.2%
CARBON	184	157	116	123	156	26.8%
CENTRE	202	167	149	127	61	-52.0%
CHESTER	1,041	1,202	952	961	774	-19.5%
CLARION	138	77	94	56	59	5.4%
CLEARFIELD	73	133	121	96	72	-25.0%
CLINTON	53	80	99	108	126	16.7%
COLUMBIA	220	165	159	114	132	15.8%
CRAWFORD	292	280	234	220	193	-12.3%
CUMBERLAND	598	599	595	592	564	-4.7%
DAUPHIN	1,484	1,476	1,417	854	759	-11.1%
DELAWARE	2,049	1,948	1,512	1,438	1,451	0.9%
ELK	76	68	84	73	78	6.8%
ERIE	971	947	905	798	823	3.1%
FAYETTE	371	366	327	331	398	20.2%
FOREST	48	25	11	18	5	-72.2%
FRANKLIN	628	567	465	393	485	23.4%
FULTON	21	28	29	29	28	-3.4%
GREENE	137	107	77	75	69	-8.0%
HUNTINGDON	75	70	77	77	48	-37.7%
INDIANA	150	118	127	146	134	-8.2%
JEFFERSON	132	85	119	94	102	8.5%
JUNIATA	45	42	33	30	29	-3.3%



Delinquency Dispositions, 2008-2012

continued

COUNTY	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	% CHANGE 11-12
LACKAWANNA	437	397	389	324	302	-6.8%
LANCASTER	1,501	1,269	1,017	1,031	1,134	10.0%
LAWRENCE	366	322	380	352	249	-29.3%
LEBANON	382	335	331	295	249	-15.6%
LEHIGH	1,257	1,554	1,449	1,429	1,231	-13.9%
LUZERNE	921	727	727	675	542	-19.7%
LYCOMING	587	568	480	446	495	11.0%
MCKEAN	113	95	64	77	87	13.0%
MERCER	292	319	336	351	296	-15.7%
MIFFLIN	112	75	134	84	77	-8.3%
MONROE	430	444	369	377	320	-15.1%
MONTGOMERY	2,338	2,679	2,172	1,699	1,461	-14.0%
MONTOUR	36	29	33	23	28	21.7%
NORTHAMPTON	938	880	780	773	725	-6.2%
NORTHUMBERLAND	582	582	492	448	369	-17.6%
PERRY	129	110	132	100	93	-7.0%
PHILADELPHIA	8,572	7,756	6,109	5,530	5,769	4.3%
PIKE	126	103	115	105	119	13.3%
POTTER	42	49	36	45	27	-40.0%
SCHUYLKILL	512	398	436	347	325	-6.3%
SNYDER	134	113	111	113	182	61.1%
SOMERSET	123	127	83	112	122	8.9%
SULLIVAN	15	2	7	3	2	-33.3%
SUSQUEHANNA	89	87	87	72	51	-29.2%
TIOGA	137	107	71	77	91	18.2%
UNION	53	56	62	35	57	62.9%
VENANGO	150	122	156	144	200	38.9%
WARREN	135	87	96	93	103	10.8%
WASHINGTON	628	576	533	508	462	-9.1%
WAYNE	101	80	85	87	112	28.7%
WESTMORELAND	1,257	1,006	822	626	582	-7.0%
WYOMING	78	66	70	68	60	-11.8%
YORK	1,915	1,703	1,632	1,441	1,507	4.6%
TOTAL	43,754	41,561	36,654	32,544	31,079	-4.5%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
ADAMS	255	0.8%	10,395	31	23	2.5
ALLEGHENY	3,083	9.9%	109,277	2	13	2.8
ARMSTRONG	95	0.3%	6,462	40	57	1.5
BEAVER	442	1.4%	15,866	22	15	2.8
BEDFORD	81	0.3%	5,068	44	52	1.6
BERKS	1,202	3.9%	44,929	9	17	2.7
BLAIR	354	1.1%	11,927	28	11	3.0
BRADFORD	117	0.4%	6,492	39	42	1.8
BUCKS	878	2.8%	68,489	4	60	1.3
BUTLER	266	0.9%	19,524	20	58	1.4
CAMBRIA	322	1.0%	12,757	26	19	2.5
CAMERON	9	0.0%	472	67	39	1.9
CARBON	156	0.5%	6,238	41	21	2.5
CENTRE	61	0.2%	10,968	29	66	0.6
CHESTER	774	2.5%	57,249	7	59	1.4
CLARION	59	0.2%	3,513	57	49	1.7
CLEARFIELD	72	0.2%	7,525	35	64	1.0
CLINTON	126	0.4%	3,703	56	6	3.4
COLUMBIA	132	0.4%	5,618	42	29	2.3
CRAWFORD	193	0.6%	9,111	32	34	2.1
CUMBERLAND	564	1.8%	22,500	16	20	2.5
DAUPHIN	759	2.4%	28,136	15	16	2.7
DELAWARE	1,451	4.7%	59,503	5	24	2.4
ELK	78	0.3%	3,203	59	25	2.4
ERIE	823	2.6%	28,897	14	12	2.8
FAYETTE	398	1.3%	12,886	25	9	3.1
FOREST	5	0.0%	531	65	65	0.9
FRANKLIN	485	1.6%	16,068	21	10	3.0
FULTON	28	0.1%	1,555	64	44	1.8
GREENE	69	0.2%	3,420	58	36	2.0
HUNTINGDON	48	0.2%	4,222	50	51	1.1
INDIANA	134	0.4%	7,438	36	43	1.8
JEFFERSON	102	0.3%	4,337	48	28	2.4
JUNIATA	29	0.1%	2,584	61	63	1.1



Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
LACKAWANNA	302	1.0%	19,912	19	56	1.5
LANCASTER	1,134	3.6%	57,600	6	37	2.0
LAWRENCE	249	0.8%	8,893	33	14	2.8
LEBANON	249	0.8%	13,802	23	41	1.8
LEHIGH	1,231	4.0%	37,983	10	8	3.2
LUZERNE	542	1.7%	30,118	13	45	1.8
LYCOMING	495	1.6%	10,888	30	2	4.5
MCKEAN	87	0.3%	4,210	51	35	2.1
MERCER	296	1.0%	12,036	27	22	2.5
MIFFLIN	77	0.2%	4,903	46	53	1.6
MONROE	320	1.0%	19,934	18	51	1.6
MONTGOMERY	1,461	4.7%	84,339	3	46	1.7
MONTOUR	28	0.1%	1,717	63	50	1.6
NORTHAMPTON	725	2.3%	30,224	12	27	2.4
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	1.2%	8,760	34	3	4.2
PERRY	93	0.3%	4,855	47	38	1.9
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	18.6%	146,809	1	4	3.9
PIKE	119	0.4%	6,545	38	40	1.8
POTTER	27	0.1%	1,773	62	54	1.5
SCHUYLKILL	325	1.0%	13,524	24	26	2.4
SNYDER	182	0.6%	3,922	54	1	4.6
SOMERSET	122	0.4%	7,049	37	47	1.7
SULLIVAN	2	0.0%	516	66	67	0.4
SUSQUEHANNA	51	0.2%	4,240	49	61	1.2
TIOGA	91	0.3%	4,049	52	32	2.2
UNION	57	0.2%	3,747	55	55	1.5
VENANGO	200	0.6%	5,435	43	5	3.7
WARREN	103	0.3%	4,037	53	18	2.6
WASHINGTON	462	1.5%	20,039	17	30	2.3
WAYNE	112	0.4%	4,927	45	31	2.3
WESTMORELAND	582	1.9%	33,944	11	48	1.7
WYOMING	60	0.2%	2,817	60	33	2.1
YORK	1,507	4.8%	46,289	8	7	3.3
TOTALS	31,079	100.0%	1,270,699			2.4

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
ADAMS	255	0	13	21	7	98	4	2
ALLEGHENY	3,083	2	23	420	202	786	0	446
ARMSTRONG	95	0	6	2	4	18	9	0
BEAVER	442	0	5	33	4	116	2	58
BEDFORD	81	0	1	1	0	2	0	1
BERKS	1,202	0	21	53	374	228	69	19
BLAIR	354	0	11	15	3	55	128	0
BRADFORD	117	0	1	8	22	11	1	6
BUCKS	878	1	65	66	8	142	104	3
BUTLER	266	3	28	13	9	17	1	1
CAMBRIA	322	3	10	9	0	1	46	47
CAMERON	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	156	2	20	11	23	0	10	2
CENTRE	61	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
CHESTER	774	1	48	34	29	121	178	9
CLARION	59	0	8	2	4	2	6	4
CLEARFIELD	72	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	126	0	3	3	34	22	0	3
COLUMBIA	132	2	7	4	15	55	2	0
CRAWFORD	193	2	22	4	3	25	4	9
CUMBERLAND	564	0	59	64	134	130	13	11
DAUPHIN	759	9	51	18	83	162	118	51
DELAWARE	1,451	7	81	51	245	38	72	45
ELK	78	0	5	5	0	14	0	0
ERIE	823	0	19	36	67	238	10	3
FAYETTE	398	0	3	2	185	42	0	5
FOREST	5	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	485	0	20	31	28	40	49	8
FULTON	28	0	4	0	1	0	5	2
GREENE	69	0	0	3	1	36	1	2
HUNTINGDON	48	0	3	0	0	4	1	3
INDIANA	134	0	5	4	6	46	17	0
JEFFERSON	102	0	10	2	0	1	0	1
JUNIATA	29	0	3	0	1	1	1	4

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2012

continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/ INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	0	0	81	21	0	0	2	6
ALLEGHENY	0	0	647	254	108	11	24	160
ARMSTRONG	0	6	18	17	0	2	5	8
BEAVER	0	0	151	38	4	7	6	18
BEDFORD	0	0	55	12	0	0	0	9
BERKS	0	9	209	73	0	56	23	68
BLAIR	0	0	98	11	1	21	5	6
BRADFORD	0	0	44	17	0	1	2	4
BUCKS	0	0	242	175	0	10	13	49
BUTLER	0	0	89	73	6	3	7	16
CAMBRIA	1	0	127	67	0	0	0	11
CAMERON	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	1
CARBON	0	1	45	22	0	0	2	18
CENTRE	0	0	51	5	0	1	0	1
CHESTER	0	0	63	223	0	0	16	52
CLARION	0	0	14	9	0	0	5	5
CLEARFIELD	0	0	22	32	0	0	0	13
CLINTON	0	2	28	17	3	2	1	8
COLUMBIA	0	4	3	18	1	1	10	10
CRAWFORD	0	0	40	70	0	1	5	8
CUMBERLAND	0	0	129	11	1	1	5	6
DAUPHIN	0	0	110	73	0	3	37	44
DELAWARE	0	0	175	569	0	12	47	109
ELK	0	0	40	4	0	0	0	10
ERIE	0	0	91	152	39	8	7	153
FAYETTE	0	0	84	17	2	37	10	11
FOREST	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	0	1	158	86	0	13	9	42
FULTON	0	0	4	7	0	2	1	2
GREENE	0	1	15	4	0	0	3	3
HUNTINGDON	0	0	21	12	0	0	0	4
INDIANA	0	1	11	20	2	0	19	3
JEFFERSON	0	0	46	27	0	0	3	12
JUNIATA	0	0	9	6	0	0	1	3

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Appendix 4

Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
LACKAWANNA	302	3	6	13	1	92	1	6
LANCASTER	1,134	0	21	136	110	236	0	3
LAWRENCE	249	4	7	22	3	81	0	10
LEBANON	249	1	22	10	4	7	2	1
LEHIGH	1,231	2	50	43	166	151	139	9
LUZERNE	542	2	21	40	31	72	163	13
LYCOMING	495	8	18	21	0	170	1	2
MCKEAN	87	0	2	3	0	14	0	2
MERCER	296	3	15	19	6	37	8	22
MIFFLIN	77	3	4	1	0	6	20	4
MONROE	320	2	16	7	2	10	2	70
MONTGOMERY	1,461	2	137	25	0	197	427	5
MONTOUR	28	0	7	0	0	3	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	725	1	72	14	65	133	58	5
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	0	20	5	6	84	109	0
PERRY	93	0	4	1	4	29	1	7
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	0	7	63	674	222	0	561
PIKE	119	1	9	2	1	0	22	2
POTTER	27	0	0	2	0	0	5	0
SCHUYLKILL	325	2	11	11	7	73	103	4
SNYDER	182	0	13	13	33	0	17	1
SOMERSET	122	0	7	24	14	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	0	1	2	2	10	3	0
TIOGA	91	0	1	2	9	0	13	0
UNION	57	0	16	3	0	5	1	0
VENANGO	200	0	10	31	0	1	0	3
WARREN	103	4	7	0	0	13	1	0
WASHINGTON	462	1	25	17	25	45	134	11
WAYNE	112	1	10	1	15	4	18	2
WESTMORELAND	582	0	34	9	57	74	40	37
WYOMING	60	0	7	6	0	3	5	3
YORK	1,507	4	35	33	26	221	672	34
TOTAL	31,079	77	1,177	1,494	2,753	4,445	2,819	1,562
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	0.2%	3.8%	4.8%	8.9%	14.3%	9.1%	5.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2012

continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	0	2	82	63	0	10	4	19
LANCASTER	0	0	80	437	0	17	17	77
LAWRENCE	0	0	67	47	0	1	2	5
LEBANON	0	0	106	71	0	1	2	22
LEHIGH	0	12	187	324	1	13	38	96
LUZERNE	0	1	55	70	3	20	10	41
LYCOMING	0	7	157	65	14	0	5	27
MCKEAN	0	0	29	29	0	0	5	3
MERCER	1	7	47	89	0	2	6	34
MIFFLIN	0	0	11	24	0	0	3	1
MONROE	0	0	24	108	3	1	9	66
MONTGOMERY	0	3	117	345	0	0	30	173
MONTOUR	0	0	7	6	0	0	1	3
NORTHAMPTON	0	6	79	155	0	40	54	43
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	1	49	53	4	25	5	8
PERRY	0	0	16	23	0	0	2	6
PHILADELPHIA	0	718	1,815	697	0	301	106	605
PIKE	0	0	18	41	1	2	2	18
POTTER	0	0	4	15	0	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	0	0	14	40	0	9	18	33
SNYDER	0	16	16	24	0	2	38	9
SOMERSET	0	0	26	45	0	0	2	4
SULLIVAN	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SUSQUEHANNA	0	0	15	15	0	0	2	1
TIOGA	0	0	38	20	0	2	4	2
UNION	0	0	14	10	0	0	1	7
VENANGO	0	3	18	43	0	0	85	6
WARREN	0	0	46	26	0	0	2	4
WASHINGTON	0	0	40	105	0	2	12	45
WAYNE	0	0	20	35	0	0	2	4
WESTMORELAND	0	1	127	131	3	1	22	46
WYOMING	0	0	6	27	0	0	1	2
YORK	0	23	172	153	4	4	26	100
TOTAL	2	825	6,427	5,483	200	645	785	2,385
% OF TOTAL	0.0%	2.7%	20.7%	17.6%	0.6%	2.1%	2.5%	7.7%

*The following counties had a total of 1,404 cases where the disposition was reported as either deferred adjudication or deferred placement with no reported adjudication of delinquency at the time of disposition. These dispositions have been included with the disposition of Consent Decree. (Bedford-3, Bucks-35, Butler-4, Chester-3, Clarion-2, Crawford-4, Erie-21, Franklin-38, Lackawanna-10, Lawrence-1, Lebanon-20, Lehigh-2, Luzerne-4, Lycoming-67, McKean-2, Mercer-21, Montgomery-1, Montour-1, Northumberland-1, Philadelphia-1,158, Snyder-2, Tioga-1, Venango-1, Wayne-1, York-1).



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	255	146	57.3%	90	35.3%	16	6.3%	0	0.0%	3	1.2%
ALLEGHENY	3,083	1,907	61.9%	671	21.8%	82	2.7%	3	0.1%	420	13.6%
ARMSTRONG	95	60	63.2%	24	25.3%	11	11.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	442	309	69.9%	111	25.1%	17	3.8%	0	0.0%	5	1.1%
BEDFORD	81	79	97.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%
BERKS	1,202	631	52.5%	501	41.7%	54	4.5%	1	0.1%	15	1.2%
BLAIR	354	196	55.4%	124	35.0%	10	2.8%	0	0.0%	24	6.8%
BRADFORD	117	95	81.2%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	21	17.9%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	878	677	77.1%	175	19.9%	18	2.1%	5	0.6%	3	0.3%
BUTLER	266	241	90.6%	1	0.4%	17	6.4%	0	0.0%	7	2.6%
CAMBRIA	322	245	76.1%	59	18.3%	13	4.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.6%
CAMERON	9	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	156	125	80.1%	19	12.2%	11	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
CENTRE	61	60	98.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%
CHESTER	774	577	74.5%	162	20.9%	35	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARION	59	40	67.8%	13	22.0%	6	10.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	72	71	98.6%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	126	77	61.1%	35	27.8%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	12	9.5%
COLUMBIA	132	59	44.7%	60	45.5%	13	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	193	153	79.3%	25	13.0%	9	4.7%	5	2.6%	1	0.5%
CUMBERLAND	564	449	79.6%	86	15.2%	28	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
DAUPHIN	759	544	71.7%	182	24.0%	33	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	1,451	1,261	86.9%	96	6.6%	91	6.3%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%
ELK	78	69	88.5%	9	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	823	690	83.8%	113	13.7%	19	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
FAYETTE	398	201	50.5%	137	34.4%	22	5.5%	2	0.5%	36	9.0%
FOREST	5	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	485	341	70.3%	86	17.7%	20	4.1%	0	0.0%	38	7.8%
FULTON	28	26	92.9%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%
GREENE	69	34	49.3%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	32	46.4%
HUNTINGDON	48	48	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	134	89	66.4%	33	24.6%	12	9.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	102	82	80.4%	2	2.0%	17	16.7%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	29	28	96.6%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	302	285	94.4%	0	0.0%	14	4.6%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	1,134	867	76.5%	212	18.7%	49	4.3%	5	0.4%	1	0.1%
LAWRENCE	249	159	63.9%	76	30.5%	12	4.8%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%
LEBANON	249	240	96.4%	0	0.0%	9	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	1,231	860	69.9%	298	24.2%	72	5.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
LUZERNE	542	284	52.4%	188	34.7%	26	4.8%	0	0.0%	44	8.1%
LYCOMING	495	317	64.0%	165	33.3%	9	1.8%	1	0.2%	3	0.6%
MCKEAN	87	80	92.0%	0	0.0%	6	6.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%
MERCER	296	266	89.9%	16	5.4%	13	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
MIFFLIN	77	59	76.6%	17	22.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%
MONROE	320	230	71.9%	59	18.4%	30	9.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
MONTGOMERY	1,461	998	68.3%	420	28.7%	37	2.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.4%
MONTOUR	28	21	75.0%	0	0.0%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
NORTHAMPTON	725	534	73.7%	139	19.2%	48	6.6%	0	0.0%	4	0.6%
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	211	57.2%	139	37.7%	19	5.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	93	86	92.5%	0	0.0%	7	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	5,330	92.4%	0	0.0%	370	6.4%	7	0.1%	62	1.1%
PIKE	119	94	79.0%	12	10.1%	8	6.7%	1	0.8%	4	3.4%
POTTER	27	21	77.8%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	325	199	61.2%	101	31.1%	23	7.1%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	182	154	84.6%	1	0.5%	2	1.1%	3	1.6%	22	12.1%
SOMERSET	122	95	77.9%	23	18.9%	4	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	51	41	80.4%	1	2.0%	8	15.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%
TIOGA	91	64	70.3%	23	25.3%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%
UNION	57	54	94.7%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%
VENANGO	200	109	54.5%	80	40.0%	10	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%
WARREN	103	89	86.4%	10	9.7%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	462	221	47.8%	149	32.3%	21	4.5%	1	0.2%	70	15.2%
WAYNE	112	74	66.1%	30	26.8%	8	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	582	373	64.1%	103	17.7%	47	8.1%	0	0.0%	59	10.1%
WYOMING	60	35	58.3%	14	23.3%	8	13.3%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%
YORK	1,507	795	52.8%	660	43.8%	50	3.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
TOTAL	31,079	22,870	73.6%	5,758	18.5%	1,480	4.8%	68	0.2%	903	2.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2012

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
ADAMS	133	104	78.2%	22	16.5%	7	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	2,167	1,566	72.3%	399	18.4%	202	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ARMSTRONG	42	26	61.9%	15	35.7%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	133	101	75.9%	14	10.5%	18	13.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	78	59	75.6%	7	9.0%	12	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	488	334	68.4%	116	23.8%	38	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BLAIR	173	103	59.5%	60	34.7%	9	5.2%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	44	29	65.9%	6	13.6%	9	20.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	580	425	73.3%	56	9.7%	99	17.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUTLER	204	135	66.2%	44	21.6%	25	12.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	231	190	82.3%	21	9.1%	20	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	73	46	63.0%	20	27.4%	7	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	60	29	48.3%	1	1.7%	30	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	429	305	71.1%	0	0.0%	124	28.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARION	39	32	82.1%	6	15.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	59	48	81.4%	6	10.2%	5	8.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	64	48	75.0%	16	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	43	34	79.1%	0	0.0%	9	20.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	147	97	66.0%	39	26.5%	11	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	81	49	60.5%	21	25.9%	11	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	428	336	78.5%	0	0.0%	92	21.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	1,075	694	64.6%	42	3.9%	331	30.8%	4	0.4%	4	0.4%
ELK	22	15	68.2%	7	31.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	449	288	64.1%	120	26.7%	41	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FAYETTE	38	32	84.2%	1	2.6%	5	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FOREST	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	202	23	11.4%	169	83.7%	10	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FULTON	17	12	70.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	12	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	45	45	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	37	37	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	85	80	94.1%	0	0.0%	5	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	29	24	82.8%	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2012

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
LACKAWANNA	205	148	72.2%	0	0.0%	57	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	665	411	61.8%	190	28.6%	64	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LAWRENCE	148	145	98.0%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	227	178	78.4%	38	16.7%	11	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	565	469	83.0%	60	10.6%	36	6.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LUZERNE	266	195	73.3%	39	14.7%	32	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LYCOMING	321	308	96.0%	7	2.2%	6	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	66	51	77.3%	0	0.0%	15	22.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	228	149	65.4%	67	29.4%	12	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	51	45	88.2%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%
MONROE	255	177	69.4%	47	18.4%	31	12.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	797	616	77.3%	0	0.0%	181	22.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTOUR	25	19	76.0%	6	24.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	398	290	72.9%	64	16.1%	44	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	101	54	53.5%	44	43.6%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	59	58	98.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	4,491	3,345	74.5%	1,010	22.5%	136	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PIKE	101	77	76.2%	0	0.0%	24	23.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
POTTER	27	23	85.2%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	117	95	81.2%	11	9.4%	10	8.5%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	53	38	71.7%	13	24.5%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	69	61	88.4%	0	0.0%	8	11.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	35	25	71.4%	7	20.0%	3	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	67	58	86.6%	0	0.0%	9	13.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	37	19	51.4%	16	43.2%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	68	10	14.7%	49	72.1%	9	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	56	39	69.6%	14	25.0%	3	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	242	164	67.8%	57	23.6%	21	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE	74	65	87.8%	0	0.0%	9	12.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	427	330	77.3%	42	9.8%	44	10.3%	0	0.0%	11	2.6%
WYOMING	49	36	73.5%	5	10.2%	8	16.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	358	249	69.6%	87	24.3%	22	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	18,366	13,315	72.5%	3,093	16.8%	1,936	10.5%	6	0.0%	16	0.1%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2012

Time lapse information is calculated from the date of allegation to the date of disposition. Disposition reviews, placement reviews, and dependency cases are not included. Dispositions are grouped into one of two categories: “formal” or “informal”. A disposition is counted in the “formal” category if a date was reported in the Date of Hearing field indicating that a hearing before a judge or master was conducted, other than a detention hearing, following the filing of a petition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
ADAMS	255	133	67.0	122	37.0
ALLEGHENY	3,083	2,167	123.0	916	124.0
ARMSTRONG	95	42	108.5	53	30.5
BEAVER	442	133	104.0	309	55.5
BEDFORD	81	78	70.0	3	32.0
BERKS	1,202	488	116.0	714	46.0
BLAIR	354	173	57.0	181	69.0
BRADFORD	117	44	127.0	73	72.0
BUCKS	878	580	64.0	298	56.5
BUTLER	266	204	66.5	62	70.0
CAMBRIA	322	231	105.0	91	77.0
CAMERON	9	6	62.0	3	64.0
CARBON	156	73	158.5	83	98.0
CENTRE	61	60	131.0	1	42.0
CHESTER	774	429	157.0	345	143.0
CLARION	59	39	58.0	20	31.5
CLEARFIELD	72	59	120.0	13	94.0
CLINTON	126	64	90.5	62	183.5
COLUMBIA	132	43	78.0	89	77.0
CRAWFORD	193	147	119.5	46	63.0
CUMBERLAND	564	81	66.0	483	61.0
DAUPHIN	759	428	104.5	331	138.0
DELAWARE	1,451	1,075	131.0	376	519.0
ELK	78	22	66.0	56	53.0
ERIE	823	449	200.0	374	84.0
FAYETTE	398	38	115.5	360	42.0
FOREST	5	3	127.0	2	25.5
FRANKLIN	485	202	80.0	283	58.0
FULTON	28	17	103.0	11	95.0
GREENE	69	12	141.0	57	71.0
HUNTINGDON	48	45	95.0	3	103.0
INDIANA	134	37	104.0	97	37.0
JEFFERSON	102	85	48.0	17	52.0
JUNIATA	29	29	37.0	0	0.0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
LACKAWANNA	302	205	97.0	97	54.0
LANCASTER	1,134	665	144.0	469	45.0
LAWRENCE	249	148	62.0	101	117.0
LEBANON	249	227	94.5	22	48.5
LEHIGH	1,231	565	53.0	666	71.0
LUZERNE	542	266	203.0	276	101.0
LYCOMING	495	321	30.0	174	25.5
MCKEAN	87	66	98.0	21	67.0
MERCER	296	228	100.0	68	68.0
MIFFLIN	77	51	60.0	26	21.0
MONROE	320	255	102.0	65	58.5
MONTGOMERY	1,461	797	129.0	664	41.0
MONTOUR	28	25	48.0	3	18.0
NORTHAMPTON	725	398	91.0	327	39.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	101	93.0	268	61.0
PERRY	93	59	86.0	34	36.0
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	4,491	63.0	1,278	3.0
PIKE	119	101	106.0	18	14.0
POTTER	27	27	60.0	0	0.0
SCHUYLKILL	325	117	94.0	208	26.0
SNYDER	182	53	183.0	129	140.0
SOMERSET	122	69	161.0	53	95.0
SULLIVAN	2	2	88.0	0	0.0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	35	56.0	16	38.0
TIOGA	91	67	55.0	24	20.0
UNION	57	37	168.0	20	109.0
VENANGO	200	68	61.0	132	512.5
WARREN	103	56	51.5	47	20.0
WASHINGTON	462	242	63.0	220	57.0
WAYNE	112	74	153.0	38	171.0
WESTMORELAND	582	427	93.0	155	50.0
WYOMING	60	49	71.0	11	32.0
YORK	1,507	358	148.0	1,149	20.0
TOTAL	31,079	18,366	92.0	12,713	50.0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Alleged Offenses by Type, 2012

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	85	104	100	209	498
ALLEGHENY	2,633	2,139	1,191	2,922	8,885
ARMSTRONG	33	116	31	130	310
BEAVER	227	363	113	327	1,030
BEDFORD	105	115	18	43	281
BERKS	521	682	252	1,007	2,462
BLAIR	184	248	117	350	899
BRADFORD	61	88	62	78	289
BUCKS	373	758	625	666	2,422
BUTLER	176	193	182	186	737
CAMBRIA	277	192	119	354	942
CAMERON	6	3	7	1	17
CARBON	151	182	58	120	511
CENTRE	26	51	61	20	158
CHESTER	767	938	685	968	3,358
CLARION	22	99	17	73	211
CLEARFIELD	102	68	22	66	258
CLINTON	52	159	50	83	344
COLUMBIA	112	63	23	130	328
CRAWFORD	185	280	61	168	694
CUMBERLAND	224	355	320	390	1,289
DAUPHIN	353	346	294	645	1,638
DELAWARE	3,073	1,618	1,087	2,660	8,438
ELK	11	210	41	28	290
ERIE	601	766	325	660	2,352
FAYETTE	215	210	59	421	905
FOREST	15	2	1	8	26
FRANKLIN	245	365	187	343	1,140
FULTON	23	35	15	20	93
GREENE	30	29	11	56	126
HUNTINGDON	24	40	10	24	98
INDIANA	50	66	65	100	281
JEFFERSON	69	64	44	70	247
JUNIATA	20	65	4	8	97

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Alleged Offenses by Type, 2012

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	282	230	76	215	803
LANCASTER	510	1,315	353	840	3,018
LAWRENCE	166	247	76	202	691
LEBANON	225	272	89	157	743
LEHIGH	660	733	424	1,041	2,858
LUZERNE	316	401	154	562	1,433
LYCOMING	263	545	118	461	1,387
MCKEAN	53	179	55	48	335
MERCER	221	331	81	267	900
MIFFLIN	48	68	32	38	186
MONROE	326	292	112	344	1,074
MONTGOMERY	1,378	1,666	689	1,438	5,171
MONTOUR	19	39	5	22	85
NORTHAMPTON	650	454	288	677	2,069
NORTHUMBERLAND	150	331	114	398	993
PERRY	76	76	46	53	251
PHILADELPHIA	7,906	4,215	2,431	5,112	19,664
PIKE	53	129	96	64	342
POTTER	5	32	6	12	55
SCHUYLKILL	238	225	100	316	879
SNYDER	69	183	37	164	453
SOMERSET	141	182	35	131	489
SULLIVAN	1	1	0	0	2
SUSQUEHANNA	23	25	29	24	101
TIOGA	64	114	43	80	301
UNION	35	110	25	22	192
VENANGO	87	191	79	140	497
WARREN	48	266	21	85	420
WASHINGTON	307	535	193	431	1,466
WAYNE	46	181	35	121	383
WESTMORELAND	260	407	246	620	1,533
WYOMING	21	29	10	40	100
YORK	531	1,298	510	1,437	3,776
TOTAL	26,229	26,314	12,865	28,896	94,304
% OF TOTAL	27.8%	27.9%	13.6%	30.6%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2012

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	10	15	17	5	47
ALLEGHENY	556	444	302	535	1,837
ARMSTRONG	10	51	6	13	80
BEAVER	26	48	17	32	123
BEDFORD	57	16	5	5	83
BERKS	197	301	78	210	786
BLAIR	22	43	22	25	112
BRADFORD	15	11	6	2	34
BUCKS	186	300	238	128	852
BUTLER	34	56	65	68	223
CAMBRIA	37	40	23	78	178
CAMERON	3	1	5	0	9
CARBON	38	50	18	28	134
CENTRE	1	6	3	1	11
CHESTER	181	269	212	212	874
CLARION	4	20	12	6	42
CLEARFIELD	66	46	12	50	174
CLINTON	20	55	14	9	98
COLUMBIA	20	23	11	22	76
CRAWFORD	74	112	47	62	295
CUMBERLAND	9	30	10	13	62
DAUPHIN	131	165	138	259	693
DELAWARE	1,221	765	421	565	2,972
ELK	4	31	4	1	40
ERIE	293	335	116	233	977
FAYETTE	47	34	6	36	123
FOREST	3	1	0	1	5
FRANKLIN	107	178	87	78	450
FULTON	14	18	8	8	48
GREENE	5	13	3	5	26
HUNTINGDON	12	21	8	13	54
INDIANA	17	12	14	14	57
JEFFERSON	21	25	10	15	71
JUNIATA	13	45	4	4	66

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2012

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	94	66	15	56	231
LANCASTER	314	759	165	318	1,556
LAWRENCE	39	83	18	23	163
LEBANON	93	130	63	104	390
LEHIGH	315	290	180	226	1,011
LUZERNE	139	207	66	122	534
LYCOMING	110	169	61	70	410
MCKEAN	14	86	10	10	120
MERCER	73	169	48	92	382
MIFFLIN	15	30	14	13	72
MONROE	103	88	63	51	305
MONTGOMERY	699	749	211	236	1,895
MONTOUR	7	20	6	10	43
NORTHAMPTON	214	144	99	84	541
NORTHUMBERLAND	28	65	33	27	153
PERRY	23	16	21	8	68
PHILADELPHIA	909	675	639	716	2,939
PIKE	16	51	46	21	134
POTTER	4	31	5	12	52
SCHUYLKILL	119	112	47	61	339
SNYDER	11	41	12	12	76
SOMERSET	39	52	15	31	137
SULLIVAN	1	0	0	0	1
SUSQUEHANNA	7	8	3	8	26
TIOGA	12	19	15	9	55
UNION	8	27	1	9	45
VENANGO	29	58	19	20	126
WARREN	16	46	5	21	88
WASHINGTON	126	296	87	100	609
WAYNE	34	86	29	34	183
WESTMORELAND	64	111	81	142	398
WYOMING	5	17	3	18	43
YORK	172	590	180	209	1,151
TOTAL	7,306	8,871	4,202	5,609	25,988
% OF TOTAL	28.1%	34.1%	16.2%	21.6%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2012*

This table reflects the median length of stay in secure detention. The median is defined as the value above and below which half the cases fall. In 2012, the median length of stay in secure detention was 6 days, which is unchanged from 2011.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
PHILADELPHIA	4,592	2.0
ALLEGHENY	2,967	4.0
DELAWARE	1,070	14.0
BUCKS	746	5.0
MONTGOMERY	651	14.0
LEHIGH	355	14.0
BERKS	331	15.0
CHESTER	302	19.0
LACKAWANNA	270	4.0
LANCASTER	265	27.0
DAUPHIN	249	14.0
NORTHAMPTON	221	17.0
ERIE	212	14.0
WASHINGTON	203	10.0
WESTMORELAND	176	11.0
YORK	134	14.0
MONROE	119	11.0
LUZERNE	112	11.0
LYCOMING	99	8.0
LEBANON	57	11.0
BEAVER	55	13.0
FRANKLIN	54	13.0
CLEARFIELD	51	12.0
CAMBRIA	48	12.0
CUMBERLAND	44	8.0
CENTRE	42	11.0
FAYETTE	39	7.0
MIFFLIN	37	10.0
SCHUYLKILL	36	7.0
BLAIR	30	11.0
PIKE	27	22.0
INDIANA	25	4.0
COLUMBIA	21	21.0



Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2012*

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
ADAMS	20	8.5
CLINTON	19	14.0
TIOGA	17	4.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	16	3.0
PERRY	16	9.5
SOMERSET	15	9.0
WYOMING	15	14.0
JUNIATA	14	12.5
BRADFORD	13	7.0
HUNTINGDON	12	12.0
WAYNE	12	19.0
CARBON	11	10.0
BEDFORD	10	7.5
POTTER	10	15.0
SNYDER	9	14.0
BUTLER	7	26.0
MCKEAN	6	36.5
ELK	5	9.0
MONTOUR	3	7.0
UNION	3	3.0
ARMSTRONG	2	21.5
CAMERON	2	8.5
GREENE	2	4.0
CLARION	1	16.0
CRAWFORD	1	14.0
FULTON	1	38.0
SULLIVAN	1	86.0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	4.0
WARREN	1	10.0
FOREST	0	0.0
JEFFERSON	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0
MERCER	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
TOTAL	13,885	6.0

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.

*Includes 278 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (55); Butler (7); Fayette (14); and Washington (202).



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2012*

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
ADAMS	20	8	5	0	1	0	2	4	0
ALLEGHENY	2,967	632	2,169	1	6	5	58	16	80
ARMSTRONG	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	55	10	27	0	0	0	6	0	12
BEDFORD	10	5	3	0	1	0	1	0	0
BERKS	332	138	98	0	20	5	11	40	20
BLAIR	30	9	16	0	0	0	0	3	2
BRADFORD	13	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
BUCKS	747	59	304	1	2	3	32	14	332
BUTLER	7	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
CAMBRIA	48	24	9	0	0	0	5	0	10
CAMERON	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CARBON	11	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
CENTRE	42	10	20	0	1	0	11	0	0
CHESTER	302	90	53	0	93	12	21	28	5
CLARION	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	51	12	24	0	0	0	2	1	12
CLINTON	19	7	7	0	0	1	0	4	0
COLUMBIA	21	15	4	0	1	0	1	0	0
CRAWFORD	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	44	8	31	0	0	0	2	2	1
DAUPHIN	249	143	47	0	15	0	24	16	4
DELAWARE	1,070	326	429	0	10	4	86	0	215
ELK	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ERIE	212	65	79	0	4	43	16	2	3
FAYETTE	39	12	14	0	3	4	0	4	2
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	54	31	18	0	0	0	1	1	3
FULTON	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	4
INDIANA	25	4	0	0	0	0	2	19	0
JEFFERSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	14	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	1



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2012*

continued





COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
LACKAWANNA	270	68	81	0	8	10	37	10	56
LANCASTER	265	147	68	0	3	3	15	28	1
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	57	13	40	0	0	0	0	0	4
LEHIGH	355	178	68	0	7	8	19	65	10
LUZERNE	112	17	42	0	2	0	5	4	42
LYCOMING	99	30	60	0	1	3	2	1	2
MCKEAN	6	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	37	9	21	0	1	0	2	0	4
MONROE	119	18	74	0	1	4	4	1	17
MONTGOMERY	651	151	34	0	3	1	13	253	196
MONTOUR	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	221	71	128	0	4	3	7	2	6
NORTHUMBERLAND	16	5	8	0	0	3	0	0	0
PERRY	16	0	1	0	14	0	1	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	4,612	1,157	1,288	2	92	735	34	83	1,221
PIKE	27	5	6	0	11	0	2	0	3
POTTER	10	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
SCHUYLKILL	36	20	2	0	2	4	5	3	0
SNYDER	9	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
SOMERSET	15	7	2	0	0	1	2	0	3
SULLIVAN	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	17	2	6	0	0	0	2	3	4
UNION	3	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	203	73	12	0	2	3	0	86	27
WAYNE	12	0	8	0	0	0	2	1	1
WESTMORELAND	176	35	101	0	1	13	24	0	2
WYOMING	15	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	2
YORK	134	33	76	0	2	1	20	2	0
TOTAL	13,907	3,693	5,520	4	313	873	479	706	2,319
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	26.6%	39.7%	0.0%	2.3%	6.3%	3.4%	5.1%	16.7%

*Includes 278 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (55); Butler (7); Fayette (14); and Washington (202).



Secure Detention by Selected Codes and Class County, 2012

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.








- Code 206** The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child's age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child's family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction and substance abuse.)
- Code 701** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.



Secure Detention by Selected Codes and Class County, 2012

continued

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.





Delinquency Placements, 2008-2012 Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	PERCENT CHANGE 11-12	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
ADAMS	36	21	22	17	19	11.8%	5.1%
ALLEGHENY	587	587	521	524	387	-26.1%	5.4%
ARMSTRONG	8	9	7	8	9	12.5%	8.6%
BEAVER	42	40	36	45	37	-17.8%	7.6%
BEDFORD	14	15	12	12	14	16.7%	11.9%
BERKS	220	161	157	111	118	6.3%	7.6%
BLAIR	18	18	14	17	17	0.0%	3.9%
BRADFORD	5	6	7	9	6	-33.3%	4.8%
BUCKS	400	350	286	261	203	-22.2%	11.4%
BUTLER	35	37	40	50	38	-24.0%	8.4%
CAMBRIA	13	19	15	20	16	-20.0%	3.7%
CAMERON	3	1	0	2	1	-50.0%	5.6%
CARBON	21	13	10	13	22	69.2%	12.1%
CENTRE	19	27	15	12	2	-83.3%	3.1%
CHESTER	205	173	135	128	116	-9.4%	8.5%
CLARION	24	11	16	18	13	-27.8%	8.9%
CLEARFIELD	5	8	13	15	15	0.0%	19.5%
CLINTON	4	14	17	11	13	18.2%	7.2%
COLUMBIA	11	12	15	14	12	-14.3%	6.4%
CRAWFORD	35	26	24	20	9	-55.0%	3.1%
CUMBERLAND	33	23	18	28	19	-32.1%	2.2%
DAUPHIN	257	160	166	206	174	-15.5%	12.2%
DELAWARE	486	474	330	349	384	10.0%	8.3%
ELK	8	5	10	6	10	66.7%	12.7%
ERIE	247	245	217	221	184	-16.7%	20.0%
FAYETTE	19	18	14	21	14	-33.3%	3.2%
FOREST	2	1	0	2	0	-100.0%	0.0%
FRANKLIN	68	65	75	58	61	5.2%	8.8%
FULTON	4	3	3	4	4	0.0%	10.0%
GREENE	6	9	10	5	8	60.0%	10.0%
HUNTINGDON	3	6	5	8	4	-50.0%	8.0%
INDIANA	7	2	2	5	5	0.0%	3.3%
JEFFERSON	19	9	14	24	17	-29.2%	15.6%
JUNIATA	11	8	11	8	8	0.0%	19.0%

NOTE: Does not include placement reviews.



Delinquency Placements, 2008-2012

Including Disposition Reviews

continued

COUNTY	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	PERCENT CHANGE 11-12	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
LACKAWANNA	76	56	73	34	37	8.8%	3.6%
LANCASTER	170	115	100	96	89	-7.3%	5.6%
LAWRENCE	14	11	15	21	11	-47.6%	3.5%
LEBANON	50	30	42	28	38	35.7%	11.7%
LEHIGH	263	185	220	196	138	-29.6%	7.3%
LUZERNE	212	106	84	78	94	20.5%	10.1%
LYCOMING	75	60	53	83	75	-9.6%	8.8%
MCKEAN	12	6	7	5	4	-20.0%	2.8%
MERCER	50	44	46	63	45	-28.6%	11.7%
MIFFLIN	18	16	12	13	10	-23.1%	10.3%
MONROE	116	106	117	118	124	5.1%	18.9%
MONTGOMERY	284	273	296	219	250	14.2%	15.5%
MONTOUR	9	4	2	4	4	0.0%	9.8%
NORTHAMPTON	128	120	113	88	82	-6.8%	9.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	26	37	28	22	26	18.2%	6.4%
PERRY	14	8	10	14	9	-35.7%	9.0%
PHILADELPHIA	2,404	2,311	2,269	1,497	1,630	8.9%	7.4%
PIKE	27	24	24	21	23	9.5%	13.7%
POTTER	1	1	1	2	2	0.0%	7.1%
SCHUYLKILL	45	55	41	46	46	0.0%	12.8%
SNYDER	11	8	14	6	12	100.0%	5.4%
SOMERSET	3	13	5	3	13	333.3%	7.0%
SULLIVAN	2	0	0	0	1	100.0%	50.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	11	5	7	1	2	100.0%	3.1%
TIOGA	17	12	7	8	5	-37.5%	4.0%
UNION	7	4	5	4	8	100.0%	13.3%
VENANGO	11	8	21	21	25	19.0%	8.6%
WARREN	19	9	14	11	14	27.3%	10.1%
WASHINGTON	73	76	72	87	105	20.7%	15.2%
WAYNE	18	13	10	2	10	400.0%	7.9%
WESTMORELAND	143	90	131	97	89	-8.2%	7.6%
WYOMING	13	8	7	2	6	200.0%	7.1%
YORK	247	186	198	191	181	-5.2%	8.3%
TOTAL	7,444	6,576	6,281	5,333	5,167	-3.1%	8.1%

NOTE: Does not include placement reviews.



Delinquency Placements by Type, 2012 Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED†	BOOT CAMP	INDEP LIVING	OTHER TYPE	DPW YFC
ADAMS	19	8	1	3	1	0	4	1	0	0	1	0
ALLEGHENY	387	245	9	65	0	15	39	10	0	3	1	6
ARMSTRONG	9	2	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	37	17	0	5	0	1	10	1	0	2	1	0
BEDFORD	14	5	2	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0
BERKS	118	83	2	5	2	8	15	1	0	2	0	0
BLAIR	17	6	0	5	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	6	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	203	76	1	16	2	4	62	29	12	0	1	9
BUTLER	38	20	3	3	2	3	4	0	0	2	1	0
CAMBRIA	16	5	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	0
CAMERON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CARBON	22	15	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
CENTRE	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	116	41	0	19	5	10	10	13	7	10	1	0
CLARION	13	6	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
CLEARFIELD	15	8	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
CLINTON	13	8	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	12	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	9	0	0	5	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	19	9	0	0	0	2	7	1	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	174	108	9	7	1	30	3	5	1	4	6	4
DELAWARE	384	252	0	38	2	12	39	0	0	6	35	0
ELK	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	184	84	0	56	0	23	21	0	0	0	0	0
FAYETTE	14	10	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	61	29	0	1	2	0	2	21	0	5	1	2
FULTON	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
GREENE	8	2	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	4	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	17	8	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
JUNIATA	8	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0

† Placements to the Department of Public Welfare Youth Forestry Camps are included in either Wilderness-Based.



Delinquency Placements by Type, 2012
Including Disposition Reviews
continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED†	BOOT CAMP	INDEP LIVING	OTHER TYPE	DPW YFC
LACKAWANNA	37	14	0	1	0	7	7	1	7	0	0	0
LANCASTER	89	32	1	30	3	7	7	1	7	1	0	1
LAWRENCE	11	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	38	23	0	0	0	2	5	0	7	1	0	0
LEHIGH	138	44	11	3	1	22	5	37	15	0	0	37
LUZERNE	94	65	0	0	0	6	12	7	1	1	2	6
LYCOMING	75	39	0	1	5	6	0	0	20	4	0	0
MCKEAN	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MERCER	45	13	0	12	0	3	6	6	3	2	0	6
MIFFLIN	10	5	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
MONROE	124	49	0	0	0	12	9	10	42	0	2	1
MONTGOMERY	250	133	1	11	12	4	8	62	0	16	3	2
MONTOUR	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	82	6	0	48	0	2	21	1	1	0	3	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	26	5	0	1	3	0	7	0	10	0	0	0
PERRY	9	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	1,630	1,267	54	26	3	132	98	28	1	9	12	27
PIKE	23	6	2	0	3	0	1	1	10	0	0	1
POTTER	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	46	25	4	0	1	1	9	1	3	0	2	0
SNYDER	12	5	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	0
SOMERSET	13	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	1
SULLIVAN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
TIOGA	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
UNION	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
VENANGO	25	20	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
WARREN	14	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	105	47	0	15	2	9	25	2	5	0	0	2
WAYNE	10	5	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	89	17	0	33	1	5	27	4	1	1	0	0
WYOMING	6	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
YORK	181	56	9	8	2	39	24	31	0	5	7	31
TOTAL	5,167	2,995	110	457	61	383	536	278	181	78	88	139
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	58.0%	2.1%	8.8%	1.2%	7.4%	10.4%	5.4%	3.5%	1.5%	1.7%	2.7%



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2012

A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	114	22	1	0	1	3	74	0	13
ALLEGHENY	4,109	1,276	2	1	2	33	2,568	0	227
ARMSTRONG	10	1	0	0	0	2	6	0	1
BEAVER	44	1	6	0	0	7	11	0	19
BEDFORD	37	15	0	0	0	7	9	1	5
BERKS	352	34	1	5	44	66	150	2	50
BLAIR	80	19	1	0	0	1	48	0	11
BRADFORD	7	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	2
BUCKS	901	112	0	4	30	144	457	0	154
BUTLER	184	12	0	5	1	1	143	0	22
CAMBRIA	114	28	9	0	1	8	59	4	5
CAMERON	9	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
CARBON	26	5	0	0	0	1	16	0	4
CENTRE	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
CHESTER	590	0	0	0	1	8	517	0	64
CLARION	87	20	0	0	0	0	58	1	8
CLEARFIELD	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2
CLINTON	55	10	0	0	0	4	35	1	5
COLUMBIA	56	5	2	6	0	10	29	2	2
CRAWFORD	96	9	0	0	0	11	73	2	1
CUMBERLAND	307	153	4	4	10	3	117	3	13
DAUPHIN	667	81	2	2	152	190	110	0	130
DELAWARE	3,170	294	1	10	1	19	2,552	18	275
ELK	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ERIE	97	0	0	0	0	6	59	1	31
FAYETTE	35	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	3
FOREST	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	208	74	0	31	19	13	23	29	19
FULTON	12	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	2
GREENE	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	5
HUNTINGDON	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
INDIANA	17	0	0	1	0	0	14	0	2
JEFFERSON	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
JUNIATA	13	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	5



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	734	81	0	0	3	2	622	8	18
LANCASTER	448	6	1	3	1	28	397	0	12
LAWRENCE	68	0	1	7	0	0	52	2	6
LEBANON	77	12	0	0	6	3	40	0	16
LEHIGH	656	80	0	1	2	6	518	7	42
LUZERNE	389	73	1	1	17	8	227	9	53
LYCOMING	355	41	3	6	17	30	180	30	48
MCKEAN	58	1	0	0	2	6	48	0	1
MERCER	89	14	0	6	0	3	40	15	11
MIFFLIN	20	2	0	0	0	1	5	3	9
MONROE	337	106	1	1	0	2	169	0	58
MONTGOMERY	153	0	0	0	0	7	64	5	77
MONTOUR	13	2	0	0	2	1	7	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	178	50	0	0	0	5	83	1	39
NORTHUMBERLAND	39	1	0	0	0	11	9	0	18
PERRY	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3
PHILADELPHIA	16,121	2,922	35	15	2,589	230	9,296	9	1,025
PIKE	49	9	0	0	0	6	20	9	5
POTTER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	35	0	0	1	0	0	19	2	13
SNYDER	40	20	0	0	0	7	9	1	3
SOMERSET	64	5	0	1	0	1	48	0	9
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	14	5	0	0	0	0	7	1	1
TIOGA	34	9	0	0	5	3	14	0	3
UNION	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
VENANGO	92	4	0	0	0	0	69	0	19
WARREN	35	0	0	0	0	5	19	1	10
WASHINGTON	231	14	0	0	1	13	141	2	60
WAYNE	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	6
WESTMORELAND	590	324	1	0	1	17	204	0	43
WYOMING	24	2	0	0	0	1	16	1	4
YORK	674	36	1	0	1	437	108	10	81
TOTAL	33,071	6,000	75	111	2,911	1,387	19,622	183	2,782
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	18.1%	0.2%	0.3%	8.8%	4.2%	59.3%	0.6%	8.4%

NOTE: Dispositional outcomes were combined for these tables. *Informal Adjustment* and *Fines and Costs* are included in the category titled *Other*. *Transferred to Other Juvenile Court* and *Referred to Another Agency/Individual* are included in the category *Referred*. *Complaint Withdrawn and Warned, Counseled, Case Closed* are included in the category *Withdrawn*. *Protective Supervision* and *Probation with Day Treatment* are included with *Probation*.



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2012

A *placement review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition that resulted in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
ADAMS	39	23	15	1
ALLEGHENY	1,088	535	445	108
ARMSTRONG	11	5	6	0
BEAVER	22	1	9	12
BEDFORD	24	12	9	3
BERKS	299	152	117	30
BLAIR	38	20	15	3
BRADFORD	14	10	4	0
BUCKS	362	161	155	46
BUTLER	90	47	32	11
CAMBRIA	28	12	15	1
CAMERON	1	0	1	0
CARBON	30	13	15	2
CENTRE	10	4	6	0
CHESTER	311	153	109	49
CLARION	27	13	13	1
CLEARFIELD	9	4	5	0
CLINTON	30	17	11	2
COLUMBIA	15	2	12	1
CRAWFORD	26	12	12	2
CUMBERLAND	40	21	14	5
DAUPHIN	227	172	41	14
DELAWARE	663	241	352	70
ELK	1	0	1	0
ERIE	383	117	186	80
FAYETTE	31	10	20	1
FOREST	5	3	1	1
FRANKLIN	126	60	58	8
FULTON	8	5	3	0
GREENE	7	3	4	0
HUNTINGDON	3	2	1	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	4	0	1	3
JUNIATA	23	16	5	2



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
LACKAWANNA	76	28	41	7
LANCASTER	254	130	85	39
LAWRENCE	14	9	5	0
LEBANON	48	31	9	8
LEHIGH	289	143	130	16
LUZERNE	215	107	82	26
LYCOMING	100	30	59	11
MCKEAN	15	11	3	1
MERCER	79	27	47	5
MIFFLIN	24	11	11	2
MONROE	223	114	96	13
MONTGOMERY	542	240	240	62
MONTOUR	10	6	3	1
NORTHAMPTON	88	68	13	7
NORTHUMBERLAND	28	18	8	2
PERRY	25	14	10	1
PHILADELPHIA	6,928	5,334	1,416	178
PIKE	28	10	18	0
POTTER	4	4	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	53	40	6	7
SNYDER	23	18	5	0
SOMERSET	5	3	1	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	10	5	3	2
TIOGA	15	8	4	3
UNION	20	16	3	1
VENANGO	61	48	7	6
WARREN	15	15	0	0
WASHINGTON	201	68	92	41
WAYNE	21	14	2	5
WESTMORELAND	203	80	80	43
WYOMING	13	7	3	3
YORK	389	184	166	39
TOTAL	14,014	8,687	4,341	986
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	62.0%	31.0%	7.0%



Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	INDEP. LIVING	OTHER TYPE
ADAMS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	108	51	2	14	0	9	18	4	0	10	0
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	12	7	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
BEDFORD	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
BERKS	30	19	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	1
BLAIR	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	46	23	0	3	1	4	3	6	3	3	0
BUTLER	11	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CENTRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	49	10	1	9	2	17	2	0	5	2	1
CLARION	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
CUMBERLAND	5	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
DAUPHIN	14	7	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
DELAWARE	70	39	0	14	1	10	1	0	0	1	4
ELK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	80	50	0	22	1	5	0	0	0	2	0
FAYETTE	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
FOREST	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	8	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0



Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & ALCOHOL	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	INDEP. LIVING	OTHER TYPE
LACKAWANNA	7	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
LANCASTER	39	9	0	10	0	7	1	1	0	11	0
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	8	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	16	5	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	1	0
LUZERNE	26	13	0	0	0	4	1	2	0	5	1
LYCOMING	11	3	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	2	0
MCKEAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MERCER	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
MIFFLIN	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
MONROE	13	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	0	0
MONTGOMERY	62	29	0	4	9	5	0	11	0	2	2
MONTOUR	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	7	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PERRY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	178	91	9	20	3	23	6	0	0	24	2
PIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	7	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
SNYDER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
UNION	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	6	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
WARREN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	41	20	0	6	0	15	0	0	0	0	0
WAYNE	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
WESTMORELAND	43	11	0	8	1	5	4	0	2	12	0
WYOMING	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
YORK	39	7	2	2	2	10	0	1	0	15	0
TOTAL	986	442	21	127	39	144	43	29	18	105	18
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	44.8%	2.1%	12.9%	4.0%	14.6%	4.4%	2.9%	1.8%	10.6%	1.8%



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2012
Age calculated at date of disposition

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	255	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	10	3.9%	24	9.4%
ALLEGHENY	3,083	20	0.6%	65	2.1%	119	3.9%	223	7.2%
ARMSTRONG	95	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	1	1.1%	5	5.3%
BEAVER	442	3	0.7%	3	0.7%	12	2.7%	22	5.0%
BEDFORD	81	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	7	8.6%	7	8.6%
BERKS	1,202	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	39	3.2%	82	6.8%
BLAIR	354	0	0.0%	3	0.8%	12	3.4%	17	4.8%
BRADFORD	117	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.4%	8	6.8%
BUCKS	878	0	0.0%	6	0.7%	18	2.1%	34	3.9%
BUTLER	266	2	0.8%	9	3.4%	0	0.0%	4	1.5%
CAMBRIA	322	1	0.3%	3	0.9%	12	3.7%	15	4.7%
CAMERON	9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	156	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	3.8%	9	5.8%
CENTRE	61	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%
CHESTER	774	1	0.1%	6	0.8%	12	1.6%	30	3.9%
CLARION	59	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	8	13.6%
CLEARFIELD	72	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	6	8.3%	6	8.3%
CLINTON	126	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	3	2.4%	9	7.1%
COLUMBIA	132	1	0.8%	2	1.5%	3	2.3%	8	6.1%
CRAWFORD	193	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	9	4.7%	12	6.2%
CUMBERLAND	564	5	0.9%	8	1.4%	14	2.5%	42	7.4%
DAUPHIN	759	7	0.9%	17	2.2%	30	4.0%	44	5.8%
DELAWARE	1,451	2	0.1%	24	1.7%	45	3.1%	79	5.4%
ELK	78	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%
ERIE	823	5	0.6%	15	1.8%	24	2.9%	60	7.3%
FAYETTE	398	2	0.5%	5	1.3%	16	4.0%	32	8.0%
FOREST	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	485	3	0.6%	9	1.9%	19	3.9%	38	7.8%
FULTON	28	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	69	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%	7	10.1%
HUNTINGDON	48	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	3	6.3%	5	10.4%
INDIANA	134	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	5	3.7%	2	1.5%
JEFFERSON	102	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	1	1.0%	5	4.9%
JUNIATA	29	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2012

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	33	12.9%	45	17.6%	51	20.0%	80	31.4%	10	3.9%
ALLEGHENY	382	12.4%	496	16.1%	610	19.8%	741	24.0%	427	13.9%
ARMSTRONG	8	8.4%	11	11.6%	19	20.0%	32	33.7%	17	17.9%
BEAVER	52	11.8%	77	17.4%	98	22.2%	113	25.6%	62	14.0%
BEDFORD	10	12.3%	18	22.2%	8	9.9%	24	29.6%	6	7.4%
BERKS	118	9.8%	225	18.7%	308	25.6%	308	25.6%	115	9.6%
BLAIR	63	17.8%	50	14.1%	88	24.9%	94	26.6%	27	7.6%
BRADFORD	12	10.3%	16	13.7%	30	25.6%	29	24.8%	16	13.7%
BUCKS	56	6.4%	134	15.3%	224	25.5%	273	31.1%	133	15.1%
BUTLER	30	11.3%	47	17.7%	73	27.4%	79	29.7%	22	8.3%
CAMBRIA	41	12.7%	43	13.4%	71	22.0%	93	28.9%	43	13.4%
CAMERON	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%
CARBON	17	10.9%	25	16.0%	36	23.1%	35	22.4%	28	17.9%
CENTRE	4	6.6%	7	11.5%	13	21.3%	20	32.8%	15	24.6%
CHESTER	60	7.8%	111	14.3%	153	19.8%	215	27.8%	186	24.0%
CLARION	5	8.5%	4	6.8%	11	18.6%	25	42.4%	5	8.5%
CLEARFIELD	10	13.9%	10	13.9%	18	25.0%	14	19.4%	7	9.7%
CLINTON	26	20.6%	18	14.3%	25	19.8%	26	20.6%	17	13.5%
COLUMBIA	15	11.4%	25	18.9%	24	18.2%	38	28.8%	16	12.1%
CRAWFORD	26	13.5%	37	19.2%	46	23.8%	47	24.4%	15	7.8%
CUMBERLAND	46	8.2%	87	15.4%	123	21.8%	169	30.0%	70	12.4%
DAUPHIN	83	10.9%	119	15.7%	149	19.6%	197	26.0%	113	14.9%
DELAWARE	158	10.9%	237	16.3%	241	16.6%	353	24.3%	312	21.5%
ELK	12	15.4%	15	19.2%	15	19.2%	24	30.8%	8	10.3%
ERIE	100	12.2%	139	16.9%	175	21.3%	188	22.8%	117	14.2%
FAYETTE	48	12.1%	63	15.8%	93	23.4%	105	26.4%	34	8.5%
FOREST	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%
FRANKLIN	53	10.9%	82	16.9%	87	17.9%	145	29.9%	49	10.1%
FULTON	2	7.1%	8	28.6%	3	10.7%	11	39.3%	4	14.3%
GREENE	14	20.3%	11	15.9%	12	17.4%	13	18.8%	9	13.0%
HUNTINGDON	3	6.3%	7	14.6%	13	27.1%	8	16.7%	8	16.7%
INDIANA	15	11.2%	22	16.4%	26	19.4%	47	35.1%	16	11.9%
JEFFERSON	14	13.7%	13	12.7%	28	27.5%	32	31.4%	6	5.9%
JUNIATA	2	6.9%	5	17.2%	7	24.1%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2012

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	302	4	1.3%	7	2.3%	11	3.6%	25	8.3%
LANCASTER	1,134	3	0.3%	8	0.7%	27	2.4%	60	5.3%
LAWRENCE	249	1	0.4%	6	2.4%	13	5.2%	16	6.4%
LEBANON	249	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	4	1.6%	25	10.0%
LEHIGH	1,231	3	0.2%	15	1.2%	41	3.3%	87	7.1%
LUZERNE	542	3	0.6%	11	2.0%	22	4.1%	23	4.2%
LYCOMING	495	2	0.4%	7	1.4%	33	6.7%	50	10.1%
MCKEAN	87	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	4	4.6%	7	8.0%
MERCER	296	2	0.7%	4	1.4%	14	4.7%	17	5.7%
MIFFLIN	77	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	9.1%
MONROE	320	2	0.6%	4	1.3%	5	1.6%	18	5.6%
MONTGOMERY	1,461	5	0.3%	10	0.7%	31	2.1%	110	7.5%
MONTOUR	28	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%
NORTHAMPTON	725	3	0.4%	8	1.1%	11	1.5%	43	5.9%
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	0	0.0%	3	0.8%	13	3.5%	28	7.6%
PERRY	93	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	3	3.2%
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	69	1.2%	101	1.8%	224	3.9%	431	7.5%
PIKE	119	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%	4	3.4%
POTTER	27	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%
SCHUYLKILL	325	2	0.6%	6	1.8%	16	4.9%	19	5.8%
SNYDER	182	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	3.3%	7	3.8%
SOMERSET	122	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	6	4.9%
SULLIVAN	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	51	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.9%	6	11.8%
TIOGA	91	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	5.5%
UNION	57	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	7	12.3%
VENANGO	200	2	1.0%	1	0.5%	10	5.0%	20	10.0%
WARREN	103	1	1.0%	4	3.9%	5	4.9%	11	10.7%
WASHINGTON	462	8	1.7%	8	1.7%	18	3.9%	32	6.9%
WAYNE	112	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.6%	8	7.1%
WESTMORELAND	582	1	0.2%	11	1.9%	21	3.6%	35	6.0%
WYOMING	60	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	3	5.0%
YORK	1,507	3	0.2%	17	1.1%	52	3.5%	85	5.6%
TOTAL	31,079	174	0.6%	434	1.4%	1,034	3.3%	2,043	6.6%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2012

Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	35	11.6%	55	18.2%	68	22.5%	72	23.8%	25	8.3%
LANCASTER	129	11.4%	179	15.8%	250	22.0%	332	29.3%	146	12.9%
LAWRENCE	26	10.4%	46	18.5%	45	18.1%	71	28.5%	25	10.0%
LEBANON	30	12.0%	50	20.1%	67	26.9%	49	19.7%	22	8.8%
LEHIGH	168	13.6%	209	17.0%	236	19.2%	340	27.6%	132	10.7%
LUZERNE	50	9.2%	76	14.0%	91	16.8%	131	24.2%	135	24.9%
LYCOMING	56	11.3%	76	15.4%	111	22.4%	117	23.6%	43	8.7%
MCKEAN	13	14.9%	14	16.1%	19	21.8%	23	26.4%	6	6.9%
MERCER	41	13.9%	45	15.2%	61	20.6%	74	25.0%	38	12.8%
MIFFLIN	11	14.3%	14	18.2%	11	14.3%	25	32.5%	8	10.4%
MONROE	34	10.6%	52	16.3%	67	20.9%	102	31.9%	36	11.3%
MONTGOMERY	165	11.3%	247	16.9%	327	22.4%	418	28.6%	148	10.1%
MONTOUR	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	7	25.0%	7	25.0%	6	21.4%
NORTHAMPTON	92	12.7%	122	16.8%	169	23.3%	211	29.1%	66	9.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	52	14.1%	69	18.7%	81	22.0%	89	24.1%	34	9.2%
PERRY	11	11.8%	19	20.4%	18	19.4%	33	35.5%	6	6.5%
PHILADELPHIA	698	12.1%	985	17.1%	1,191	20.6%	1,477	25.6%	593	10.3%
PIKE	11	9.2%	18	15.1%	31	26.1%	39	32.8%	12	10.1%
POTTER	3	11.1%	4	14.8%	10	37.0%	5	18.5%	3	11.1%
SCHUYLKILL	40	12.3%	66	20.3%	67	20.6%	80	24.6%	29	8.9%
SNYDER	21	11.5%	35	19.2%	41	22.5%	53	29.1%	19	10.4%
SOMERSET	12	9.8%	15	12.3%	20	16.4%	36	29.5%	31	25.4%
SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	6	11.8%	6	11.8%	7	13.7%	14	27.5%	9	17.6%
TIOGA	12	13.2%	14	15.4%	12	13.2%	33	36.3%	15	16.5%
UNION	7	12.3%	4	7.0%	8	14.0%	24	42.1%	5	8.8%
VENANGO	23	11.5%	30	15.0%	36	18.0%	44	22.0%	34	17.0%
WARREN	15	14.6%	12	11.7%	14	13.6%	37	35.9%	4	3.9%
WASHINGTON	60	13.0%	85	18.4%	108	23.4%	104	22.5%	39	8.4%
WAYNE	10	8.9%	18	16.1%	31	27.7%	21	18.8%	20	17.9%
WESTMORELAND	58	10.0%	75	12.9%	138	23.7%	157	27.0%	86	14.8%
WYOMING	9	15.0%	9	15.0%	14	23.3%	16	26.7%	8	13.3%
YORK	168	11.1%	260	17.3%	328	21.8%	443	29.4%	151	10.0%
TOTAL	3,590	11.6%	5,120	16.5%	6,566	21.1%	8,265	26.6%	3,853	12.4%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	255	237	13	5
ALLEGHENY	3,083	2,969	109	5
ARMSTRONG	95	59	20	16
BEAVER	442	413	25	4
BEDFORD	81	76	5	0
BERKS	1,202	1,132	69	1
BLAIR	354	233	26	95
BRADFORD	117	87	14	16
BUCKS	878	785	66	27
BUTLER	266	233	22	11
CAMBRIA	322	305	17	0
CAMERON	9	9	0	0
CARBON	156	145	8	3
CENTRE	61	57	4	0
CHESTER	774	562	212	0
CLARION	59	52	7	0
CLEARFIELD	72	67	5	0
CLINTON	126	115	11	0
COLUMBIA	132	109	4	19
CRAWFORD	193	180	9	4
CUMBERLAND	564	516	48	0
DAUPHIN	759	654	89	16
DELAWARE	1,451	1,275	100	76
ELK	78	67	10	1
ERIE	823	721	96	6
FAYETTE	398	357	40	1
FOREST	5	4	1	0
FRANKLIN	485	449	24	12
FULTON	28	22	5	1
GREENE	69	62	6	1
HUNTINGDON	48	44	4	0
INDIANA	134	117	16	1
JEFFERSON	102	100	2	0
JUNIATA	29	27	2	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	302	282	17	3
LANCASTER	1,134	909	114	111
LAWRENCE	249	206	21	22
LEBANON	249	233	13	3
LEHIGH	1,231	1,060	105	66
LUZERNE	542	331	50	161
LYCOMING	495	475	20	0
MCKEAN	87	83	4	0
MERCER	296	255	16	25
MIFFLIN	77	66	11	0
MONROE	320	291	27	2
MONTGOMERY	1,461	1,121	67	273
MONTOUR	28	23	4	1
NORTHAMPTON	725	701	23	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	333	24	12
PERRY	93	84	9	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	4,423	735	611
PIKE	119	96	9	14
POTTER	27	23	4	0
SCHUYLKILL	325	202	18	105
SNYDER	182	154	16	12
SOMERSET	122	106	13	3
SULLIVAN	2	2	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	44	7	0
TIOGA	91	80	10	1
UNION	57	49	6	2
VENANGO	200	148	6	46
WARREN	103	88	13	2
WASHINGTON	462	329	1	132
WAYNE	112	88	8	16
WESTMORELAND	582	574	8	0
WYOMING	60	56	1	3
YORK	1,507	786	85	636
TOTAL	31,079	25,941	2,554	2,584
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	83.5%	8.2%	8.3%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	255	87	47	58	47	9	2	5
ALLEGHENY	3,083	1,294	568	550	524	71	60	16
ARMSTRONG	95	23	25	16	12	1	2	16
BEAVER	442	159	89	86	75	14	15	4
BEDFORD	81	34	17	17	12	1	0	0
BERKS	1,202	485	348	220	113	11	10	15
BLAIR	354	97	65	55	30	11	1	95
BRADFORD	117	38	24	20	11	8	0	16
BUCKS	878	221	192	214	161	45	2	43
BUTLER	266	60	62	66	42	6	19	11
CAMBRIA	322	98	62	73	78	10	1	0
CAMERON	9	0	1	3	2	0	3	0
CARBON	156	50	41	36	22	3	0	4
CENTRE	61	10	6	10	14	3	18	0
CHESTER	774	102	127	160	192	181	0	12
CLARION	59	17	10	17	7	2	6	0
CLEARFIELD	72	32	13	12	13	1	1	0
CLINTON	126	49	18	24	24	6	5	0
COLUMBIA	132	30	29	20	17	17	0	19
CRAWFORD	193	83	24	33	19	1	28	5
CUMBERLAND	564	175	103	107	70	9	100	0
DAUPHIN	759	257	169	133	131	47	4	18
DELAWARE	1,451	559	272	275	188	44	30	83
ELK	78	23	20	16	15	2	1	1
ERIE	823	347	159	153	101	24	33	6
FAYETTE	398	146	93	72	65	9	11	2
FOREST	5	0	0	1	3	1	0	0
FRANKLIN	485	169	79	107	71	14	33	12
FULTON	28	7	5	8	4	2	1	1
GREENE	69	30	13	11	8	6	0	1
HUNTINGDON	48	18	8	10	5	6	1	0
INDIANA	134	39	21	38	26	8	1	1
JEFFERSON	102	37	23	21	13	3	0	5
JUNIATA	29	8	8	8	5	0	0	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	302	131	72	42	21	9	24	3
LANCASTER	1,134	400	245	187	143	29	16	114
LAWRENCE	249	82	51	49	36	3	6	22
LEBANON	249	98	59	50	28	9	2	3
LEHIGH	1,231	458	220	253	165	37	10	88
LUZERNE	542	148	82	67	40	19	25	161
LYCOMING	495	232	112	50	89	12	0	0
MCKEAN	87	29	28	15	10	1	1	3
MERCER	296	111	71	46	37	2	4	25
MIFFLIN	77	35	15	10	15	1	1	0
MONROE	320	99	77	68	53	18	3	2
MONTGOMERY	1,461	279	211	265	268	125	37	276
MONTOUR	28	10	5	5	6	0	1	1
NORTHAMPTON	725	255	169	154	129	17	0	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	158	77	65	37	10	8	14
PERRY	93	37	15	19	17	2	3	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	2,118	1,283	884	461	158	254	611
PIKE	119	29	22	22	27	5	0	14
POTTER	27	8	7	8	4	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	325	105	36	46	28	3	1	106
SNYDER	182	51	37	37	23	4	4	26
SOMERSET	122	25	24	26	26	8	2	11
SULLIVAN	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	20	13	7	7	1	3	0
TIOGA	91	31	14	16	25	1	1	3
UNION	57	19	15	16	5	0	0	2
VENANGO	200	46	31	28	19	0	21	55
WARREN	103	41	17	24	16	3	0	2
WASHINGTON	462	148	65	81	34	2	0	132
WAYNE	112	39	18	12	15	10	1	17
WESTMORELAND	582	143	83	161	179	15	1	0
WYOMING	60	20	11	16	7	0	0	6
YORK	1,507	354	188	154	125	24	25	637
TOTAL	31,079	10,544	6,115	5,533	4,215	1,104	842	2,726
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	33.9%	19.7%	17.8%	13.6%	3.6%	2.7%	8.8%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2012

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	255	78	63	14	17	0	71	7	5
ALLEGHENY	3,083	507	125	50	104	4	2,278	5	10
ARMSTRONG	95	13	31	4	6	0	24	1	16
BEAVER	442	97	88	35	14	1	173	30	4
BEDFORD	81	24	39	0	2	0	14	2	0
BERKS	1,202	198	195	87	39	0	653	12	18
BLAIR	354	61	62	23	12	1	109	0	86
BRADFORD	117	26	31	3	7	0	34	0	16
BUCKS	878	282	173	53	55	2	267	18	28
BUTLER	266	89	100	7	13	0	34	12	11
CAMBRIA	322	51	57	19	17	1	176	1	0
CAMERON	9	0	8	0	0	0	1	0	0
CARBON	156	28	31	13	9	1	71	0	3
CENTRE	61	15	8	1	0	0	1	36	0
CHESTER	774	181	34	14	13	2	35	495	0
CLARION	59	14	17	6	7	0	10	5	0
CLEARFIELD	72	18	35	3	0	0	11	5	0
CLINTON	126	17	54	7	7	0	35	6	0
COLUMBIA	132	34	32	3	7	1	34	2	19
CRAWFORD	193	26	45	12	11	0	60	34	5
CUMBERLAND	564	131	116	33	32	3	131	118	0
DAUPHIN	759	118	77	26	27	1	468	28	14
DELAWARE	1,451	268	105	65	81	2	577	289	64
ELK	78	18	32	1	9	0	17	0	1
ERIE	823	146	143	59	44	1	409	15	6
FAYETTE	398	55	61	33	32	0	201	15	1
FOREST	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0
FRANKLIN	485	92	112	23	18	0	155	73	12
FULTON	28	6	13	1	0	3	2	2	1
GREENE	69	26	29	0	1	0	11	1	1
HUNTINGDON	48	17	12	0	3	0	16	0	0
INDIANA	134	27	44	8	10	1	38	4	2
JEFFERSON	102	29	44	3	5	0	14	3	4
JUNIATA	29	5	11	3	2	0	8	0	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2012

continued

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	302	63	67	13	11	0	146	0	2
LANCASTER	1,134	216	203	77	40	5	452	28	113
LAWRENCE	249	37	49	22	15	1	96	7	22
LEBANON	249	51	79	10	13	1	90	2	3
LEHIGH	1,231	200	164	90	70	1	595	7	104
LUZERNE	542	56	55	16	31	1	188	33	162
LYCOMING	495	89	97	4	5	1	258	41	0
MCKEAN	87	8	30	2	3	1	41	0	2
MERCER	296	44	51	29	22	0	116	9	25
MIFFLIN	77	16	25	3	6	3	17	7	0
MONROE	320	101	58	21	23	0	116	0	1
MONTGOMERY	1,461	285	164	53	58	0	333	291	277
MONTOUR	28	4	6	1	4	0	12	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	725	201	22	409	15	0	1	76	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	116	88	20	16	0	80	35	14
PERRY	93	22	30	2	6	0	28	5	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	345	134	105	304	15	4,040	211	615
PIKE	119	41	27	4	4	0	28	1	14
POTTER	27	4	20	1	0	1	1	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	325	47	47	14	18	0	86	9	104
SNYDER	182	63	76	7	4	0	18	1	13
SOMERSET	122	40	31	0	7	0	28	5	11
SULLIVAN	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	16	7	6	1	0	19	2	0
TIOGA	91	22	37	3	2	0	18	8	1
UNION	57	10	32	4	1	0	7	0	3
VENANGO	200	45	21	2	14	0	62	3	53
WARREN	103	30	32	7	3	0	29	0	2
WASHINGTON	462	65	89	12	19	0	132	12	133
WAYNE	112	32	18	8	5	0	28	5	16
WESTMORELAND	582	126	36	16	8	1	387	8	0
WYOMING	60	14	25	1	2	0	11	2	5
YORK	1,507	166	176	67	39	0	416	7	636
TOTAL	31,079	5,272	4,024	1,638	1,374	55	14,022	2,034	2,660
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	17.0%	12.9%	5.3%	4.4%	0.2%	45.1%	6.5%	8.6%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	255	73	79	33	10	8	33	1	13	5
ALLEGHENY	3,083	514	1,903	258	218	14	106	29	40	1
ARMSTRONG	95	11	36	7	6	1	13	3	2	16
BEAVER	442	96	210	45	30	10	23	4	20	4
BEDFORD	81	24	22	12	1	5	15	0	2	0
BERKS	1,202	219	621	122	71	14	69	9	67	10
BLAIR	354	70	159	50	21	8	28	0	9	9
BRADFORD	117	29	33	11	4	3	13	2	6	16
BUCKS	878	288	294	72	56	20	65	1	54	28
BUTLER	266	92	72	21	18	14	21	1	16	11
CAMBRIA	322	62	140	41	29	7	18	2	23	0
CAMERON	9	0	5	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	156	35	68	14	14	2	12	3	6	2
CENTRE	61	17	20	14	2	0	2	0	6	0
CHESTER	774	201	374	109	26	4	16	0	44	0
CLARION	59	11	14	8	6	3	10	1	6	0
CLEARFIELD	72	16	19	9	8	4	7	0	9	0
CLINTON	126	14	63	11	15	3	11	0	9	0
COLUMBIA	132	36	36	13	4	8	15	1	1	18
CRAWFORD	193	23	71	34	8	6	18	1	27	5
CUMBERLAND	564	134	209	64	28	11	70	11	37	0
DAUPHIN	759	131	371	77	48	11	49	7	54	11
DELAWARE	1,451	298	729	110	81	25	71	13	71	53
ELK	78	17	36	8	5	1	10	0	0	1
ERIE	823	146	405	50	61	10	77	6	62	6
FAYETTE	398	55	214	26	38	6	19	2	37	1
FOREST	5	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	485	114	165	27	0	16	46	5	100	12
FULTON	28	6	4	4	1	2	1	2	7	1
GREENE	69	21	28	5	3	1	7	2	2	0
HUNTINGDON	48	14	16	3	2	4	5	1	3	0
INDIANA	134	27	46	21	5	10	15	2	7	1
JEFFERSON	102	29	31	10	11	1	7	5	6	2
JUNIATA	29	5	13	3	2	0	2	4	0	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2012

continued







COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	302	69	160	31	14	2	15	1	8	2
LANCASTER	1,134	222	446	73	80	49	105	6	42	111
LAWRENCE	249	38	127	24	13	4	11	0	10	22
LEBANON	249	48	103	24	12	14	27	4	14	3
LEHIGH	1,231	196	643	98	78	23	76	5	41	71
LUZERNE	542	97	174	43	10	4	22	8	24	160
LYCOMING	495	94	254	70	25	2	18	5	27	0
MCKEAN	87	7	37	18	3	2	10	1	9	0
MERCER	296	43	118	23	38	7	26	3	14	24
MIFFLIN	77	16	31	3	12	0	9	4	2	0
MONROE	320	91	131	33	21	3	15	19	6	1
MONTGOMERY	1,461	272	561	114	68	8	62	14	89	273
MONTOUR	28	1	9	5	1	0	5	1	5	1
NORTHAMPTON	725	200	344	63	31	3	17	5	61	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	81	127	33	28	4	55	6	23	12
PERRY	93	25	26	9	4	3	12	6	8	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	482	3,245	312	526	30	164	71	325	614
PIKE	119	40	33	6	5	5	8	3	5	14
POTTER	27	4	7	3	5	3	2	0	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	325	46	83	20	19	4	32	2	15	104
SNYDER	182	58	53	21	3	1	16	3	17	10
SOMERSET	122	40	33	13	6	2	7	0	20	1
SULLIVAN	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	51	13	16	5	6	1	3	3	4	0
TIOGA	91	24	30	10	5	0	12	3	6	1
UNION	57	8	17	15	2	4	4	0	5	2
VENANGO	200	36	58	11	10	4	24	0	7	50
WARREN	103	24	43	8	5	1	19	0	1	2
WASHINGTON	462	75	138	30	33	10	32	0	12	132
WAYNE	112	36	26	12	5	0	6	0	11	16
WESTMORELAND	582	137	298	78	21	3	16	5	24	0
WYOMING	60	16	25	9	1	0	2	1	2	4
YORK	1,507	190	395	94	31	19	66	11	66	635
TOTAL	31,079	5,557	14,301	2,604	1,957	449	1,772	308	1,652	2,479
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	17.9%	46.0%	8.4%	6.3%	1.4%	5.7%	1.0%	5.3%	8.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity and by Class County







The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.





Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity and by Class County *continued*

The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.





Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	192	150	13	8	21	0
ALLEGHENY	2,159	738	1,363	30	14	14
ARMSTRONG	73	68	3	1	1	0
BEAVER	333	222	94	13	4	0
BEDFORD	66	60	5	0	1	0
BERKS	893	333	122	21	403	14
BLAIR	262	208	18	21	9	6
BRADFORD	88	86	2	0	0	0
BUCKS	644	403	141	11	56	33
BUTLER	207	179	24	1	1	2
CAMBRIA	228	150	66	9	3	0
CAMERON	6	6	0	0	0	0
CARBON	118	100	11	0	6	1
CENTRE	49	46	0	3	0	0
CHESTER	567	314	173	0	78	2
CLARION	49	43	1	2	1	2
CLEARFIELD	58	56	1	0	1	0
CLINTON	82	77	2	1	2	0
COLUMBIA	104	94	7	0	0	3
CRAWFORD	153	128	15	1	8	1
CUMBERLAND	420	292	43	18	30	37
DAUPHIN	530	181	243	6	44	56
DELAWARE	1,072	401	616	18	28	9
ELK	69	68	0	0	0	1
ERIE	581	293	195	29	62	2
FAYETTE	296	227	59	4	4	2
FOREST	4	1	3	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	357	258	72	6	17	4
FULTON	19	17	2	0	0	0
GREENE	49	48	1	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	40	34	6	0	0	0
INDIANA	96	85	9	0	0	2
JEFFERSON	77	74	1	0	2	0
JUNIATA	20	19	1	0	0	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	238	153	51	1	25	8
LANCASTER	864	429	145	25	257	8
LAWRENCE	185	103	51	18	8	5
LEBANON	200	128	18	1	49	4
LEHIGH	862	250	201	23	382	6
LUZERNE	388	248	58	6	56	20
LYCOMING	343	213	114	10	6	0
MCKEAN	71	67	2	1	0	1
MERCER	229	154	68	2	4	1
MIFFLIN	62	55	1	3	3	0
MONROE	251	128	74	4	34	11
MONTGOMERY	1,052	450	527	17	31	27
MONTOUR	18	14	0	0	2	2
NORTHAMPTON	552	285	132	0	127	8
NORTHUMBERLAND	274	231	13	2	15	13
PERRY	74	66	4	2	2	0
PHILADELPHIA	4,462	327	3,014	115	645	361
PIKE	86	59	9	7	7	4
POTTER	19	18	0	1	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	254	210	24	7	13	0
SNYDER	127	117	5	0	0	5
SOMERSET	98	94	2	0	1	1
SULLIVAN	2	2	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	41	38	1	1	1	0
TIOGA	73	72	0	0	1	0
UNION	45	41	0	0	2	2
VENANGO	158	114	27	5	8	4
WARREN	88	84	0	1	3	0
WASHINGTON	325	237	72	4	10	2
WAYNE	79	67	2	5	5	0
WESTMORELAND	438	321	84	22	9	2
WYOMING	48	41	7	0	0	0
YORK	1,131	571	380	0	114	66
TOTAL	23,098	10,846	8,398	486	2,616	752
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	47.0%	36.4%	2.1%	11.3%	3.3%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	63	50	6	2	5	0
ALLEGHENY	924	245	650	21	6	2
ARMSTRONG	22	20	2	0	0	0
BEAVER	109	60	45	2	2	0
BEDFORD	15	15	0	0	0	0
BERKS	309	80	62	12	148	7
BLAIR	92	75	11	5	0	1
BRADFORD	29	29	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	234	128	61	3	27	15
BUTLER	59	51	6	1	1	0
CAMBRIA	94	72	17	3	1	1
CAMERON	3	3	0	0	0	0
CARBON	38	32	3	0	3	0
CENTRE	12	11	0	1	0	0
CHESTER	207	119	69	0	19	0
CLARION	10	9	0	0	0	1
CLEARFIELD	14	13	1	0	0	0
CLINTON	44	43	1	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	28	26	2	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	40	24	15	1	0	0
CUMBERLAND	144	110	9	3	11	11
DAUPHIN	229	53	129	10	15	22
DELAWARE	379	92	274	4	7	2
ELK	9	9	0	0	0	0
ERIE	242	100	107	12	21	2
FAYETTE	102	77	23	1	0	1
FOREST	1	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	128	75	25	8	20	0
FULTON	9	8	1	0	0	0
GREENE	20	20	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	8	7	1	0	0	0
INDIANA	38	33	3	0	0	2
JEFFERSON	25	25	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	9	9	0	0	0	0

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	64	38	19	0	7	0
LANCASTER	270	120	51	4	90	5
LAWRENCE	64	40	13	7	3	1
LEBANON	49	27	7	1	14	0
LEHIGH	369	87	97	7	176	2
LUZERNE	154	87	22	2	22	21
LYCOMING	152	99	43	1	7	2
MCKEAN	16	16	0	0	0	0
MERCER	67	40	27	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	15	12	0	1	0	2
MONROE	69	37	19	1	12	0
MONTGOMERY	409	142	237	4	18	8
MONTOUR	10	8	0	0	2	0
NORTHAMPTON	173	77	64	0	30	2
NORTHUMBERLAND	95	78	4	0	4	9
PERRY	19	18	1	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	1,307	74	883	35	123	192
PIKE	33	19	4	3	2	5
POTTER	8	8	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	71	62	5	2	1	1
SNYDER	55	46	0	0	5	4
SOMERSET	24	22	2	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	10	9	1	0	0	0
TIOGA	18	17	1	0	0	0
UNION	12	12	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	42	37	1	1	1	2
WARREN	15	14	1	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	137	99	30	2	5	1
WAYNE	33	20	8	0	5	0
WESTMORELAND	144	96	34	13	1	0
WYOMING	12	12	0	0	0	0
YORK	376	200	121	0	38	17
TOTAL	7,981	3,397	3,218	173	852	341
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	42.6%	40.3%	2.2%	10.7%	4.3%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	MULTI-RACIAL OTHER/UNK	% OF TOTAL
ADAMS	20	9	45.0%	5	25.0%	5	25.0%	1	5.0%
ALLEGHENY	2,967	454	15.3%	2,462	83.0%	17	0.6%	34	1.1%
ARMSTRONG	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	55	20	36.4%	33	60.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%
BEDFORD	10	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	332	48	14.5%	67	20.2%	215	64.8%	2	0.6%
BLAIR	30	19	63.3%	9	30.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%
BRADFORD	13	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	747	460	61.6%	209	28.0%	66	8.8%	12	1.6%
BUTLER	7	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	48	21	43.8%	25	52.1%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	11	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	42	39	92.9%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	302	146	48.3%	124	41.1%	30	9.9%	2	0.7%
CLARION	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	51	51	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	19	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	21	20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	44	33	75.0%	8	18.2%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	249	34	13.7%	183	73.5%	30	12.0%	2	0.8%
DELAWARE	1,070	255	23.8%	758	70.8%	45	4.2%	12	1.1%
ELK	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	212	65	30.7%	105	49.5%	11	5.2%	31	14.6%
FAYETTE	39	23	59.0%	15	38.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%
FOREST	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	54	39	72.2%	10	18.5%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%
FULTON	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	12	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	25	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	14	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	MULTI-RACIAL OTHER/UNK	% OF TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	270	153	56.7%	67	24.8%	48	17.8%	2	0.7%
LANCASTER	265	94	35.5%	72	27.2%	98	37.0%	1	0.4%
LAWRENCE	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	57	23	40.4%	12	21.1%	22	38.6%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	355	61	17.2%	115	32.4%	175	49.3%	4	1.1%
LUZERNE	112	66	58.9%	25	22.3%	20	17.9%	1	0.9%
LYCOMING	99	50	50.5%	46	46.5%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%
MCKEAN	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	37	36	97.3%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	119	53	44.5%	44	37.0%	21	17.6%	1	0.8%
MONTGOMERY	651	249	38.2%	299	45.9%	66	10.1%	37	5.7%
MONTOUR	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	221	89	40.3%	74	33.5%	58	26.2%	0	0.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	16	14	87.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%
PERRY	16	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	4,612	289	6.3%	3,365	73.0%	724	15.7%	234	5.1%
PIKE	27	15	55.6%	7	25.9%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%
POTTER	10	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	36	25	69.4%	5	13.9%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%
SNYDER	9	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	15	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	17	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	203	136	67.0%	63	31.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.0%
WAYNE	12	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	176	108	61.4%	59	33.5%	3	1.7%	6	3.4%
WYOMING	15	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	134	30	22.4%	85	63.4%	19	14.2%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	13,907	3,445	24.8%	8,372	60.2%	1,695	12.2%	395	2.8%



Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2012

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
ADAMS	408	408	255	114	39	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	8,280	8,280	3,083	4,109	1,088	0	0	0	0
ARMSTRONG	116	116	95	10	11	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	575	508	442	44	22	67	53	8	6
BEDFORD	142	142	81	37	24	0	0	0	0
BERKS	2,350	1,853	1,202	352	299	497	497	0	0
BLAIR	472	472	354	80	38	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	139	138	117	7	14	1	1	0	0
BUCKS	2,141	2,141	878	901	362	0	0	0	0
BUTLER	540	540	266	184	90	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	605	464	322	114	28	141	107	31	3
CAMERON	25	19	9	9	1	6	2	4	0
CARBON	212	212	156	26	30	0	0	0	0
CENTRE	75	75	61	4	10	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	1,676	1,675	774	590	311	1	1	0	0
CLARION	173	173	59	87	27	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	86	86	72	5	9	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	211	211	126	55	30	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	203	203	132	56	15	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	316	315	193	96	26	1	1	0	0
CUMBERLAND	911	911	564	307	40	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	1,653	1,653	759	667	227	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	5,293	5,284	1,451	3,170	663	9	9	0	0
ELK	80	80	78	1	1	0	0	0	0
ERIE	1,304	1,303	823	97	383	1	0	0	1
FAYETTE	464	464	398	35	31	0	0	0	0
FOREST	12	12	5	2	5	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	819	819	485	208	126	0	0	0	0
FULTON	48	48	28	12	8	0	0	0	0
GREENE	88	87	69	11	7	1	1	0	0
HUNTINGDON	53	53	48	2	3	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	151	151	134	17	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	113	113	102	7	4	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	65	65	29	13	23	0	0	0	0



Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2012

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
LACKAWANNA	1,112	1,112	302	734	76	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	1,836	1,836	1,134	448	254	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	331	331	249	68	14	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	374	374	249	77	48	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	2,176	2,176	1,231	656	289	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	1,146	1,146	542	389	215	0	0	0	0
LYCOMING	950	950	495	355	100	0	0	0	0
MCKEAN	160	160	87	58	15	0	0	0	0
MERCER	470	464	296	89	79	6	0	1	5
MIFFLIN	121	121	77	20	24	0	0	0	0
MONROE	880	880	320	337	223	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2,331	2,156	1,461	153	542	175	131	11	33
MONTOUR	51	51	28	13	10	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	991	991	725	178	88	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	436	436	369	39	28	0	0	0	0
PERRY	125	125	93	7	25	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	28,818	28,818	5,769	16,121	6,928	0	0	0	0
PIKE	196	196	119	49	28	0	0	0	0
POTTER	32	32	27	1	4	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	413	413	325	35	53	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	245	245	182	40	23	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	191	191	122	64	5	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	75	75	51	14	10	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	140	140	91	34	15	0	0	0	0
UNION	80	80	57	3	20	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	377	353	200	92	61	24	24	0	0
WARREN	153	153	103	35	15	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	897	894	462	231	201	3	3	0	0
WAYNE	147	147	112	14	21	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	1,378	1,375	582	590	203	3	3	0	0
WYOMING	97	97	60	24	13	0	0	0	0
YORK	2,570	2,570	1,507	674	389	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	79,100	78,164	31,079	33,071	14,014	936	833	55	48
	100.0%	98.8%				1.2%			



Juvenile Court Judges' Commission
Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research
Information and Technology Division
Shippensburg University
1871 Old Main Drive,
Shippensburg, PA 17257-2299