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PA SOC Partnership
A new way...

System of Care is a philosophy made up of a set of values and principles that provides an organizing framework for systems reform in partnership with youth and families.

PA System of Care Partnership

VISION
Every youth and family in Pennsylvania will be able to access and navigate a unified network of effective services and supports that are structured in adherence to System of Care Values and Principles.

MISSION
The youth, family, and system leaders of Pennsylvania will work as equal and trusted partners for the purpose of creating sustainable change which will empower youth, families, and all youth serving systems to be responsible and accountable for outcomes that lead to the fulfillment of hopes and dreams.

We already have effective systems in Pennsylvania for serving youth

- The current systems have a long tradition of serving youth, and are constantly trying to improve;
- They serve many youth and recipients of service generally rate them as being effective.
But for some youth...

- Each of the child serving systems struggle with youth who have complex problems and their families
- The systems really experience problems when they have to work together

Tremendous Challenges...
So, what is a System of Care

- In the 1980s, Congress passed legislation which established the Child and Adolescent Service System Program which became national policy for children’s mental health.

- In the 1990s, Congress built on the CASSP movement and began funding communities to establish systems of care.

- The national commitment to systems of care has continued through numerous changes of administrations.

- The recent focus is on helping state governments establish systems of care throughout the state.

Why Do We Need Systems of Care

It’s not as easy as it looks to change systems and Systems of Care are about doing things very differently
PA Youth in Need

3,500 in Residential Treatment Facilities

31,000 served by the Juvenile Justice system

173,000 unduplicated number of children in the Child Welfare system

14,000 in Foster Care

201,000 receiving Behavioral Health Services

Building on Statewide Strengths

History of systems change initiatives:

- CASSP principles (Mental Health)
- High Fidelity Wraparound (Multi-system)
- Family Group Decision Making (Child Welfare)
- Balanced & Restorative Justice (Juvenile Justice)
- Juvenile Justice System Enhancement Strategy
- Positive Behavioral Supports (Education)
System of Care Transformation

From
- Fragmented service delivery
- Categorical programs/funding
- Reactive to crises
- Focus on high use/restrictive
- Youth out-of-home
- Creation of “dependency”
- Needs/deficits assessments
- Youth & Families as problems
- Cultural blindness
- Highly professionalized
- Youth/family must fit services

To
- Coordinated service delivery
- Multidisciplinary Teams
- Crisis prevention planning
- Least restrictive settings
- Youth in families & communities
- Empowerment/active participation
- Strength-based assessments
- Youth & Families as partners
- Cultural/linguistic competence
- Coordinated w/informal & natural supports
- Individualized approach


Parallel Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>STATE Leadership and Management Team equal youth, family &amp; system partner representation</th>
<th>Identify barriers to systems integration; Review data &amp; policy</th>
<th>Recommend modifications based on SOC standards; Raise public awareness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>County Leadership Team equal youth, family &amp; system partner representation</td>
<td>Participate in quality improvement processes; Evaluation of system performance</td>
<td>Facilitate training; Promote natural &amp; community supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIVIDUAL</td>
<td>Youth &amp; family are primary decision makers in planning their own care</td>
<td>Work as service delivery providers, such as family support partners</td>
<td>Mentor peers; Train provider staff &amp; community members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The State Leadership and Management Team

Lynne Ackerman  Executive Assistant, Dept. of Child Development and Early Learning
Ashley Bishop    Youth Partner
Darlene Black    HSPS Sup; Bureau of Policy & Program Development Office of Children, Youth and Families
Valerie Oulds-Dunbar  Family partner
Keith Graybill  Juvenile Court Consultant, Juvenile Court Judges Commission
Amy Grippi  Chief of Staff, Office of Children, Youth and Families
Alex Knapp  Youth Partner
Rosemarie Mann  Family Partner
Kenneth Martz  Director, Bureau of Treatment, Prevention and Intervention, Dept. of Drug & Alcohol
Stan Mrozowski  Director, Bureau of Children’s Behavioral Health Services
James Palmiero  Director, Pennsylvania Training and Technical Assistance Network (PaTTAN)
Michael Pennington  Director, Bureau of Juvenile Justice Services
Shane Platt  Youth Partner
Gabriel Smaglik  Youth Partner
Karan Steele  Family Partner
Ladona Strouse  Family partner

Key Partners

PA Families, Inc. is Pennsylvania’s statewide family network
http://www.pafamiliesinc.com/

The Youth and Family Training Institute at UPMC is responsible for the implementation and monitoring of High Fidelity Wraparound, which will be the youth and family team process for youth within the population of focus within all partner counties
www.yftipa.org/
System of Care 8 Standards

- Leadership Teams
- Youth Driven
- Family Driven
- Integration of Child Serving Systems
- Cultural & Linguistic Competence
- Natural & Community Supports
- Youth & Family Services and Supports Planning Process
- Evaluation & Continuous Quality Improvement

Goals of System of Care Implementation

- Establishment of a leadership team composed of 50% youth and family members, and 50% system partners
- Utilizing a single plan of care, systems communicate and coordinate service needs determined by youth and family led teams
- Systems work to integrate their efforts using evidence based practices to reduce costs and eliminate ineffective and redundant services
- Challenges and barriers identified at the individual family level lead to policy and practice changes focused on improving long term outcomes
- The leadership team and community enact processes, philosophies and programs that reflect the System of Care principles, in particular for the target population
- County System of Care stakeholders consistently support, encourage and promote the fundamental concepts of System of Care through the dissemination of data, outcomes and information that is culturally and linguistically relevant to diverse audiences
Beyond the Data

• Youth and their families have told us in many ways that things aren’t working well in the current categorical systems;
• The systems will never have enough money (and they may even have less);
BUT
• There is a largely untapped resource: the natural supports that families have and the faith based and other community resources throughout Pennsylvania

We Learned

• The planning process, along with the experiences of the initial group of System of care Counties, helped us identify the challenges of counties.
• The new application included some modifications of our approach to working with counties.
Expansion Planning

• In 2011, we received a grant to conduct a comprehensive planning process to determine how to expand Systems of Care throughout the Commonwealth.

• That planning process involved hundreds of individuals from all areas of the state.

• Our plan was recognized as one of the best developed in the country.

The Opportunity

• Funds remain available to develop HFW in a few counties before the end of the Cooperative Agreement in September 2015.

• Our new grant from SAMHSA has 2 years left at $1 million/year

• We are planning to bring in 13 counties each year over the next 3 years

• Rolling application, non-competitive process
System of Care in PA

- Our population of focus continues to be youth, age 8-18, and their families,
- These youth have complex behavioral health challenges along with involvement in the juvenile justice and/or child welfare systems and are in, or at risk of, out-of-home placement.

PA SOC Partnership MAP
Getting Started

• Of the 8 standards, the starting point is the formation of the county leadership team that will be responsible for identifying youth to be served and how the systems can accommodate the needs, and...

• The identification of the process that will be used to identify the services and supports for multi-system youth and their families.

Does it have to be High Fidelity Wraparound?

• We continue to recommend HFW as the best practice for youth with complex behavioral health needs and multiple system involvement;

• We have heard three major concerns:
  – The current financing model is problematic
  – Some counties are too small
  – Other practices might be just as effective

• Bill Brecker will talk more about HFW during his discussion
But we will also consider other Approaches

- We are testing the model of having two counties share one HFW team;
- We are also looking to work with counties on other approaches:
  - Family Group Decision Making
  - County Child and Adolescent Services System Processes (CASSP)
  - Intensive Case Management
- We have identified some basic considerations for these other models.

Youth & Family Services & Supports Planning Process

- The youth and family driven model that facilitates integrated services and supports planning among youth, families and key child-serving systems
- The planning model has dedicated and trained staff
- The referral process is known and understood by families and systems
- The engagement process is clearly defined and the process is agreed to by the families
Youth & Family Services & Supports Planning Process (cont’d)

• The individual youth and family plan includes:
  * Assessment of strengths and needs
  * Assessment across multiple domains
  * Crisis plan
  * Cultural and linguistic sensitivity
  * Natural and community supports
  * Plan for self-efficacy

Youth & Family Services & Supports Planning Process (cont’d)

• Youth will receive services and supports in the least restrictive settings

• Youth & family peer supports are available as needed

• Process outcomes are collected and monitored

• Results are used by the County Leadership Team for continual improvement
The Opportunity: What’s in it for Counties

• There are dedicated staff that can provide technical assistance, consultation, and support

• There are state youth and family specialists to help counties engage and support youth and families in their counties

• Dedicated website: www.pasocpartnership.org

• There are funds to support cost of family and youth involvement.

We Can Help

• Technical Assistance is available for individual financing plans for counties that engage in High Fidelity Wraparound.

• Training and support in those counties that engage in other youth and family services and supports planning processes.

• System of Care support includes implementation staff, Youth and Family Training Institute staff, evaluation staff, PA Families Inc., and Youth leaders
Northumberland County Experiences: Finding the Starting Point

- Northumberland County has benefited from early implementation of CASSP in the mid-1980’s and from several Federal Systems of Care grants in the 1990’s
- Family driven interagency meetings in place for many years in various forms
- High Fidelity Wraparound in place for more than 4 years
- Need for meaningful family and youth input and a better structure for the system stakeholders to impact system change

Northumberland County Experiences: SWOT Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths (What do we do well? What unique resources can we draw on?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Integration coming to school system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Desire to engage families as partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agencies working to give up turf and work as a unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Years of experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Network building between all stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High Fidelity Wraparound implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Child and Adolescent service system Program developed - setting similar models for adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ground level case management in-house</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weaknesses (What could we improve? Where are we lacking in resources?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- No kids/youth as active partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communication of houses with partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Still hard to build relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Understanding each other’s systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Respecting agency &amp; family decisions/relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resources offered in limited schools within the county</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Centralized model not in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unable to hire people of varying cultures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unable for cultural/cultural differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- We are not yet planning our systems to include all systems, creating one plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities (What opportunities are open to us? What trends can we take advantage of? What strengths can we turn into opportunities?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- MOH initiative — supports and potentially funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Black Grant funding (2014/2015 anticipated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Training opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Program/system sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Positive behavioral interventions and supports (PBIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- School involvement (case managers in schools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Contractual intake (cross-served)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Affordable Care Act (funding, philosophies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats (What threats could harm us? What other agencies doing? What threats do our weaknesses expose us to?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Affordable Care Act could severely threatening funding for BH/IOE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Family Cultures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTERNAL  (Participant feedback, Employee feedback, processes or resources)

EXTERNAL  (Data on environment in which we operate, what is happening in our industry/field)
Northumberland County:
SOC Initiatives

• Youth Development
  – Required component of the SOC Grant – equal voice “at the table.”
  – Developed the Northumberland County Youth Leadership group utilizing the High Fidelity Wraparound staff and graduates
  – Focus on service
  – Group currently has @ 10 members

Northumberland County:
SOC Initiatives Youth in Action

Lisa Carusa
High Fidelity Wraparound Chief Supervisor

www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rgp2jgNKvfs
Northumberland County:
SOC Initiatives

• Family Involvement
  – Small Group Roundtables
  – Facilitated by Parent to Parent Connections, Inc. of Northumberland County
  – Topics include systems development and choice
  – Goal to develop family partners

Northumberland County:
SOC Initiatives

• Stakeholder Development
  – Board of Commissioners
  – School Districts
  – Community Action Agencies
  – Intermediate Unit
  – YMCA
  – Advocacy Groups
  – High Fidelity Wraparound
  – Human Service Agencies
    • CYS
    • JCS
    • Behavioral Health & Early Intervention
    • Drug and Alcohol
Northumberland County: SOC Initiatives

• High Fidelity Wraparound
• Evidenced Based Programs
  – Multisystemic Therapy
  – Parent Child Interaction Therapy
  – Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (Day Treatment)
• Unified Model Training
  – Ecosystemic Structural Family Therapy
  – Motivational Interviewing

Northumberland County: SOC Initiatives

• Cultural Linguistic Competency
  – Training – LGBTQI, Basic Spanish
  – Wordle...
Northumberland County: Enhanced Planning Process

• Children’s Clinic
  – Multidisciplinary team including BH, CYS, JCS, Education and other family supports
  – Used to hear concerns of the family and develop a plan
  – NOT necessarily to simply function as a team needed to authorize services
  – Often occurs in the family’s home school district to enhance school participation and convenience for the family.

Northumberland County: Enhanced Planning Process

• Family Group Decision Making
  – Enhanced family meeting facilitated through the Children and Youth System
  – Intentional process to include as many family supports as possible
  – Considered to be a short term or one time meeting to mobilize supports around the family’s concerns and needs.
Northumberland County: Enhanced Planning Process

• High Fidelity Wraparound
  – Ongoing, highly intensive planning process
  – Mobilizes family resources
  – Intentional assessment process to include a Strengths/Needs/Cultural Discovery
  – Can last 6 months to a year or more based on the needs of the family
  – Target group includes those highly at risk of significant service involvement or those already highly involved in the service system

We operationalize the System of Care philosophy at the individual family level using the High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) process...
**What the High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) Process means to families?**

- HFW is a youth and family-driven, team-based process for planning and implementing services and supports.
- Through the HFW process, teams create plans that are geared toward meeting the unique needs of these youth and their caregivers and families.
- The HFW team members meet regularly to implement and monitor the plan to ensure its success.
- Team members include individuals relevant to the success of the identified youth, including his or her parents/caregivers, other family members and community members, mental health professionals, educators, system representatives, and others.

---

**Northumberland County High Fidelity Wraparound Team**

For every 25 youth/families:

- 2 Wraparound Facilitators
- 1 Family Support Partners
- 1 Youth Support Partners
- 1 Coach/Supervisor

**The Role of the Youth and Family Training Institute:**

To train, coach, and credential the High Fidelity Wraparound workforce as well as monitor fidelity and family outcomes related to the process model.
What we can learn about teamwork from “Geese…”

www.youtube.com/watch?v=HGY9i8iJu94

THE YOUTH AND FAMILIES WE SERVE IN HIGH FIDELITY WRAPAROUND…
**2013 PA Data**

- 61% of HFW graduates improved their grades
- 60% of HFW graduates with Juvenile Justice involvement at the start of HFW were discharged by the end of the process
- 44% of HFW graduates with Child Welfare involvement at the start of HFW were discharged by the end of the process
- 75% of HFW graduates had less intensive mental health services than at the start
- 74% of HFW graduates reported they felt better able to manage their mental health services
- 85% HFW graduates reported improved family relationships by the end of the process

---

**Basic Demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth</th>
<th>434</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>14.5 years (average)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Gender   | 54.6% Male  
           | 45.2% Female  
           | 0.2% Transgender |
| Race/Ethnicity | 57.9% Caucasian  
                          | 23.1% Black/African American  
                          | 15.5% Hispanic/Latino  
                          | 3.1% Multi-racial  
                          | 0.5% Asian |
| Income Level | 54.2% Below the poverty level  
                          | 16.7% At/near the poverty level  
                          | 29.1% Above the poverty level |
Family and Youth History

- 80% of caregivers reported a family history of depression (n = 46).
- 74% of caregivers reported a family history of mental illness, other than depression (n = 46).
- 65% of caregivers reported a family history of substance abuse (n = 46).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Has the youth ever . . .</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Witnessed domestic violence? (n = 47)</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced physical assault? (n = 48)</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced sexual assault? (n = 48)</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run away? (n = 48)</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had substance abuse problems? (n = 48)</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted suicide? (n = 47)</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Data reported were collected using the Caregiver Information Questionnaire–Intake (CIQ–I).

PRELIMINARY OUTCOMES...
A Stable Place to Live

- **One Living Arrangement**
  - Intake: 50.0%
  - 6 Months: 40.0%
  - 12 Months: 20.0%
- **Multiple Living Arrangements**
  - Intake: 50.0%
  - 6 Months: 60.0%
  - 12 Months: 80.0%

(a) Data reported were collected using the Living Situations Questionnaire (LSQ). This instrument collects data on the status of the child/family in the 6 months prior to the interview.

Less Juvenile Justice Contact

- **In the past 6 months have you been . . .**
  - **Questioned by the Police** (c) (n=10)
    - Intake: 20.0%
    - 6 Months: 10.0%
    - 12 Months: 0.0%
  - **Arrested** (n=10)
    - Intake: 20.0%
    - 6 Months: 0.0%
    - 12 Months: 0.0%
  - **Told to Appear in Court?** (c) (n=10)
    - Intake: 40.0%
    - 6 Months: 20.0%
    - 12 Months: 0.0%
  - **Convicted of a Crime?** (n=10)
    - Intake: 40.0%
    - 6 Months: 0.0%
    - 12 Months: 0.0%
  - **On Probation?** (n=10)
    - Intake: 30.0%
    - 6 Months: 20.0%
    - 12 Months: 10.0%

(a) Data reported were collected using the Delinquency Survey-Revised (DS-R). This instrument collects data on the status of the youth age 11 years and older in the 6 months prior to the interview.

(b) Because participants may have had multiple criminal justice contacts, percentages may sum to more than 100%.
(c) Because you were suspected of committing a crime.
Less costly services

Support & Inpatient Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Management (n=21)</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Treatment (n=21)</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral/Therapeutic Aide (n=21)</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Camp (n=21)</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Hospitalization (n=21)</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Treatment Center (n=21)</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Data reported were collected using the Multi-Sector Service Contacts-Revised (MSSC–R) questionnaire. This instrument collects data on the services received by the child/family in the 6 months prior to the interview.

Less costly services

Outpatient Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment or Evaluation (n=20)</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis Stabilization (n=21)</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication/Monitoring (n=21)</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Therapy (n=21)</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Therapy (n=21)</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Therapy (n=21)</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Data reported were collected using the Multi-Sector Service Contacts-Revised (MSSC–R) questionnaire. This instrument collects data on the services received by the child/family in the 6 months prior to the interview.
Overarching Value - Using a data feedback loop to ensure Continuous Quality Improvement

Northumberland County Juvenile Court Services Impact...
Northumberland County Demographic Overview

• 5th Class County
• Total Population - 94,428
• Juvenile Population 10 to 17 - 8,760
• Phase II County YLS Implementation

Northumberland County Juvenile Court Services

Part of integrated Human Services since early 80’s:
- JPO
- Children & Youth
- MH/MR
- Drug & Alcohol
- Adult Services
- CASSP
Systems of Care

- JPO
- Children & Youth
- BHIDS
- Drug & Alcohol
- CASSP
- Early Intervention
- Family-Based MH Services
- Adult Services
- County Commissioners
- Central Susquehanna Opportunities
- Parent to Parent
- Keystone Services
- High Fidelity Wraparound
- Greater Susquehanna Valley YMCA
- Community Care
- Meadows
- CCBH
- County Youth Leadership
- Shikellamy Area School District
- PA Safe Schools and Healthy Students Partnership

JJSES Monograph
STAGE ONE - Readiness

Organizational Readiness & Stakeholder Engagement

SOC Standards Require:

1. County Leadership Team from child-serving systems (Juvenile Justice, Child Welfare, Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol, Education, Health, and Individuals with Developmental Disabilities)
2. Youth Members
3. Family Members
4. Integration of Child-Serving Systems
5. Natural & Community Supports

STAGE TWO - Initiation

- YLS Risks/Needs Assessment
- Service Matrix Development
- Case Plan Development
Northumberland County
Service Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAMILY CIRCUMSTANCES</th>
<th>EDUCATION EMPLOYMENT</th>
<th>SUBSTANCE ABUSE</th>
<th>PEER RELATIONS</th>
<th>ATTITUDES &amp; ORIENTATIONS</th>
<th>PERSONALITY &amp; BEHAVIORS</th>
<th>LEISURE &amp; RECREATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
<td>LOW RISK (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
<td>MEDIUM RISK (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
<td>HIGH RISK (H)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northumberland County
Service Matrix (JPO ONLY)
### Northumberland County Dispositions (2008-2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>DISPOSITIONS County</th>
<th>DISPOSITIONS Rank per 100,000</th>
<th>DISPO % County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>369 (31,079)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>448 (32,544)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>492 (38,978)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>582 (41,561)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>448 (32,544)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All statistics from CJJT&R Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions Report

### Northumberland County Detention Report (2008-2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>SECURE DETENTION ADMISSIONS County</th>
<th>AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY - DAYS County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>16 (13,885)</td>
<td>3.0 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12 (15,312)</td>
<td>5.0 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>17 (16,636)</td>
<td>4.0 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>18 (11,094)</td>
<td>5.0 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>18 (12,036)</td>
<td>6.0 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All statistics from CJJT&R Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions Report
## Northumberland County Placement Report (2008-2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL PLACEMENTS</th>
<th>NEW ALLEGATIONS</th>
<th>DISPO REVIEWS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County (State)</td>
<td>County (State)</td>
<td>County (State)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>26 (2,385)</td>
<td>30.8% (50.3%)</td>
<td>69.2% (49.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>22 (2,332)</td>
<td>45.5% (53.6%)</td>
<td>54.5% (46.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>28 (2,479)</td>
<td>39.3% (53.8%)</td>
<td>60.7% (46.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>37 (4,265)</td>
<td>48.6% (61.2%)</td>
<td>51.4% (38.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>26 (5,040)</td>
<td>57.6% (61.5%)</td>
<td>42.4% (38.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All statistics from CJJT&R Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions Report

** Does not include Philadelphia Placement Statistics

## STAGE THREE – Behavioral Change

**SKILL BUILDING & TOOLS:**

- CLANCY Day Treatment Program - Pennsylvania Academic & Career/Technology Training (PACTT):
  - PACTT Employability & Soft Skills Manual
  - OSHA 10 Certification
  - ServSafe Certification
  - Penn State Cooperative Extension Health & Nutrition Program
  - Indoor/Outdoor Maintenance Certification (Planning stage)
STAGE THREE – Behavioral Change

COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL INTERVENTIONS:

CLANCY Day Treatment Program:

- Thinking for a Change (T4C) curriculum
- Dialectic Behavioral Therapy (DBT)
- Anger Replacement Therapy (ART)

STAGE THREE – Behavioral Change

EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAMMING & INTERVENTIONS:

Juvenile Treatment Court (Best Practice)
Family Group Decision Making (FGDM)
High Fidelity Wraparound Services (HFW)
Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST)
Anger Replacement Therapy (ART) – Northwestern Academy
STAGE FOUR – Refinement

PROJECTS IN DEVELOPMENT

PA Safe Schools and Healthy Student Partnership

Communities that Care (CTC)

Truancy Intervention/Prevention – Children's Roundtable

Family & Children engagement

What are the next steps to System of Care participation

- Counties are asked to submit a letter of intent and work plan to participate:
  - Identifying the County Leadership Team membership
  - Describing youth & family involvement
  - Identifying County strengths & needs
  - Identifying the proposed process model
  - Identifying the System of Care contact person for the county
One more Illustration of a System of Care in Action......

Questions?

Thank you for participating and we look forward to following up on next steps!
For Further Information...

Northumberland County:

Bill Rossnock: bill.rossnock.norrycopa.net
Bill Brecker: william.brecker.norrycopa.net
Chris Minnich: chris.minnich@norrycopa.net

PA System of Care Partnership:

Pat Cambri: cambripf@upmc.edu  717-836-5669

Websites

PA SOC Partnership - www.pasocpartnership.org  (today's workshop materials are uploaded here)

PA Families Inc. - www.pafamiliesinc.com

Youth and Family Training Institute - www.yftipa.org