



**2016**

**PENNSYLVANIA**  
**JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS**





# 2016 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

---

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Hon. Tom Wolf, Governor

## JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

### CHAIRMAN

Hon. Kim Berkeley Clark  
Allegheny County

### VICE-CHAIRMAN

Hon. Carol L. Van Horn  
Franklin/Fulton Counties

### SECRETARY

Hon. David R. Workman  
Lancaster County

### MEMBERS

Hon. J. Brian Johnson  
Lehigh County

Hon. Nathaniel C. Nichols  
Delaware County

Hon. Stephen P. B. Minor  
Potter County

Hon. Walter J. Olszewski  
Philadelphia County

Hon. Margaret T. Murphy  
Philadelphia County

Hon. Maureen A. Skerda  
Warren/Forest Counties

Richard D. Steele  
Executive Director



# 2016 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

---

## JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

Richard D. Steele, Executive Director

Robert J. Tomassini, Deputy Director

Susan Barket  
Barbara Barnes  
Justine Fowler

Keith Graybill  
Leo J. Lutz

## CENTER FOR JUVENILE JUSTICE TRAINING AND RESEARCH

Kelly Waltman-Spreha, Director

Rebecca Anderson  
Heather Armstrong-Shugart  
Susan Blackburn  
Seth Bloomquist  
Julie Byers  
Sharon Chamberlin  
Susan Conner  
Robert Diehl  
Randy Fisher  
Chris Heberlig  
Lanette Hutchison  
Monica Iskric

Linda Miller  
Barbara Mull  
Christy Nailor  
Donna Reasner  
James Stewart  
Michael Tan  
Tyler Tomlinson  
Sherry Varner  
Steve Varner  
Jarrett Voight  
Nancy Witter  
Tim Wright

---

Juvenile Court Judges' Commission  
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 9100  
P.O. Box 62425  
Harrisburg, PA 17106-2425

Center for Juvenile Justice Training & Research  
Shippensburg University  
1871 Old Main Drive  
Shippensburg, PA 17257-2299





*This report provides an overview of juvenile court dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2016 and preceding years, and summarizes juvenile court statistical data provided by county juvenile probation departments. Without the support of the courts and juvenile probation departments, this report would not be possible.*

*Although the report focuses primarily on delinquency dispositions, information has again been included on dependency cases that have been referred to juvenile probation departments. While county children and youth agencies handle the overwhelming majority of cases involving dependent children in the Commonwealth, some juvenile probation departments provide services to children who are alleged to be dependent on the basis of ungovernability or chronic truancy.*

*The information contained in this report is of value to all individuals, agencies, and organizations having an interest in Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system. However, it is not intended to reflect the total workload of juvenile courts or juvenile probation departments.*

*It is with great pleasure that we dedicate this report to the judges, probation officers, victim advocates, district attorneys, defenders, service treatment providers, and other professionals who work on a daily basis in the Pennsylvania juvenile court system.*

*Respectfully submitted,*

Richard D. Steele  
Executive Director

Honorable Kim Berkeley Clark  
Chairman

The format and presentation of the data in this report are intended to help summarize various trends in juvenile courts within the Commonwealth. In addition to the graphs and charts, narrative is included that is intended to help readers more quickly recognize various statewide trends, as well as highlight information not reflected in the visual displays.

Please note that some 2015 data have been updated since the initial release of the 2015 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Disposition Report. Those updates are reflected in the current relevant charts and graphs, as well as in the narrative on year-to-year comparisons.

The data within this report are generally organized in a manner that reflect the chronological processing of juvenile court delinquency cases, with the exception of pages 3-5 (*Delinquency Dispositions through Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions*).

Within this report, some of the data are organized and presented in graphs by County Class to help readers make comparisons across counties of similar size and demographic composition.

While some county-specific data are presented within the body of the report, all of the raw data for each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties remain available for viewing. For each section within the report, please reference the appendices to review county-specific data on that topic.





Terms .....	1
Highlights.....	2
<b>Delinquency Dispositions</b>	
Delinquency Dispositions (Map).....	3
Delinquency Dispositions, 2012-2016 .....	4
Frequency of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions.....	5
Source of Allegation.....	5
Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings.....	6
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition .....	7
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2012-2016.....	8
<b>Delinquency Offenses</b>	
Alleged Offenses, 2012-2016.....	9
Substantiated Offenses, 2012-2016.....	10
Selected Offenses, 2012-2016 .....	11
<b>Secure Detention</b>	
Secure Detention Overview .....	12
Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act .....	12
Secure Detention Admissions, 2012-2016 .....	13
Length of Stay in Secure Detention.....	14
Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes.....	15
Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers.....	16
<b>Delinquency Placements</b>	
Delinquency Placements, 2012-2016.....	17
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2012-2016 .....	17
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions (Map) .....	18
New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements .....	19
Total Delinquency Placements by Type .....	21
<b>Disposition and Placement Reviews</b>	
Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews.....	22
Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews.....	22
<b>Demographics</b>	
Selected Dispositions by Gender.....	23
Total Dispositions by Age, 2015-2016 .....	24
Total Dispositions by Selected Variables .....	25
Educational Status.....	25
Family Status .....	26



# Table of Contents

## Demographics (Continued)

Race and Ethnicity .....	27
Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity .....	28
Selected Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity .....	29
Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity .....	31
Transferred to Criminal Proceedings by Race and Ethnicity .....	31

## Dependency Dispositions

Dependency Dispositions .....	32
Dependency Dispositions by Age .....	33

## Appendices

1: County Class and Population .....	35
2: Delinquency Dispositions, 2012-2016 .....	36
3: Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population .....	38
4: Delinquency Dispositions by Type .....	40
5: Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation .....	44
6: Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings .....	46
7: Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases .....	48
8: Alleged Offenses by Type .....	50
9: Substantiated Offenses by Type .....	52
10: Length of Stay in Secure Detention .....	54
11: Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes .....	56
12: Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class .....	58
13: Delinquency Placements, 2012-2016 .....	66
14: Delinquency Placements by Type .....	68
15: Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews .....	72
16: Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews .....	74
17: Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement .....	76
18: Delinquency Dispositions by Age .....	80
19: Delinquency Dispositions by School Status .....	84
20: Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed .....	86
21: Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status .....	88
22: Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth .....	90
23: Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity .....	92
24: Secure Detention Admissions by Race and Ethnicity .....	94
25: Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions .....	96



This report is a compilation of the data collected from the juvenile probation departments throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

As in the past, to avoid confusion regarding the unit of count used in tabulating juvenile court statistics and to improve the understanding of how data can be interpreted, please note:

1. The primary unit of count is the *disposition*. A *disposition* is defined as an allegation of delinquency disposed of by the juvenile probation department and/or the court. Within a single delinquency allegation, a youth may be charged with a number of offenses. Any one youth may be involved in a number of dispositions within a calendar year. In addition, a youth may be referred on more than one occasion and receive only one disposition. Dependency dispositions include only those referrals to probation departments alleging dependency that are not accompanied by an allegation of delinquency. *Therefore, the reader is cautioned NOT to attempt to interpret dispositions as the number of youth who are processed by the court or the number of offenses;*
2. The *unit of count* refers to a case or cases disposed of by a juvenile court and/or juvenile probation department. The term *disposed* means that a definite action/decision has been implemented;
3. The statistical system is constructed to collect up to the seven (7) most serious offenses per delinquency disposition;
4. The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission collects additional information on *disposition reviews*, *placement reviews*, and selected special conditions attached to a disposition. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement. A *placement review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did involve out-of-home placement;
5. The data in the secure detention section of this report were collected in conjunction with the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Secure Detention Monitoring Program; and
6. The tables containing rows with "percent of total" may not total 100 percent due to rounding.



## Highlights

We draw your attention to the following statistical highlights of 2016:

- There were 23,014 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2016. This represents a 4.7% decrease from 2015 and a 25.9% decrease since 2012 (page 4).
- Statewide, secure detention admissions have declined 4.4% since 2015 (page 13) and 38.2% since 2012.
- Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2012 with an overall decrease of 34.5%, and a decrease of 6.5% since 2015 (page 17).
- Seventeen-year-olds accounted for the most dispositions of any age group in 2016, representing 26.0% of all dispositions, followed in descending order by sixteen-year-olds (21.2%) and fifteen-year-olds (16.7%) (page 24).
- Youth whose biological parents were reported as “never married” represented more than 50% of all delinquency dispositions, while youth whose parents were reported as “married” represented 16.4% (page 26).
- Statewide, 47.8% of youth with a delinquency disposition were reported as residing only with their mother, while 17.0% of youth resided with both biological parents (page 26).
- The majority of all delinquency dispositions involved White Non-Hispanic youth (45.1%), followed in descending order by Black Non-Hispanic youth (37.9%) and Hispanic youth (12.7%) (page 28).







# Delinquency Dispositions

## Delinquency Dispositions, 2012-2016

There were 23,014 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2016. This represents a 4.7% decrease from 2015 and a 25.9% decrease since 2012. Forty percent of the total dispositions occurred in the five jurisdictions reporting more than 1,000 dispositions (see map on page 3).

Note: Dispositions resulting from Disposition Reviews, Placement Reviews, and Dependency referrals are not included.



From 2015 to 2016, the Commonwealth's largest jurisdictions, Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties, both reported decreases in delinquency dispositions. Allegheny County reported a decrease of 1%, and Philadelphia County reported an 11.5% decrease.




## Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions

Dispositions of Consent Decree, Probation, and Informal Adjustment continued to represent over half (56.5%) of all dispositions. Placement dispositions resulting from new allegations of delinquency decreased (7% to 6.3%) from 2015 to 2016.

*Note: Does not include disposition reviews and placement reviews.*


*\*The "Other Dispositions" category includes dispositions of Case Closed, Accepted Courtesy Supervision, Community Service Only, Case Closed-Fines Paid, Other, Case Closed-Unable to Locate Juvenile, Restitution Only Ordered, Administrative Supervision Only/Collections, and Judgement Filed/Case Closed.*



## Source of Allegation

Police and Magisterial District Judges continued to be the primary sources of delinquency allegations – 75.9% and 15.5% respectively.


*Note: The category "Other" includes delinquency allegations received from schools, social agencies, relatives, and cases transferred from criminal court.*





## Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2016

Legal representation provided by Public Defenders continued to be the most common form of representation in delinquency proceedings (66.4% in 2016). Legal representation was provided by Court Appointed or Private Counsel in 33.4% of delinquency proceedings. (An entry of “Court Appointed” includes cases where counsel other than the public defender was appointed by the court.) Delinquency proceedings were conducted without defense counsel (waived or not present) in less than 1% of all delinquency proceedings.





## Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2016

A formal proceeding is defined as a hearing held before a judge or hearing officer, after the filing of a petition. The time lapse is measured from the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition. An informal proceeding results in a decision being made without a formal appearance before a judge or hearing officer.

Statewide, cases handled through informal proceedings were typically disposed of more quickly than cases handled through formal proceedings (71 days vs. 102 days). The number of dispositions by disposition type are also indicated in the charts below.

*Note: Does not include disposition reviews and placement reviews.*

### Formal Dispositions

*\*The "Other Dispositions" category includes dispositions of Case Closed, Community Service Only, Other, Administrative Supervision Only/Collections, Restitution Only Ordered, Case Closed-Fines Paid, and Judgement Filed/Case Closed.*

FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		
TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
PLACEMENT.....	1,460.....	72.0
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION.....	1.....	77.0
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT.....	837.....	84.0
CONSENT DECREE.....	4,417.....	91.0
PROBATION.....	4,027.....	109.0
DISMISSED.....	712.....	115.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED.....	166.....	119.5
*OTHER DISPOSITIONS.....	594.....	124.5
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL COURT.....	62.....	126.5
FINES/COSTS ORDERED.....	222.....	141.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	674.....	145.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION.....	323.....	203.0
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL.....	14.....	247.0
<b>TOTAL.....</b>	<b>13,509.....</b>	<b>102.0</b>

### Informal Dispositions


*\*The "Other Dispositions" category includes dispositions of Case Closed, Accepted Courtesy Supervision, Case Closed-Fines Paid, Community Service Only, Case Closed-Unable to Locate Juvenile, Other, and Resitution Only Ordered.*

INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS		
TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT.....	98.....	10.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED.....	1,434.....	15.0
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL.....	61.....	40.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT.....	3,838.....	64.0
CONSENT DECREE.....	723.....	90.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED.....	544.....	90.5
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.....	621.....	104.0
*OTHER DISPOSITIONS.....	1,984.....	182.0
DISMISSED.....	113.....	197.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION.....	89.....	306.0
<b>TOTAL.....</b>	<b>9,505.....</b>	<b>71.0</b>




## Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2012-2016

The time lapse for formal proceedings, as measured by the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition, has increased by 4.1% since 2015 (from 98 to 102 days). Since 2012, the median time lapse has increased 10.9%.



The time lapse for informal proceedings, also measured by the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition, increased by 6.0% since 2015 (from 67 to 71 days). Since 2012, the median time lapse for informal proceedings has increased by 42.0%.






## Alleged Offenses, 2012-2016

The total number of alleged offenses has increased by less than 1% from 2015 to 2016 and has decreased by 21.2% since 2012. The number of alleged offenses within the Drug offense category has shown the largest decrease (3.4%) from 2015, followed by Person offenses (3.0%). “Other” offenses increased by 6.9% and Property offenses increased by less than 1% from 2015 to 2016.

Since 2012, Property offenses have shown the sharpest decline (26.1%). Drug and Person offenses have also declined considerably, 24.1% and 20.5% respectively, with “Other” offenses decreasing 16.1% over the same period.




*Note: The “Other” offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses, such as Disorderly Conduct or Possession of Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.*



## Substantiated Offenses, 2012-2016\*

Substantiated offenses increased from 2015 to 2016 (1.7%), with the largest increase occurring in the “Other” offense category (23.0%). Person offenses decreased by 9.4%, Drug offenses decreased by 6.1%, and Property offenses increased by less than 1%.

The total number of substantiated offenses remains well below 2012 levels. Similarly, each category of substantiated offenses (Person, Property, Drugs, and “Other”) remained below their respective 2012 levels.



\*A substantiated offense is any petitioned offense the court finds the juvenile committed.


Note: The “Other” offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Possession of a Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.



## Selected Offenses, 2012-2016

Due to their severe nature, the following crimes are examined separately each year: rape, robbery, aggravated assault, and felony drug offenses.


The chart at the right shows the statewide total of the number of alleged rapes, robberies, aggravated assaults, and felony drug offenses that occurred between 2012 and 2016. Only the most serious charge per disposition is depicted. For example, a disposition with an alleged robbery charge and an alleged drug charge would be counted in the robbery category. The Crime Severity key below shows the crime severity ranking employed for coding purposes.



**CRIME SEVERITY**

1. Rape
2. Robbery
3. Aggravated Assault
4. Felony Drugs

There were decreases in the number of alleged offenses committed across all four crime categories between 2015 and 2016. Felony drugs had the largest decrease (17.7%), followed by rape (10.9%), aggravated assault (7.6%), and robbery (5.1%).



The chart on the left shows the most serious substantiated charges using the same Crime Severity Ranking methodology as noted above. The number of substantiated charges for each of these crime categories also showed decreases from 2015 to 2016. Substantiated rape charges decreased by 36.0%, felony drugs by 27.4%, aggravated assault by 16.3%, and robbery by 13.2%.

*\*A substantiated offense is any petitioned offense the court finds the juvenile committed.*



### Secure Detention Overview

In accordance with the Standards Governing Secure Detention, all counties report their secure detention admissions to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission. Detention information was extracted from the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System and secure detention database at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research. The secure detention database is populated with data reported directly from juvenile detention centers each month.

When interpreting the data contained in these tables, the reader should note the following:

1. The unit of count is the admission of a juvenile to a secure detention center;
2. A juvenile who is admitted to a secure detention center and subsequently transferred to another secure detention center without release is counted as two admissions.

### Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act

Each month, all juvenile detention centers report a Statement of Facts and Reasons that must accompany every probation-authorized admission to secure detention. Below are the Section Codes related to this process.


- |                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| <b>100 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of an alleged offense or on the basis of an alleged offense and the child's current status with the court or prior record.  |
| <b>200 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of a child's status as an absconder or fugitive; on the basis of a child's record of failing to appear at previous juvenile proceedings; or because of extraordinary circumstances which require secure detention to prevent a child from absconding. |
| <b>300 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the written request of the child or child's attorney.   |
| <b>400 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered pending disposition, subsequent to a finding that a child committed a delinquent act or is a delinquent child.  |
| <b>500 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered following disposition pending transfer to placement.  |
| <b>600 Codes</b> | Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of the child's status, pending or subsequent to a disposition review proceeding.  |
| <b>Code 701</b>  | Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.   |



## Secure Detention Admissions, 2012-2016

Statewide, detention admissions have declined each year since 2012, with a 38.2% overall decrease and a 4.4% decrease from 2015 to 2016. Allegheny County detention admissions decreased by 6.9% from 2015 to 2016, while Philadelphia County admissions declined by 8.1% during the same time period. Overall statewide admissions, excluding Allegheny and Philadelphia, decreased by 1.1% from 2015.

*Philadelphia County and Allegheny County account for 29.2% and 18.4%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions.*



PERCENTAGE CHANGE OF DETENTION ADMISSIONS				
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016
STATEWIDE	-16.6%	-12.8%	-11.1%	-4.4%
ALLEGHENY COUNTY	-20.4%	-13.6%	-16.7%	-6.9%
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY	-23.6%	-12.0%	-11.7%	-8.1%
REMAINING COUNTIES	-9.6%	-12.9%	-8.5%	-1.1%



## Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2016

In 2016, the statewide median length of stay in secure detention was 8 days, which is a decrease of one day from 2015. The table below shows the median length of stay by county, from greatest to least number of days. Multiple counties with identical length of stay are listed alphabetically. This data, sorted by greatest to least number of admissions, can be found in Appendix 10.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
SULLIVAN	2	109.0
ADAMS	3	47.0
ARMSTRONG	1	44.0
WYOMING	3	41.0
CAMBRIA	8	36.0
MONTOUR	2	26.5
SUSQUEHANNA	1	25.0
BUTLER	5	24.0
WAYNE	12	23.0
BEAVER	27	19.0
CHESTER	185	19.0
WARREN	2	18.5
LANCASTER	127	18.0
LAWRENCE	1	18.0
NORTHAMPTON	160	18.0
MIFFLIN	46	17.0
MCKEAN	2	16.0
PERRY	10	16.0
CENTRE	29	15.0
LEHIGH	137	15.0
COLUMBIA	3	14.0
MONROE	69	14.0
BERKS	214	13.0
CUMBERLAND	37	13.0
WESTMORELAND	125	13.0
YORK	135	13.0
HUNTINGDON	12	12.5
FRANKLIN	21	12.0
LEBANON	59	12.0
UNION	3	12.0
ERIE	136	11.0
ELK	2	10.5
PIKE	16	10.5
CARBON	12	10.0

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
DELAWARE	606	10.0
JUNIATA	7	10.0
WASHINGTON	124	10.0
LYCOMING	28	9.5
BEDFORD	7	9.0
BLAIR	18	9.0
LUZERNE	50	9.0
POTTER	6	9.0
SCHUYLKILL	22	9.0
CLEARFIELD	31	8.0
CLINTON	25	8.0
DAUPHIN	223	8.0
JEFFERSON	2	7.5
ALLEGHENY	1,512	7.0
CRAWFORD	4	7.0
LACKAWANNA	151	7.0
PHILADELPHIA	2,507	7.0
MONTGOMERY	511	6.0
SNYDER	5	6.0
BRADFORD	3	5.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	32	5.0
FAYETTE	28	4.0
INDIANA	13	4.0
BUCKS	638	3.0
MERCER	1	3.0
SOMERSET	3	3.0
TIOGA	4	3.0
CAMERON	0	0.0
CLARION	0	0.0
FOREST	0	0.0
FULTON	0	0.0
GREENE	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
<b>*TOTAL</b>	<b>8,168</b>	<b>8.0</b>

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.


\*Includes 174 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Armstrong (1); Beaver (28); Butler (6); Fayette (8); and Washington (131).



## Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes

In 2016, the overwhelming majority of secure detention admissions were authorized under the 100 and 200 Codes – 28.6% and 33.3%, respectively. This trend has remained constant since 2012.

Special attention is given to the utilization of Codes 206, 701, and Unreported. In instances where secure detention is authorized under Codes 206 or 701, the juvenile probation officer is required to provide a detailed written explanation of the reasons that necessitate secure detention. These codes were intended to be utilized in limited circumstances where the other codes are not applicable.




*Code 206 - The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child's age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child's family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction, and substance abuse.)*

*Code 701 - Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.*


*The Unreported category, as shown in this report, indicates that a code was not reported to the JCJC. Secure detention admissions that are authorized without codes are not in compliance with JCJC Standards.*

The utilization of Codes 206, 701, and admissions with an Unreported code accounted for 44.0% of all secure detention admissions in 2016. The utilization of Code 206 decreased from 25.5% in 2015 to 22.0% in 2016. The use of code 701 increased from 6.1% in 2015 to 7.4% in 2016, as did the use of Unreported codes from 13.6% in 2015 to 14.6% in 2016.





### Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2016




\*A center's utilization rate is equal to its average daily population (ADP) divided by the licensed bed capacity (CAP). Average Daily Population (ADP) is calculated by the sum of all utilized bed days divided by the number of days in the reporting period.

\*\*This facility was closed in May 2016.



## Delinquency Placements Including Disposition Reviews, 2012-2016




Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2012, with an overall decrease of 34.5%. Placements in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia decreased each year since 2012, representing an overall decrease of 35.2% in these jurisdictions. Delinquency placements in Allegheny County increased 1.9% and placements in Philadelphia decreased 8.2% from 2015 to 2016.

## Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2012-2016

Delinquency placements as a percentage of total dispositions, including disposition reviews, decreased from 2015 (7.1%) to 2016 (6.9%). Philadelphia County placements as a percentage of total dispositions increased from 6.8% in 2015 to 6.9% in 2016. Allegheny County placements also increased from 5.9% in 2015 to 6.2% in 2016. In all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia, the percentage decreased from 7.4% in 2015 to 7.0% in 2016.

See the map on the next page for individual county delinquency placements as a percentage of total dispositions.







## New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements

The following chart depicts the relative percentage of placements that resulted from new allegations versus disposition review proceedings. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

The percentage of placements resulting from both new allegations and disposition reviews is shown for each county by County Class.

Statewide, over half of delinquency placements (56.8%) were ordered as a result of a disposition review. This figure, however, was notably influenced by Philadelphia, which accounted for 28.3% of all delinquency placements statewide, and where 72.0% of all delinquency placements were ordered as a result of a disposition review.

Across all other County Class groups, the average distribution of delinquency placements was nearly 50% from new allegations and 50% from disposition reviews. Philadelphia, Allegheny, and Class 2A are the County Class groups with a majority of delinquency placements originating from disposition reviews.

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
<b>CLASS 1</b>			
PHILADELPHIA	28.0%	72.0%	956
<b>CLASS 2</b>			
ALLEGHENY	26.0%	74.0%	385
<b>CLASS 2A (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>37.2%</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	
BUCKS	19.8%	80.2%	101
DELAWARE	38.0%	62.0%	184
MONTGOMERY	48.6%	51.4%	140
<b>CLASS 3 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	
BERKS	41.4%	58.6%	87
CHESTER	92.6%	7.4%	68
CUMBERLAND	51.9%	48.1%	27
DAUPHIN	22.1%	77.9%	95
ERIE	87.5%	12.5%	128
LACKAWANNA	35.3%	64.7%	34
LANCASTER	68.8%	31.3%	32
LEHIGH	75.0%	25.0%	76
LUZERNE	70.8%	29.2%	48
NORTHAMPTON	51.3%	48.7%	78
WESTMORELAND	45.2%	54.8%	73
YORK	50.0%	50.0%	168
<b>CLASS 4 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	
BEAVER	52.9%	47.1%	34
BUTLER	37.2%	62.8%	43
CAMBRIA	74.4%	25.6%	39
CENTRE	75.0%	25.0%	12
FAYETTE	72.2%	27.8%	18
FRANKLIN	75.7%	24.3%	37
MONROE	69.7%	30.3%	89
SCHUYLKILL	55.2%	44.8%	29
WASHINGTON	43.9%	56.1%	66
<b>CLASS 5 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	
ADAMS	15.4%	84.6%	13
BLAIR	53.8%	46.2%	13
LAWRENCE	75.0%	25.0%	4
LEBANON	61.3%	38.7%	31
LYCOMING	41.9%	58.1%	31
MERCER	58.6%	41.4%	29
NORTHUMBERLAND	50.0%	50.0%	14



## Delinquency Placements

### New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements

continued

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
<b>CLASS 6 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	
ARMSTRONG	50.0%	50.0%	6
BEDFORD	80.0%	20.0%	5
BRADFORD	70.0%	30.0%	10
CARBON	55.6%	44.4%	18
CLARION	60.0%	40.0%	5
CLEARFIELD	50.0%	50.0%	14
CLINTON	75.0%	25.0%	4
COLUMBIA	50.0%	50.0%	8
CRAWFORD	70.0%	30.0%	10
ELK	100.0%	0.0%	5
GREENE	100.0%	0.0%	2
HUNTINGDON	40.0%	60.0%	10
INDIANA	80.0%	20.0%	5
JEFFERSON	57.1%	42.9%	7
MCKEAN	0.0%	100.0%	1
MIFFLIN	36.4%	63.6%	11
PERRY	85.7%	14.3%	7
PIKE	72.2%	27.8%	18
SOMERSET	0.0%	100.0%	2
SUSQUEHANNA	0.0%	100.0%	2
TIOGA	100.0%	0.0%	3
VENANGO	80.0%	20.0%	5
WARREN	69.2%	30.8%	13
WAYNE	0.0%	100.0%	9
<b>CLASS 7 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>64.3%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	
JUNIATA	50.0%	50.0%	4
SNYDER	75.0%	25.0%	4
UNION	100.0%	0.0%	1
WYOMING	60.0%	40.0%	5
<b>CLASS 8 (AVERAGE)</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	
CAMERON	0.0%	0.0%	0
FOREST	0.0%	0.0%	0
FULTON	0.0%	0.0%	0
MONTOUR	100.0%	0.0%	2
POTTER	25.0%	75.0%	4
SULLIVAN	0.0%	0.0%	0
<b>STATEWIDE TOTALS</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	<b>56.8%</b>	<b>3,382</b>



## Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2016 Including Disposition Reviews

Beginning in 2016, the collection of delinquency placement-related data was enhanced within the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System (PaJCMS). All residential placement programs are now categorized by licensed service types, which are determined by 55 PA Code Chapter 3140. For the purposes of this report, there are three overarching service types: Community Based, Institutional, and “Other.”

### Community Based category:

- Community Residential Service/Group Home
- Foster Care
- Supervised Independent Living
- Transitional Living

### Institutional category:


- General Residential
- Secure Residential
- YDC Secure
- YDC/YFC Non-Secure

### “Other” category:

- CRR Host Home
- Drug and Alcohol Program
- Inpatient Mental Health
- Residential Treatment Facility (RTF)

Due to changes in classification of placement service types in 2016, the reader is cautioned against comparing this data to previously published (2015 and earlier) delinquency placement data.


General Residential Services, Community Residential Service/Group Homes, and Drug and Alcohol Programs were the most frequently used programs in 2016, comprising 71.1% of all delinquency placements.





## Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2016

Although the majority of delinquency placements resulted from disposition reviews (see page 19), less than 1 in 10 disposition review proceedings resulted in a delinquency placement. The vast majority of disposition reviews resulted in an order of Continued on Previous Disposition.




*\*The "Other" category includes dispositions of Case Closed, Case Closed-Fines Paid, Administrative Supervision Only/Collections, Judgement Filed/Case Closed, Other, Fines and/or Costs Ordered, Restitution Only Ordered, Informal Adjustment, Transferred to Criminal Court, Accepted Courtesy Supervision, and Community Service Only.*

## Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2016


A placement review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which resulted in out-of-home placement.

In the small percentage of placement reviews in which a transfer to another placement was ordered, most transfers were to a community residential service/group home.

As mentioned above, the majority of delinquency placement review proceedings resulted in an order of Continued in Previous Placement.



### Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2016











## Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2016

Juvenile Court dispositions in Pennsylvania continued to primarily involve males. As reflected in the charts below, the proportion of males receiving delinquency dispositions related to new allegations of delinquency increases as the disposition type becomes more restrictive.


SELECTED DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
ALL DISPOSITIONS	23,014	73.8%	26.2%
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	3,838	65.8%	34.2%
CONSENT DECREE	5,140	73.8%	26.2%
PROBATION	4,027	80.9%	19.1%
PLACEMENT	1,460	88.8%	11.2%
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	62	98.4%	1.6%





## Total Dispositions by Age, 2015-2016

Seventeen-year-olds accounted for 5,994 (26.0%) of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2016, representing the most dispositions for any age group. Juveniles aged 16 accounted for 4,869 (21.2%), while 15-year-olds accounted for 3,844 (16.7%) of the dispositions.



This table reflects the age of the juvenile at the time of disposition.

Note: The data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.





## Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2016

The following charts reflect the distribution of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2016 by the selected variables.

### Educational Status

The vast majority (87.4%) of delinquency dispositions in 2016 reflected youth who were reported as In School at the time of disposition. Seventy-two percent of youth were reported as having completed grades 9, 10, 11, or 12, or as being in an “Other” educational program.




Note: The data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.




## Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2016

### Family Status

In juvenile delinquency dispositions, youth whose biological parents were reported as never married represented the largest group – more than 50%. In only 16.4% of cases, the biological parents were reported as married at the time of disposition.



In 2016, 47.8% of youth resided only with their mother, while only 17.0% of juveniles resided with both biological parents.



*Note: The data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.*



## Race and Ethnicity

On the following pages, data on race and ethnicity trends related to Juvenile Court dispositions are provided. A few points of clarification regarding the reading and interpretation of this data should be noted.

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research collects data on race and ethnicity from each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. The collection of this data is done in concert with the protocols outlined in *Guidelines for Collecting & Recording the Race and Ethnicity of Juveniles in Conjunction with Juvenile Delinquency Disposition Reporting to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission* (National Center for Juvenile Justice, 2006). As such, race and ethnicity are collected and recorded as two separate and distinct sets of data.



Trends related to both race and ethnicity are presented within the following charts, graphs, and tables. References to racial or ethnic groups are defined as follows:

<b><i>White Non-Hispanic</i></b>	reported as Non-Hispanic for ethnicity and White for race
<b><i>Black Non-Hispanic</i></b>	reported as Non-Hispanic for ethnicity and Black for race
<b><i>Hispanic</i></b>	reported as Hispanic for ethnicity regardless of reported race
<b><i>Other and Unknown</i></b>	reported as Non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one of the following races: Asian, Pacific Islander, Native Hawaiian, Native Alaskan, Native American, or Multi-Racial; or race and ethnicity was reported as unknown



## Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity

In 2016, White Non-Hispanic youth represented the largest racial/ethnic category for all delinquency dispositions. However, in comparison to the racial/ethnic distribution of all youth in Pennsylvania ages 10-17 the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth is disproportionate: 14.0% of the total population versus 37.9% of all delinquency dispositions. When excluding Philadelphia County and Allegheny County, Black Non-Hispanic youth represent 8.2% of the youth population, but 27.9% of all delinquency dispositions. See Appendix 23 for the number of delinquency dispositions by race and ethnicity for each county.






*\*At the time of publication of the 2016 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions, the 2016 Population Estimates have not yet been published. We have included the 2015 Population Estimates in the charts above. 2015 Population Estimates retrieved from The Centers for Disease Control available online at: <http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-population.html>*



## Selected Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity

The following charts show the distribution of various dispositions by race and ethnicity.


For some delinquency dispositions that did not result in an out-of-home placement (Informal Adjustment and Consent Decree), Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised a smaller proportion of cases, while White Non-Hispanic youth comprised a larger proportion of cases than what is reflected in all delinquency dispositions.






## Selected Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity *continued*

For dispositions that resulted in an out-of-home placement (not including disposition reviews and placement reviews), the proportion of both Hispanic (14.5%) and Black Non-Hispanic (48.2%) youth exceeded their representation in the total number of delinquency dispositions (12.7% and 37.9% respectively).




Additionally, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth (63.4%) within secure placement settings was disproportionate to the percentage of Black Non-Hispanic youth in all placement dispositions (48.2%).






## Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity

For secure detention, Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised 58.2% of all secure detention admissions in 2016. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny Counties, Hispanic youth and Black Non-Hispanic youth represent approximately 16.2% and 43.0%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions. In Philadelphia County, 71.4% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth. In Allegheny County, 80.3% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth. See Appendix 24 for the number of secure detention admissions by race and ethnicity for each county.



## Transferred to Criminal Proceedings by Race and Ethnicity

Statewide, White Non-Hispanic youth comprised a smaller proportion of cases transferred to criminal proceedings (24.2%) compared to the 45.1% represented in all delinquency dispositions; while Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised a greater proportion of cases transferred to criminal proceedings (59.7%) than what is reflected in all delinquency dispositions (37.9%).





## Dependency Dispositions, 2016

Since 1991, the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission collects information on dependency cases processed by juvenile probation departments. These figures do not reflect the total number of dependency cases in the Commonwealth. A dependency case is defined as a new referral that is processed by the juvenile probation department and that does not have accompanying delinquency allegations.

Only the counties that reported dependency dispositions are shown in the tables in this section.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WARNED, COUNSELED, CASE CLOSED	DISMISSED	REFERRED TO ANOTHER AGENCY	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	CONSENT DECREE	OTHER	PLACEMENT	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION
BEAVER	57	14	5	0	7	0	0	22	9
BRADFORD	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	135	13	15	0	66	0	5	0	36
MONTGOMERY	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
SNYDER	20	0	0	0	6	0	14	0	0
WESTMORELAND	60	1	0	0	59	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>16.2%</b>

*Note: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.*



## Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2016

Age calculated at date of disposition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9 & UNDER	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+	NOT REPORTED
BEAVER	57	25	4	1	1	1	7	9	6	2	1	0
BRADFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CAMBRIA	135	3	1	1	7	25	29	27	39	3	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
SNYDER	20	0	0	0	1	3	2	3	8	1	2	0
WESTMORELAND	60	0	0	2	6	8	12	11	9	9	3	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

Note: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## ***Appendices***



## Population Statistics

### County Class and Population

COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17	COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17
Philadelphia	1	1,567,442	141,550	Armstrong	6	67,052	6,073
Allegheny	2	1,230,459	104,949	Bedford	6	48,586	4,878
Bucks	2A	627,367	65,864	Bradford	6	61,281	6,128
Delaware	2A	563,894	57,599	Carbon	6	63,960	6,078
Montgomery	2A	819,264	83,831	Clarion	6	39,498	3,358
Berks	3	415,271	44,344	Clearfield	6	80,994	7,116
Chester	3	515,939	57,471	Clinton	6	39,441	3,592
Cumberland	3	246,338	22,990	Columbia	6	66,672	5,487
Dauphin	3	272,983	27,591	Crawford	6	86,484	8,663
Erie	3	278,045	27,698	Elk	6	30,872	2,966
Lackawanna	3	211,917	19,683	Greene	6	37,519	3,382
Lancaster	3	536,624	57,674	Huntingdon	6	45,668	4,018
Lehigh	3	360,685	38,365	Indiana	6	86,966	7,262
Luzerne	3	318,449	29,545	Jefferson	6	44,430	4,302
Northampton	3	300,813	30,027	McKean	6	42,412	4,007
Westmoreland	3	357,956	32,262	Mifflin	6	46,500	4,811
York	3	442,867	46,274	Perry	6	45,685	4,682
Beaver	4	168,871	15,371	Pike	6	55,949	5,883
Butler	4	186,818	18,663	Somerset	6	75,522	6,692
Cambria	4	136,411	12,466	Susquehanna	6	41,666	3,900
Centre	4	160,580	11,187	Tioga	6	41,877	3,766
Fayette	4	133,628	12,275	Venango	6	53,119	4,995
Franklin	4	153,638	15,806	Warren	6	40,396	3,841
Monroe	4	166,397	18,031	Wayne	6	51,198	4,524
Schuylkill	4	144,590	13,419	Juniata	7	24,737	2,648
Washington	4	208,261	19,373	Snyder	7	40,444	4,168
Adams	5	102,295	10,158	Union	7	44,954	3,969
Blair	5	125,593	11,751	Wyoming	7	27,800	2,723
Lawrence	5	88,082	8,504	Cameron	8	4,732	382
Lebanon	5	137,067	14,272	Forest	8	7,410	292
Lycoming	5	116,048	10,669	Fulton	8	14,629	1,481
Mercer	5	114,234	11,234	Montour	8	18,557	1,729
Northumberland	5	93,246	8,424	Potter	8	17,093	1,717
				Sullivan	8	6,328	362

Note: At the time of publication of the 2016 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions Report, the 2016 Population Estimates have not yet been published. We have included the 2015 Population Estimates for the charts above. 2015 Population Estimates retrieved from The Centers for Disease Control available online at: <http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-population.html>



## Appendix 2

### Delinquency Dispositions, 2012-2016

The information in this section includes those cases referred to juvenile probation with alleged delinquent offenses. Disposition reviews and Placement reviews, and dispositions involving children alleged to be dependent, are not included.

COUNTY	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% CHANGE 2015-2016
ADAMS	255	251	207	174	225	29.3%
ALLEGHENY	3,083	3,244	3,180	2,841	2,830	-0.4%
ARMSTRONG	95	93	87	123	94	-23.6%
BEAVER	442	427	326	366	331	-9.6%
BEDFORD	81	85	53	44	36	-18.2%
BERKS	1,202	1,319	1,027	765	717	-6.3%
BLAIR	354	358	273	303	308	1.7%
BRADFORD	117	126	128	141	120	-14.9%
BUCKS	878	677	605	733	701	-4.4%
BUTLER	266	240	226	235	227	-3.4%
CAMBRIA	322	301	252	282	235	-16.7%
CAMERON	9	12	9	15	4	-73.3%
CARBON	156	124	117	91	103	13.2%
CENTRE	61	118	90	97	113	16.5%
CHESTER	774	773	676	759	590	-22.3%
CLARION	59	53	47	62	51	-17.7%
CLEARFIELD	72	72	52	53	48	-9.4%
CLINTON	126	98	83	94	88	-6.4%
COLUMBIA	132	132	96	84	64	-23.8%
CRAWFORD	193	145	207	170	117	-31.2%
CUMBERLAND	564	569	480	505	513	1.6%
DAUPHIN	759	689	550	659	802	21.7%
DELAWARE	1,451	1,216	1,183	1,039	1,227	18.1%
ELK	78	77	52	45	41	-8.9%
ERIE	823	710	663	599	547	-8.7%
FAYETTE	398	361	427	420	362	-13.8%
FOREST	5	10	6	3	2	-33.3%
FRANKLIN	485	475	508	479	417	-12.9%
FULTON	28	20	30	24	26	8.3%
GREENE	69	64	40	28	28	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	48	56	53	53	48	-9.4%
INDIANA	134	107	104	106	136	28.3%
JEFFERSON	102	98	60	65	43	-33.8%
JUNIATA	29	19	27	20	18	-10.0%



## Delinquency Dispositions, 2012-2016

*continued*

COUNTY	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% CHANGE 2015-2016
LACKAWANNA	302	283	280	308	327	6.2%
LANCASTER	1,134	1,031	862	821	781	-4.9%
LAWRENCE	249	261	164	166	173	4.2%
LEBANON	249	239	233	221	272	23.1%
LEHIGH	1,231	1,059	1,074	1,109	898	-19.0%
LUZERNE	542	506	583	610	520	-14.8%
LYCOMING	495	423	349	343	294	-14.3%
MCKEAN	87	76	140	112	96	-14.3%
MERCER	296	235	264	261	211	-19.2%
MIFFLIN	77	66	60	74	88	18.9%
MONROE	320	249	245	239	247	3.3%
MONTGOMERY	1,461	1,215	1,136	1,071	1,010	-5.7%
MONTOUR	28	30	19	24	14	-41.7%
NORTHAMPTON	725	655	559	663	618	-6.8%
NORTHUMBERLAND	369	296	318	248	222	-10.5%
PERRY	93	71	73	66	58	-12.1%
PHILADELPHIA	5,769	5,366	4,005	3,094	2,737	-11.5%
PIKE	119	102	97	96	76	-20.8%
POTTER	27	26	25	25	26	4.0%
SCHUYLKILL	325	356	262	269	213	-20.8%
SNYDER	182	149	123	66	74	12.1%
SOMERSET	122	81	69	56	77	37.5%
SULLIVAN	2	2	8	5	3	-40.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	51	60	45	50	30	-40.0%
TIOGA	91	93	69	85	61	-28.2%
UNION	57	54	43	30	59	96.7%
VENANGO	200	180	158	210	222	5.7%
WARREN	103	83	68	93	58	-37.6%
WASHINGTON	462	450	429	382	310	-18.8%
WAYNE	112	86	52	105	59	-43.8%
WESTMORELAND	582	610	576	518	524	1.2%
WYOMING	60	58	68	46	47	2.2%
YORK	1,507	1,387	1,188	1,196	1,397	16.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31,079</b>	<b>28,957</b>	<b>25,568</b>	<b>24,139</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>-4.7%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



### Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2016

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
ADAMS	225	1.0%	10,158	31	14	2.2%
ALLEGHENY	2,830	12.3%	104,949	2	6	2.7%
ARMSTRONG	94	0.4%	6,073	40	38	1.5%
BEAVER	331	1.4%	15,371	22	15	2.2%
BEDFORD	36	0.2%	4,878	44	64	0.7%
BERKS	717	3.1%	44,344	9	35	1.6%
BLAIR	308	1.3%	11,751	27	9	2.6%
BRADFORD	120	0.5%	6,128	38	20	2.0%
BUCKS	701	3.0%	65,864	4	55	1.1%
BUTLER	227	1.0%	18,663	19	50	1.2%
CAMBRIA	235	1.0%	12,466	25	23	1.9%
CAMERON	4	0.0%	382	65	56	1.0%
CARBON	103	0.4%	6,078	39	31	1.7%
CENTRE	113	0.5%	11,187	29	58	1.0%
CHESTER	590	2.6%	57,471	7	57	1.0%
CLARION	51	0.2%	3,358	58	39	1.5%
CLEARFIELD	48	0.2%	7,116	36	67	0.7%
CLINTON	88	0.4%	3,592	56	10	2.4%
COLUMBIA	64	0.3%	5,487	42	53	1.2%
CRAWFORD	117	0.5%	8,663	32	46	1.4%
CUMBERLAND	513	2.2%	22,990	16	13	2.2%
DAUPHIN	802	3.5%	27,591	15	4	2.9%
DELAWARE	1,227	5.3%	57,599	6	16	2.1%
ELK	41	0.2%	2,966	59	43	1.4%
ERIE	547	2.4%	27,698	14	19	2.0%
FAYETTE	362	1.6%	12,275	26	3	2.9%
FOREST	2	0.0%	292	67	65	0.7%
FRANKLIN	417	1.8%	15,806	21	7	2.6%
FULTON	26	0.1%	1,481	64	29	1.8%
GREENE	28	0.1%	3,382	57	61	0.8%
HUNTINGDON	48	0.2%	4,018	50	52	1.2%
INDIANA	136	0.6%	7,262	35	25	1.9%
JEFFERSON	43	0.2%	4,302	48	59	1.0%
JUNIATA	18	0.1%	2,648	61	66	0.7%

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
LACKAWANNA	327	1.4%	19,683	17	32	1.7%
LANCASTER	781	3.4%	57,674	5	45	1.4%
LAWRENCE	173	0.8%	8,504	33	18	2.0%
LEBANON	272	1.2%	14,272	23	22	1.9%
LEHIGH	898	3.9%	38,365	10	12	2.3%
LUZERNE	520	2.3%	29,545	13	28	1.8%
LYCOMING	294	1.3%	10,669	30	5	2.8%
MCKEAN	96	0.4%	4,007	51	11	2.4%
MERCER	211	0.9%	11,234	28	24	1.9%
MIFFLIN	88	0.4%	4,811	45	26	1.8%
MONROE	247	1.1%	18,031	20	44	1.4%
MONTGOMERY	1,010	4.4%	83,831	3	51	1.2%
MONTOUR	14	0.1%	1,729	62	62	0.8%
NORTHAMPTON	618	2.7%	30,027	12	17	2.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	1.0%	8,424	34	8	2.6%
PERRY	58	0.3%	4,682	46	49	1.2%
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	11.9%	141,550	1	21	1.9%
PIKE	76	0.3%	5,883	41	48	1.3%
POTTER	26	0.1%	1,717	63	40	1.5%
SCHUYLKILL	213	0.9%	13,419	24	37	1.6%
SNYDER	74	0.3%	4,168	49	27	1.8%
SOMERSET	77	0.3%	6,692	37	54	1.2%
SULLIVAN	3	0.0%	362	66	60	0.8%
SUSQUEHANNA	30	0.1%	3,900	53	63	0.8%
TIOGA	61	0.3%	3,766	55	34	1.6%
UNION	59	0.3%	3,969	52	42	1.5%
VENANGO	222	1.0%	4,995	43	1	4.4%
WARREN	58	0.3%	3,841	54	41	1.5%
WASHINGTON	310	1.3%	19,373	18	36	1.6%
WAYNE	59	0.3%	4,524	47	47	1.3%
WESTMORELAND	524	2.3%	32,262	11	33	1.6%
WYOMING	47	0.2%	2,723	60	30	1.7%
YORK	1,397	6.1%	46,274	8	2	3.0%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,239,195</b>			<b>1.9%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2016

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
ADAMS	225	0	16	12	3	38	8	10
ALLEGHENY	2,830	1	14	406	156	1,006	0	103
ARMSTRONG	94	0	11	1	0	17	5	2
BEAVER	331	0	5	23	1	25	7	72
BEDFORD	36	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
BERKS	717	1	32	53	6	119	55	23
BLAIR	308	1	6	12	0	25	68	15
BRADFORD	120	1	3	6	32	17	1	3
BUCKS	701	0	65	45	6	67	82	5
BUTLER	227	0	33	14	0	16	0	2
CAMBRIA	235	1	6	4	0	5	8	35
CAMERON	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
CARBON	103	0	18	2	17	0	7	3
CENTRE	113	0	17	8	0	13	0	1
CHESTER	590	2	41	12	12	144	71	3
CLARION	51	0	2	2	0	4	1	2
CLEARFIELD	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	88	1	3	7	0	16	1	5
COLUMBIA	64	0	5	0	1	30	0	0
CRAWFORD	117	0	9	3	0	24	3	2
CUMBERLAND	513	0	94	17	2	106	14	6
DAUPHIN	802	11	41	10	0	172	12	4
DELAWARE	1,227	8	56	39	1	144	15	29
ELK	41	0	0	5	0	6	2	0
ERIE	547	1	4	39	53	137	8	1
FAYETTE	362	0	3	15	127	59	0	10
FOREST	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	417	1	6	39	7	22	61	0
FULTON	26	0	1	1	0	0	3	1
GREENE	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	48	0	11	0	2	0	3	3
INDIANA	136	0	12	1	0	22	45	0
JEFFERSON	43	0	2	1	0	1	0	1
JUNIATA	18	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/ INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	0	0	53	48	2	33	2
ALLEGHENY	0	0	453	250	4	337	100
ARMSTRONG	0	1	20	20	1	13	3
BEAVER	0	0	90	25	1	64	18
BEDFORD	0	0	22	9	0	0	4
BERKS	0	0	235	57	2	98	36
BLAIR	0	0	119	19	18	18	7
BRADFORD	0	0	39	11	0	0	7
BUCKS	0	0	214	154	21	22	20
BUTLER	0	0	66	72	0	8	16
CAMBRIA	1	0	74	39	25	8	29
CAMERON	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CARBON	0	0	35	8	0	3	10
CENTRE	0	0	45	17	1	2	9
CHESTER	0	0	74	141	9	18	63
CLARION	0	1	17	11	1	7	3
CLEARFIELD	0	0	7	31	0	3	7
CLINTON	0	0	15	12	5	20	3
COLUMBIA	0	0	9	10	0	5	4
CRAWFORD	0	0	22	40	0	7	7
CUMBERLAND	0	0	92	40	2	126	14
DAUPHIN	0	1	117	119	2	292	21
DELAWARE	0	0	234	376	39	216	70
ELK	0	0	14	7	0	2	5
ERIE	0	0	65	96	0	31	112
FAYETTE	0	10	40	26	0	59	13
FOREST	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
FRANKLIN	0	0	136	68	4	45	28
FULTON	0	0	15	4	0	1	0
GREENE	0	0	10	9	0	6	2
HUNTINGDON	0	1	17	7	0	0	4
INDIANA	0	0	16	25	0	11	4
JEFFERSON	0	0	30	1	2	1	4
JUNIATA	0	0	9	3	2	0	2

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Appendix 4

### Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2016

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
LACKAWANNA	327	2	6	30	7	53	0	12
LANCASTER	781	1	33	43	90	220	10	6
LAWRENCE	173	0	0	0	0	47	0	18
LEBANON	272	0	12	6	3	14	10	2
LEHIGH	898	3	36	41	11	49	148	9
LUZERNE	520	2	17	33	21	65	50	14
LYCOMING	294	2	7	20	24	46	0	11
MCKEAN	96	0	2	4	0	8	35	5
MERCER	211	0	15	7	0	36	6	8
MIFFLIN	88	2	3	0	2	8	3	3
MONROE	247	0	13	11	0	1	0	22
MONTGOMERY	1,010	2	53	44	5	122	214	39
MONTOUR	14	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	618	4	61	24	76	143	41	4
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	3	19	2	5	67	45	0
PERRY	58	0	3	0	0	18	1	2
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	5	9	122	0	310	1	272
PIKE	76	0	4	1	0	0	16	0
POTTER	26	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
SCHUYLKILL	213	0	9	21	1	51	6	3
SNYDER	74	0	6	7	0	12	4	0
SOMERSET	77	0	1	23	7	0	6	0
SULLIVAN	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	1	1	1	0	7	0	1
TIOGA	61	1	1	3	0	0	3	0
UNION	59	2	5	0	0	9	4	0
VENANGO	222	0	3	13	1	5	0	0
WARREN	58	0	1	3	0	2	4	0
WASHINGTON	310	0	15	14	7	68	49	5
WAYNE	59	0	8	1	0	0	6	1
WESTMORELAND	524	1	34	14	1	29	45	34
WYOMING	47	0	6	2	0	0	0	1
YORK	1,397	1	26	27	23	208	467	12
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>935</b>	<b>1,295</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>3,838</b>	<b>1,656</b>	<b>825</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/ INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	0	0	102	89	4	10	12
LANCASTER	0	0	58	261	5	32	22
LAWRENCE	0	0	39	37	27	2	3
LEBANON	0	0	141	58	3	4	19
LEHIGH	0	45	139	267	2	91	57
LUZERNE	0	0	60	58	12	154	34
LYCOMING	0	1	135	26	0	9	13
MCKEAN	0	0	24	16	0	2	0
MERCER	0	6	41	50	6	19	17
MIFFLIN	0	0	27	35	0	1	4
MONROE	0	0	23	99	1	15	62
MONTGOMERY	0	0	109	309	2	43	68
MONTOUR	0	0	5	3	0	0	2
NORTHAMPTON	0	4	68	111	8	34	40
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	0	25	30	13	6	7
PERRY	0	0	10	12	1	5	6
PHILADELPHIA	0	1	1,049	258	145	297	268
PIKE	0	0	4	30	0	8	13
POTTER	0	0	12	5	0	2	1
SCHUYLKILL	0	1	27	30	11	37	16
SNYDER	0	1	6	5	0	30	3
SOMERSET	0	0	19	11	6	4	0
SULLIVAN	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	0	0	8	8	0	3	0
TIOGA	0	0	27	8	8	7	3
UNION	0	0	18	16	1	3	1
VENANGO	0	0	29	29	0	138	4
WARREN	0	0	19	18	1	1	9
WASHINGTON	0	0	31	79	0	13	29
WAYNE	0	0	11	17	0	15	0
WESTMORELAND	0	1	143	117	1	71	33
WYOMING	0	0	5	16	3	11	3
YORK	0	0	320	164	10	55	84
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>5,140</b>	<b>4,027</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>2,578</b>	<b>1,460</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>

\*The following counties had a total of 1,187 cases where the disposition was reported as either deferred adjudication or deferred placement with no reported adjudication of delinquency at the time of disposition. These dispositions have been included with the disposition of Consent Decree. (Adams-9, Beaver-1, Bedford-1, Bucks-41, Butler-3, Centre-2, Chester-18, Clarion-2, Crawford-8, Cumberland-4, Delaware-5, Erie-6, Franklin-56, Fulton-4, Juniata-1, Lackawanna-18, Lehigh-1, Luzerne-13, Lycoming-39, McKean-5, Mercer-28, Mifflin-1, Northumberland-2, Philadelphia-769, Somerset-1, Venango-2, Wayne-4, Westmoreland-3, York-140.)



### Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2016

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	225	144	64.0%	63	28.0%	18	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	2,830	1,832	64.7%	417	14.7%	76	2.7%	1	0.0%	504	17.8%
ARMSTRONG	94	69	73.4%	13	13.8%	12	12.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	331	216	65.3%	99	29.9%	16	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	36	36	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	717	588	82.0%	84	11.7%	31	4.3%	2	0.3%	12	1.7%
BLAIR	308	210	68.2%	72	23.4%	7	2.3%	0	0.0%	19	6.2%
BRADFORD	120	89	74.2%	26	21.7%	0	0.0%	3	2.5%	2	1.7%
BUCKS	701	560	79.9%	110	15.7%	20	2.9%	3	0.4%	8	1.1%
BUTLER	227	216	95.2%	0	0.0%	7	3.1%	0	0.0%	4	1.8%
CAMBRIA	235	197	83.8%	35	14.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.3%
CAMERON	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	103	80	77.7%	12	11.7%	9	8.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%
CENTRE	113	112	99.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
CHESTER	590	507	85.9%	52	8.8%	28	4.7%	2	0.3%	1	0.2%
CLARION	51	47	92.2%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%
CLEARFIELD	48	47	97.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	88	64	72.7%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	21	23.9%
COLUMBIA	64	33	51.6%	21	32.8%	10	15.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	117	86	73.5%	24	20.5%	6	5.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
CUMBERLAND	513	462	90.1%	27	5.3%	23	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
DAUPHIN	802	690	86.0%	86	10.7%	23	2.9%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	1,227	1,057	86.1%	93	7.6%	70	5.7%	4	0.3%	3	0.2%
ELK	41	32	78.0%	7	17.1%	2	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	547	486	88.8%	35	6.4%	10	1.8%	7	1.3%	9	1.6%
FAYETTE	362	166	45.9%	179	49.4%	10	2.8%	1	0.3%	6	1.7%
FOREST	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	417	234	56.1%	72	17.3%	21	5.0%	0	0.0%	90	21.6%
FULTON	26	22	84.6%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	28	20	71.4%	6	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%
HUNTINGDON	48	45	93.8%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
INDIANA	136	84	61.8%	42	30.9%	10	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	43	35	81.4%	3	7.0%	4	9.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	18	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	POLICE		MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE		OTHER JUVENILE COURT		PROBATION		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	327	304	93.0%	0	0.0%	20	6.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.9%
LANCASTER	781	644	82.5%	108	13.8%	28	3.6%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
LAWRENCE	173	130	75.1%	38	22.0%	3	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.2%
LEBANON	272	254	93.4%	0	0.0%	4	1.5%	0	0.0%	14	5.1%
LEHIGH	898	598	66.6%	227	25.3%	61	6.8%	0	0.0%	12	1.3%
LUZERNE	520	286	55.0%	159	30.6%	21	4.0%	0	0.0%	54	10.4%
LYCOMING	294	198	67.3%	84	28.6%	10	3.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.7%
MCKEAN	96	59	61.5%	32	33.3%	3	3.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.1%
MERCER	211	184	87.2%	18	8.5%	9	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	88	80	90.9%	0	0.0%	7	8.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%
MONROE	247	176	71.3%	32	13.0%	38	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
MONTGOMERY	1,010	719	71.2%	245	24.3%	41	4.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.5%
MONTOUR	14	12	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%
NORTHAMPTON	618	479	77.5%	94	15.2%	44	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	142	64.0%	64	28.8%	14	6.3%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%
PERRY	58	56	96.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	2,538	92.7%	0	0.0%	199	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PIKE	76	55	72.4%	10	13.2%	7	9.2%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%
POTTER	26	21	80.8%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	213	157	73.7%	32	15.0%	22	10.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.9%
SNYDER	74	63	85.1%	7	9.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	3	4.1%
SOMERSET	77	53	68.8%	20	26.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%
SULLIVAN	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	30	26	86.7%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	61	52	85.2%	4	6.6%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	4	6.6%
UNION	59	49	83.1%	7	11.9%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%
VENANGO	222	75	33.8%	146	65.8%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	58	53	91.4%	5	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	310	180	58.1%	67	21.6%	20	6.5%	0	0.0%	43	13.9%
WAYNE	59	42	71.2%	13	22.0%	4	6.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	524	381	72.7%	115	21.9%	26	5.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
WYOMING	47	37	78.7%	8	17.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.3%
YORK	1,397	880	63.0%	448	32.1%	63	4.5%	1	0.1%	5	0.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>17,476</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>3,574</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>1,073</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>857</b>	<b>3.7%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Appendix 6

### Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2016

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
ADAMS	144	126	87.5%	6	4.2%	12	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	1,251	796	63.6%	180	14.4%	275	22.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ARMSTRONG	55	36	65.5%	6	10.9%	13	23.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	127	101	79.5%	12	9.4%	14	11.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	36	29	80.6%	0	0.0%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	461	306	66.4%	126	27.3%	29	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BLAIR	196	122	62.2%	72	36.7%	2	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	37	24	64.9%	10	27.0%	3	8.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	510	388	76.1%	54	10.6%	68	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUTLER	204	134	65.7%	40	19.6%	30	14.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	163	122	74.8%	28	17.2%	13	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	45	26	57.8%	16	35.6%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	93	49	52.7%	3	3.2%	41	44.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	360	267	74.2%	43	11.9%	50	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARION	40	38	95.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	40	29	72.5%	8	20.0%	3	7.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	47	46	97.9%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	28	22	78.6%	2	7.1%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	80	49	61.3%	26	32.5%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	208	145	69.7%	49	23.6%	14	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	386	294	76.2%	0	0.0%	92	23.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	894	651	72.8%	77	8.6%	157	17.6%	6	0.7%	3	0.3%
ELK	15	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	271	189	69.7%	64	23.6%	18	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FAYETTE	60	52	86.7%	3	5.0%	5	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FOREST	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	174	141	81.0%	16	9.2%	17	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FULTON	10	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	15	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	45	42	93.3%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	61	56	91.8%	0	0.0%	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	40	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	16	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC DEFENDER	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO ATTORNEY PRESENT	%
LACKAWANNA	237	101	42.6%	107	45.1%	29	12.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	411	278	67.6%	81	19.7%	52	12.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LAWRENCE	111	111	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	242	133	55.0%	91	37.6%	18	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	473	385	81.4%	65	13.7%	23	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LUZERNE	219	147	67.1%	61	27.9%	11	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LYCOMING	208	195	93.8%	9	4.3%	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	51	38	74.5%	0	0.0%	13	25.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	151	113	74.8%	26	17.2%	11	7.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%
MIFFLIN	77	57	74.0%	15	19.5%	5	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	209	153	73.2%	32	15.3%	24	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	664	466	70.2%	4	0.6%	194	29.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTOUR	13	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	313	232	74.1%	53	16.9%	28	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	98	42	42.9%	56	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	37	36	97.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	2,305	1,033	44.8%	1,095	47.5%	177	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PIKE	63	47	74.6%	0	0.0%	16	25.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
POTTER	20	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	103	82	79.6%	12	11.7%	9	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	27	21	77.8%	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	24	21	87.5%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	19	14	73.7%	2	10.5%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	53	45	84.9%	1	1.9%	7	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	45	28	62.2%	15	33.3%	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	70	16	22.9%	44	62.9%	10	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	34	25	73.5%	6	17.6%	3	8.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	169	117	69.2%	31	18.3%	21	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE	38	34	89.5%	0	0.0%	4	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	419	282	67.3%	64	15.3%	65	15.5%	0	0.0%	8	1.9%
WYOMING	41	37	90.2%	0	0.0%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	448	291	65.0%	142	31.7%	15	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13,509</b>	<b>8,973</b>	<b>66.4%</b>	<b>2,882</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>1,636</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.1%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



### Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2016

Time lapse information is calculated from the date of allegation to the date of disposition. Disposition reviews, placement reviews, and dependency cases are not included. Dispositions are grouped into one of two categories: “formal” or “informal”. A disposition is counted in the “formal” category if a date was reported in the Date of Hearing field indicating that a hearing before a judge or hearing officer was conducted, other than a detention hearing, following the filing of a petition.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
ADAMS	225	144	130.0	81	126.0
ALLEGHENY	2,830	1,251	128.0	1,579	126.0
ARMSTRONG	94	55	101.0	39	25.0
BEAVER	331	127	123.0	204	33.0
BEDFORD	36	36	89.5	0	0.0
BERKS	717	461	140.0	256	60.5
BLAIR	308	196	73.0	112	1.0
BRADFORD	120	37	172.0	83	92.0
BUCKS	701	510	85.0	191	64.0
BUTLER	227	204	67.0	23	40.0
CAMBRIA	235	163	136.0	72	127.0
CAMERON	4	1	674.0	3	71.0
CARBON	103	45	174.0	58	154.0
CENTRE	113	93	125.0	20	90.5
CHESTER	590	360	228.0	230	121.0
CLARION	51	40	84.0	11	210.0
CLEARFIELD	48	40	154.5	8	111.0
CLINTON	88	47	103.0	41	184.0
COLUMBIA	64	28	102.0	36	65.5
CRAWFORD	117	80	154.0	37	1.0
CUMBERLAND	513	208	92.0	305	78.0
DAUPHIN	802	386	120.0	416	147.0
DELAWARE	1,227	894	123.5	333	211.0
ELK	41	15	115.0	26	39.5
ERIE	547	271	170.0	276	120.5
FAYETTE	362	60	203.5	302	203.0
FOREST	2	2	1,041.5	0	0.0
FRANKLIN	417	174	80.5	243	64.0
FULTON	26	10	124.5	16	78.5
GREENE	28	15	143.0	13	140.0
HUNTINGDON	48	45	96.0	3	70.0
INDIANA	136	61	92.0	75	44.0
JEFFERSON	43	40	43.0	3	50.0
JUNIATA	18	16	33.5	2	247.5

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	FORMAL DISPOSITIONS		INFORMAL DISPOSITIONS	
		TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
LACKAWANNA	327	237	85.0	90	175.5
LANCASTER	781	411	118.0	370	54.0
LAWRENCE	173	111	79.0	62	101.5
LEBANON	272	242	158.5	30	135.5
LEHIGH	898	473	59.0	425	43.0
LUZERNE	520	219	158.0	301	189.0
LYCOMING	294	208	29.5	86	37.0
MCKEAN	96	51	107.0	45	186.0
MERCER	211	151	95.0	60	71.0
MIFFLIN	88	77	73.0	11	51.0
MONROE	247	209	97.0	38	76.5
MONTGOMERY	1,010	664	121.0	346	1.0
MONTOUR	14	13	48.0	1	39.0
NORTHAMPTON	618	313	75.0	305	35.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	98	146.5	124	86.0
PERRY	58	37	92.0	21	40.0
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	2,305	60.0	432	3.0
PIKE	76	63	120.0	13	8.0
POTTER	26	20	100.5	6	86.5
SCHUYLKILL	213	103	91.0	110	27.5
SNYDER	74	27	124.0	47	148.0
SOMERSET	77	24	154.0	53	88.0
SULLIVAN	3	2	211.0	1	79.0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	19	99.0	11	55.0
TIOGA	61	53	74.0	8	203.5
UNION	59	45	120.0	14	105.0
VENANGO	222	70	98.0	152	1.0
WARREN	58	34	74.0	24	24.0
WASHINGTON	310	169	69.0	141	28.0
WAYNE	59	38	109.5	21	144.0
WESTMORELAND	524	419	101.0	105	43.0
WYOMING	47	41	78.0	6	62.0
YORK	1,397	448	142.0	949	54.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>13,509</b>	<b>102.0</b>	<b>9,505</b>	<b>71.0</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



### Alleged Offenses by Type, 2016

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER*	TOTAL
ADAMS	122	75	150	197	544
ALLEGHENY	2,275	2,024	985	2,921	8,205
ARMSTRONG	80	73	90	93	336
BEAVER	206	224	90	264	784
BEDFORD	25	21	21	15	82
BERKS	960	831	270	864	2,925
BLAIR	170	313	118	298	899
BRADFORD	65	101	60	83	309
BUCKS	471	472	581	550	2,074
BUTLER	176	120	123	170	589
CAMBRIA	267	175	64	248	754
CAMERON	0	2	9	2	13
CARBON	80	73	46	76	275
CENTRE	106	221	79	162	568
CHESTER	915	803	508	855	3,081
CLARION	299	33	16	151	499
CLEARFIELD	31	48	31	29	139
CLINTON	53	32	73	80	238
COLUMBIA	32	39	19	70	160
CRAWFORD	119	117	51	100	387
CUMBERLAND	294	383	297	279	1,253
DAUPHIN	380	415	385	549	1,729
DELAWARE	2,013	1,490	514	1,576	5,593
ELK	30	63	5	22	120
ERIE	390	753	177	438	1,758
FAYETTE	180	179	43	880	1,282
FOREST	6	3	0	1	10
FRANKLIN	225	278	224	403	1,130
FULTON	27	58	10	26	121
GREENE	13	31	2	24	70
HUNTINGDON	46	25	25	47	143
INDIANA	47	98	71	159	375
JEFFERSON	24	9	36	22	91
JUNIATA	86	10	11	7	114

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.

\*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.



## Alleged Offenses by Type, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER*	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	296	237	112	310	955
LANCASTER	400	713	332	701	2,146
LAWRENCE	112	185	66	147	510
LEBANON	140	416	126	216	898
LEHIGH	545	525	227	867	2,164
LUZERNE	297	328	180	582	1,387
LYCOMING	205	224	128	255	812
MCKEAN	82	96	26	97	301
MERCER	155	181	69	166	571
MIFFLIN	36	112	37	70	255
MONROE	319	118	87	261	785
MONTGOMERY	1,361	992	602	1,336	4,291
MONTOUR	17	3	1	15	36
NORTHAMPTON	457	356	302	539	1,654
NORTHUMBERLAND	148	134	86	255	623
PERRY	41	31	42	44	158
PHILADELPHIA	4,072	2,640	841	3,167	10,720
PIKE	29	83	50	44	206
POTTER	3	29	20	23	75
SCHUYLKILL	196	301	98	184	779
SNYDER	35	68	31	47	181
SOMERSET	30	96	36	98	260
SULLIVAN	5	0	0	1	6
SUSQUEHANNA	33	15	12	48	108
TIOGA	31	46	49	35	161
UNION	29	48	22	54	153
VENANGO	103	108	69	202	482
WARREN	53	42	22	52	169
WASHINGTON	232	137	149	732	1,250
WAYNE	25	56	18	62	161
WESTMORELAND	399	275	242	477	1,393
WYOMING	47	24	17	37	125
YORK	714	1,240	484	1,471	3,909
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,860</b>	<b>19,451</b>	<b>9,767</b>	<b>24,256</b>	<b>74,334</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.

*\*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.*



### Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2016

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER*	TOTAL
ADAMS	19	26	26	29	100
ALLEGHENY	284	312	103	360	1,059
ARMSTRONG	14	14	13	7	48
BEAVER	12	36	8	17	73
BEDFORD	3	6	11	3	23
BERKS	137	166	75	136	514
BLAIR	32	25	10	25	92
BRADFORD	8	12	8	5	33
BUCKS	247	243	332	226	1,048
BUTLER	42	23	32	46	143
CAMBRIA	36	17	3	34	90
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	14	15	10	8	47
CENTRE	33	40	44	19	136
CHESTER	147	141	111	95	494
CLARION	11	5	3	4	23
CLEARFIELD	28	42	22	27	119
CLINTON	5	5	10	10	30
COLUMBIA	7	8	6	17	38
CRAWFORD	41	45	27	28	141
CUMBERLAND	55	78	45	26	204
DAUPHIN	205	180	131	234	750
DELAWARE	314	194	88	140	736
ELK	7	5	2	2	16
ERIE	104	239	46	127	516
FAYETTE	31	151	10	181	373
FOREST	1	0	0	0	1
FRANKLIN	61	105	58	68	292
FULTON	1	18	0	3	22
GREENE	11	23	2	13	49
HUNTINGDON	10	17	12	28	67
INDIANA	11	26	17	20	74
JEFFERSON	2	0	1	3	6
JUNIATA	26	7	7	7	47

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.

*\*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.*



## Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER*	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	72	73	22	52	219
LANCASTER	229	415	122	170	936
LAWRENCE	14	50	16	17	97
LEBANON	60	155	59	68	342
LEHIGH	211	210	79	273	773
LUZERNE	56	91	56	108	311
LYCOMING	42	45	35	34	156
MCKEAN	10	22	5	7	44
MERCER	48	62	24	36	170
MIFFLIN	36	44	23	20	123
MONROE	86	42	26	85	239
MONTGOMERY	312	271	173	191	947
MONTOUR	3	0	0	5	8
NORTHAMPTON	99	76	59	53	287
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	27	26	18	88
PERRY	11	6	11	9	37
PHILADELPHIA	278	189	137	140	744
PIKE	11	28	22	13	74
POTTER	0	3	7	0	10
SCHUYLKILL	46	37	16	39	138
SNYDER	3	13	4	3	23
SOMERSET	1	7	6	2	16
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	1	1
SUSQUEHANNA	3	7	2	3	15
TIOGA	3	7	5	2	17
UNION	7	11	3	7	28
VENANGO	22	26	13	18	79
WARREN	21	16	7	21	65
WASHINGTON	99	52	56	495	702
WAYNE	11	16	11	11	49
WESTMORELAND	91	79	49	112	331
WYOMING	12	8	5	3	28
YORK	245	458	129	335	1,167
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,118</b>	<b>4,770</b>	<b>2,481</b>	<b>4,299</b>	<b>15,668</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.

*\*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense.*



### Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2016

This table reflects the median length of stay in secure detention. The median is defined as the value above and below which half the cases fall. In 2016, the statewide median length of stay in secure detention was 8 days, which is a decrease of one day from 2015.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
PHILADELPHIA	2,507	7.0
ALLEGHENY	1,512	7.0
BUCKS	638	3.0
DELAWARE	606	10.0
MONTGOMERY	511	6.0
DAUPHIN	223	8.0
BERKS	214	13.0
CHESTER	185	19.0
NORTHAMPTON	160	18.0
LACKAWANNA	151	7.0
LEHIGH	137	15.0
ERIE	136	11.0
YORK	135	13.0
LANCASTER	127	18.0
WESTMORELAND	125	13.0
WASHINGTON	124	10.0
MONROE	69	14.0
LEBANON	59	12.0
LUZERNE	50	9.0
MIFFLIN	46	17.0
CUMBERLAND	37	13.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	32	5.0
CLEARFIELD	31	8.0
CENTRE	29	15.0
FAYETTE	28	4.0
LYCOMING	28	9.5
BEAVER	27	19.0
CLINTON	25	8.0
SCHUYLKILL	22	9.0
FRANKLIN	21	12.0
BLAIR	18	9.0
PIKE	16	10.5
INDIANA	13	4.0
CARBON	12	10.0
HUNTINGDON	12	12.5



## Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
WAYNE	12	23.0
PERRY	10	16.0
CAMBRIA	8	36.0
BEDFORD	7	9.0
JUNIATA	7	10.0
POTTER	6	9.0
BUTLER	5	24.0
SNYDER	5	6.0
CRAWFORD	4	7.0
TIOGA	4	3.0
ADAMS	3	47.0
BRADFORD	3	5.0
COLUMBIA	3	14.0
SOMERSET	3	3.0
UNION	3	12.0
WYOMING	3	41.0
ELK	2	10.5
JEFFERSON	2	7.5
MCKEAN	2	16.0
MONTOUR	2	26.5
SULLIVAN	2	109.0
WARREN	2	18.5
ARMSTRONG	1	44.0
LAWRENCE	1	18.0
MERCER	1	3.0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	25.0
CAMERON	0	0.0
CLARION	0	0.0
FOREST	0	0.0
FULTON	0	0.0
GREENE	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
<b>*TOTAL</b>	<b>8,168</b>	<b>8.0</b>

\*Includes 174 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Armstrong (1); Beaver (28); Butler (6); Fayette (8); and Washington (131).



**Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	201-205	206	300	400	500	600	701	UNREPORTED
ADAMS	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
ALLEGHENY	1,581	235	71	1,069	0	6	9	39	82	70
ARMSTRONG	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	28	8	4	12	0	0	0	0	0	4
BEDFORD	8	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
BERKS	227	96	20	41	0	17	5	8	27	13
BLAIR	19	2	1	10	0	2	0	2	0	2
BRADFORD	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
BUCKS	668	49	41	159	1	1	4	41	8	364
BUTLER	6	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
CAMBRIA	8	5	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	12	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CENTRE	29	10	2	8	0	0	0	9	0	0
CHESTER	205	84	24	22	0	49	3	8	14	1
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	31	6	0	16	0	3	0	2	3	1
CLINTON	25	5	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	1
COLUMBIA	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CRAWFORD	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
CUMBERLAND	42	8	5	22	0	0	0	0	2	5
DAUPHIN	242	143	40	17	0	5	1	6	13	17
DELAWARE	706	212	1	6	0	2	0	478	0	7
ELK	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	142	46	25	15	0	6	9	20	0	21
FAYETTE	28	9	10	1	0	0	0	4	2	2
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	27	21	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	12	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	3
INDIANA	13	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	0
JEFFERSON	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
JUNIATA	8	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1



## Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	201-205	206	300	400	500	600	701	UNREPORTED
LACKAWANNA	156	54	7	18	0	7	3	18	11	38
LANCASTER	152	81	29	19	1	0	6	8	8	0
LAWRENCE	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
LEBANON	62	25	7	27	0	0	0	0	0	3
LEHIGH	151	63	11	17	0	3	2	4	33	18
LUZERNE	51	11	6	8	0	6	0	4	0	16
LYCOMING	29	11	8	4	0	1	1	1	0	3
MCKEAN	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
MERCER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	48	28	2	12	0	0	0	5	0	1
MONROE	77	26	4	33	0	0	3	4	1	6
MONTGOMERY	540	90	6	5	0	8	1	18	160	252
MONTOUR	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	177	56	8	92	0	5	1	6	1	8
NORTHUMBERLAND	33	9	4	8	0	2	3	1	0	6
PERRY	12	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,515	916	521	143	0	3	401	1	195	335
PIKE	19	4	5	4	0	1	0	3	0	2
POTTER	7	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	22	12	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
SNYDER	6	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
SOMERSET	4	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
SUSQUEHANNA	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TIOGA	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
UNION	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
WASHINGTON	131	21	9	12	0	22	3	5	35	24
WAYNE	13	2	2	4	0	0	0	1	4	0
WESTMORELAND	131	42	15	16	0	2	4	40	3	9
WYOMING	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
YORK	152	28	37	58	0	7	3	9	3	7
<b>*TOTAL</b>	<b>8,600</b>	<b>2,458</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>1,893</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>1,252</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>



\*Includes 174 secure detention admissions to the Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Armstrong (1); Beaver (28); Butler (6); Fayette (8); and Washington (131).



### Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions. Counties reporting zero percent utilization of a selected code are not displayed.

**Code 206** The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child’s age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child’s family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction and substance abuse.)





NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



## Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

*continued*





NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



### Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

continued



NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.





## Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

*continued*

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions. Counties reporting zero percent utilization of a selected code are not displayed.

**Code 701** Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.






NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



### Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

continued




NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



## Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016


*continued*



NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions. Counties reporting zero percent utilization of a selected code are not displayed.

**Unreported** The Unreported category, as shown in this report, indicates that a code was not reported to the JCJC.






NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



### Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

continued





NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



## Secure Detention Admissions by Selected Codes and County Class, 2016

*continued*



NOTE: Counties that did not use Section Codes 206, 701 or did not have Unreported codes are not included.



## Appendix 13

### Delinquency Placements, 2012-2016 Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	PERCENT CHANGE 2015-2016	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
ADAMS	19	9	15	9	13	44.4%	3.7%
ALLEGHENY	387	404	412	378	385	1.9%	6.2%
ARMSTRONG	9	8	3	5	6	20.0%	5.1%
BEAVER	37	47	32	27	34	25.9%	9.2%
BEDFORD	14	6	8	8	5	-37.5%	10.6%
BERKS	118	96	97	106	87	-17.9%	7.7%
BLAIR	17	14	13	18	13	-27.8%	3.2%
BRADFORD	6	5	3	9	10	11.1%	7.8%
BUCKS	203	192	162	132	101	-23.5%	7.7%
BUTLER	38	44	38	52	43	-17.3%	9.9%
CAMBRIA	16	16	15	20	39	95.0%	8.6%
CAMERON	1	5	1	2	0	-100.0%	0.0%
CARBON	22	16	18	20	18	-10.0%	12.2%
CENTRE	2	8	4	3	12	300.0%	8.6%
CHESTER	116	113	69	74	68	-8.1%	8.8%
CLARION	13	12	7	3	5	66.7%	4.5%
CLEARFIELD	15	10	8	11	14	27.3%	23.7%
CLINTON	13	9	5	7	4	-42.9%	2.8%
COLUMBIA	12	8	4	4	8	100.0%	6.9%
CRAWFORD	9	14	15	15	10	-33.3%	5.1%
CUMBERLAND	19	28	25	23	27	17.4%	3.3%
DAUPHIN	174	158	116	107	95	-11.2%	6.3%
DELAWARE	384	363	273	226	184	-18.6%	5.1%
ELK	10	10	5	4	5	25.0%	12.2%
ERIE	184	155	177	179	128	-28.5%	18.9%
FAYETTE	14	10	13	14	18	28.6%	4.6%
FOREST	0	0	2	1	0	-100.0%	0.0%
FRANKLIN	61	50	43	28	37	32.1%	5.1%
FULTON	4	3	3	3	0	-100.0%	0.0%
GREENE	8	4	2	1	2	100.0%	7.1%
HUNTINGDON	4	6	3	9	10	11.1%	18.5%
INDIANA	5	3	2	5	5	0.0%	3.2%
JEFFERSON	17	13	15	17	7	-58.8%	13.2%
JUNIATA	8	3	8	7	4	-42.9%	12.1%

NOTE: This data does not include placement reviews resulting from a placement review hearing.



## Delinquency Placements, 2012-2016

### Including Disposition Reviews

*continued*

COUNTY	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	PERCENT CHANGE 2015-2016	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
LACKAWANNA	37	33	31	34	34	0.0%	5.4%
LANCASTER	89	70	54	47	32	-31.9%	2.8%
LAWRENCE	11	8	7	6	4	-33.3%	1.9%
LEBANON	38	59	48	52	31	-40.4%	9.8%
LEHIGH	138	134	124	95	76	-20.0%	5.9%
LUZERNE	94	96	70	52	48	-7.7%	5.6%
LYCOMING	75	48	49	35	31	-11.4%	5.2%
MCKEAN	4	3	4	3	1	-66.7%	0.5%
MERCER	45	36	48	34	29	-14.7%	9.4%
MIFFLIN	10	10	9	8	11	37.5%	7.4%
MONROE	124	93	97	80	89	11.3%	18.2%
MONTGOMERY	250	170	163	117	140	19.7%	7.2%
MONTOUR	4	5	3	5	2	-60.0%	11.1%
NORTHAMPTON	82	76	76	57	78	36.8%	10.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	26	13	20	16	14	-12.5%	6.0%
PERRY	9	9	6	9	7	-22.2%	10.3%
PHILADELPHIA	1,630	1,546	1,290	1,041	956	-8.2%	6.9%
PIKE	23	23	12	16	18	12.5%	13.7%
POTTER	2	3	2	2	4	100.0%	9.8%
SCHUYLKILL	46	38	44	30	29	-3.3%	10.8%
SNYDER	12	10	16	9	4	-55.6%	4.0%
SOMERSET	13	1	1	4	2	-50.0%	2.2%
SULLIVAN	1	2	0	1	0	-100.0%	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	2	5	2	3	2	-33.3%	5.0%
TIOGA	5	10	6	8	3	-62.5%	3.1%
UNION	8	3	5	1	1	0.0%	1.4%
VENANGO	25	23	17	12	5	-58.3%	1.7%
WARREN	14	15	6	11	13	18.2%	15.7%
WASHINGTON	105	112	70	72	66	-8.3%	12.3%
WAYNE	10	13	7	9	9	0.0%	8.1%
WESTMORELAND	89	80	83	73	73	0.0%	6.7%
WYOMING	6	2	5	11	5	-54.5%	6.2%
YORK	181	171	162	139	168	20.9%	7.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5,167</b>	<b>4,762</b>	<b>4,153</b>	<b>3,619</b>	<b>3,382</b>	<b>-6.5%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include placement reviews resulting from a placement review hearing.



## Appendix 14

### Delinquency Placements by Type, 2016 Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	COMMUNITY BASED			
		COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICE/ GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING	TRANSITIONAL LIVING
ADAMS	13	5	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	385	81	0	0	5
ARMSTRONG	6	3	0	0	0
BEAVER	34	6	0	0	0
BEDFORD	5	1	0	0	0
BERKS	87	22	4	0	0
BLAIR	13	3	1	0	0
BRADFORD	10	2	0	0	0
BUCKS	101	42	2	0	0
BUTLER	43	20	0	0	6
CAMBRIA	39	7	0	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	18	5	0	0	0
CENTRE	12	2	0	0	0
CHESTER	68	24	1	2	0
CLARION	5	5	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	14	4	0	0	0
CLINTON	4	2	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	8	2	1	0	0
CRAWFORD	10	6	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	27	6	2	0	0
DAUPHIN	95	17	2	0	0
DELAWARE	184	40	0	3	1
ELK	5	1	0	0	0
ERIE	128	30	0	0	1
FAYETTE	18	2	0	0	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	37	15	0	0	0
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	2	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	10	5	0	0	0
INDIANA	5	2	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	7	3	0	0	0
JUNIATA	4	2	0	0	0



**Delinquency Placements by Type, 2016**  
**Including Disposition Reviews**  
*continued*

COUNTY	INSTITUTIONAL				OTHER			
	GENERAL RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	YDC SECURE	YDC/YFC NON-SECURE	CRR HOST HOME	DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROGRAM	INPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITY (RTF)
ADAMS	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	1
ALLEGHENY	176	19	11	18	0	58	0	17
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
BEAVER	7	1	0	0	0	20	0	0
BEDFORD	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
BERKS	31	7	3	0	0	16	0	4
BLAIR	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
BRADFORD	1	0	2	2	0	3	0	0
BUCKS	27	6	2	14	0	2	0	6
BUTLER	6	1	1	1	0	5	0	3
CAMBRIA	18	0	0	0	0	14	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	0	2	7	2	0	2	0	0
CENTRE	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	2
CHESTER	16	7	4	2	0	8	0	4
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	5	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
COLUMBIA	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
CRAWFORD	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	8	4	0	0	0	7	0	0
DAUPHIN	37	4	20	9	0	6	0	0
DELAWARE	83	10	2	0	0	37	1	7
ELK	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	51	6	10	0	0	19	0	11
FAYETTE	7	1	0	0	0	7	0	1
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	13	1	2	1	0	2	0	3
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
HUNTINGDON	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
JEFFERSON	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0



## Appendix 14

### Delinquency Placements by Type, 2016

#### Including Disposition Reviews

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	COMMUNITY BASED			
		COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICE/ GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING	TRANSITIONAL LIVING
LACKAWANNA	34	9	0	0	0
LANCASTER	32	14	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	4	1	0	0	1
LEBANON	31	2	0	0	0
LEHIGH	76	7	0	0	0
LUZERNE	48	17	1	1	0
LYCOMING	31	9	0	0	0
MCKEAN	1	0	0	0	0
MERCER	29	16	0	0	1
MIFFLIN	11	1	0	0	0
MONROE	89	6	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	140	20	16	0	0
MONTOUR	2	1	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	78	34	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	14	2	3	0	0
PERRY	7	3	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	956	157	6	7	2
PIKE	18	5	0	0	0
POTTER	4	0	1	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	29	8	2	0	0
SNYDER	4	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	2	2	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	2	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	3	1	0	0	0
UNION	1	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	5	5	0	0	0
WARREN	13	4	0	0	2
WASHINGTON	66	21	0	0	1
WAYNE	9	3	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	73	20	0	0	2
WYOMING	5	2	0	0	0
YORK	168	23	2	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,382</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>



## Delinquency Placements by Type, 2016

### Including Disposition Reviews

*continued*

COUNTY	INSTITUTIONAL				OTHER			
	GENERAL RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	YDC SECURE	YDC/YFC NON-SECURE	CRR HOST HOME	DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROGRAM	INPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITY (RTF)
LACKAWANNA	20	1	0	0	0	2	0	2
LANCASTER	11	3	0	0	0	4	0	0
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
LEBANON	19	1	0	0	0	7	0	2
LEHIGH	18	3	20	22	0	3	0	3
LUZERNE	17	8	0	2	0	0	0	2
LYCOMING	16	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
MCKEAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MERCER	4	0	0	3	0	4	0	1
MIFFLIN	5	1	0	1	0	2	0	1
MONROE	56	4	5	2	0	5	0	11
MONTGOMERY	56	5	3	7	0	14	0	19
MONTOUR	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	8	0	0	2	0	30	0	4
NORTHUMBERLAND	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	1
PERRY	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
PHILADELPHIA	321	49	125	24	1	104	4	156
PIKE	6	0	6	1	0	0	0	0
POTTER	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	7	0	4	3	0	2	0	3
SNYDER	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
TIOGA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNION	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	4
WASHINGTON	22	1	1	1	0	15	0	4
WAYNE	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0
WESTMORELAND	15	3	6	0	0	26	0	1
WYOMING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
YORK	36	7	34	44	1	14	0	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>286</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>34.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>



## Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2016

A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	129	0	0	0	1	1	83	33	11
ALLEGHENY	3,379	24	3	0	10	33	2,334	690	285
ARMSTRONG	23	0	0	1	0	0	13	6	3
BEAVER	39	0	1	0	0	0	21	1	16
BEDFORD	11	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	1
BERKS	415	4	0	1	2	45	194	118	51
BLAIR	95	2	0	0	2	1	50	34	6
BRADFORD	8	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	3
BUCKS	614	0	0	0	1	116	337	79	81
BUTLER	206	0	0	0	0	5	155	19	27
CAMBRIA	217	0	16	1	0	5	62	123	10
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	45	0	0	0	0	1	34	2	8
CENTRE	27	0	0	0	8	4	9	3	3
CHESTER	182	4	0	0	0	35	127	11	5
CLARION	59	0	1	0	0	2	41	13	2
CLEARFIELD	11	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	7
CLINTON	55	0	0	0	0	1	41	12	1
COLUMBIA	52	1	0	3	0	8	29	7	4
CRAWFORD	78	0	0	1	0	4	65	5	3
CUMBERLAND	313	0	0	0	3	1	138	158	13
DAUPHIN	713	0	0	4	42	139	287	167	74
DELAWARE	2,400	0	1	5	3	7	1,798	472	114
ELK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	130	0	0	0	0	5	108	1	16
FAYETTE	32	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	5
FOREST	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	307	1	0	0	8	1	66	222	9
FULTON	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
INDIANA	20	0	0	0	0	2	17	0	1
JEFFERSON	10	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	3
JUNIATA	15	0	0	0	0	8	5	0	2



## Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	297	5	0	0	0	1	183	86	22
LANCASTER	346	2	0	1	1	34	297	1	10
LAWRENCE	39	0	11	0	0	0	17	10	1
LEBANON	43	0	0	0	1	2	22	6	12
LEHIGH	400	0	0	1	0	4	341	35	19
LUZERNE	344	2	0	0	3	3	204	118	14
LYCOMING	298	5	7	1	9	15	126	117	18
MCKEAN	96	1	0	0	0	0	86	8	1
MERCER	96	3	0	1	1	4	44	31	12
MIFFLIN	61	0	0	0	0	3	31	20	7
MONROE	243	0	0	0	0	0	124	92	27
MONTGOMERY	948	0	0	1	1	11	455	408	72
MONTOUR	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	164	1	0	0	0	6	78	41	38
NORTHUMBERLAND	11	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	7
PERRY	10	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	1
PHILADELPHIA	11,148	2	9	6	1,691	105	7,103	1,544	688
PIKE	55	0	0	1	0	2	25	22	5
POTTER	15	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	3
SCHUYLKILL	55	0	1	1	0	3	29	8	13
SNYDER	27	0	0	0	0	2	6	18	1
SOMERSET	14	1	0	0	0	3	8	0	2
SULLIVAN	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
SUSQUEHANNA	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	2
TIOGA	36	0	0	0	0	0	17	19	0
UNION	10	0	0	1	0	1	6	2	0
VENANGO	74	0	0	0	0	0	71	2	1
WARREN	25	0	0	0	1	1	18	1	4
WASHINGTON	228	0	0	0	0	12	164	15	37
WAYNE	52	0	0	0	0	1	15	27	9
WESTMORELAND	562	0	0	2	0	10	264	246	40
WYOMING	34	2	0	0	0	1	19	10	2
YORK	859	5	0	1	3	3	527	236	84
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26,216</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1,792</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>16,370</b>	<b>5,321</b>	<b>1,922</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>62.4%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

NOTE: Dispositional outcomes were combined for these tables. *Informal Adjustment* and *Fines and Costs* are included in the category titled *Other*. *Transferred to Other Juvenile Court* and *Referred to Another Agency/Individual* are included in the category *Referred*. *Complaint Withdrawn* and *Warned, Counseled, Case Closed* are included in the category *Withdrawn*. *Protective Supervision* and *Probation with Day Treatment* are included with *Probation*.



### Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2016

A *placement review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition that resulted in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
ADAMS	28	18	8	2
ALLEGHENY	1,142	667	370	105
ARMSTRONG	10	5	5	0
BEAVER	47	19	22	6
BEDFORD	11	9	2	0
BERKS	214	105	86	23
BLAIR	37	14	18	5
BRADFORD	9	2	6	1
BUCKS	293	148	107	38
BUTLER	85	39	33	13
CAMBRIA	46	14	27	5
CAMERON	2	2	0	0
CARBON	28	12	13	3
CENTRE	13	6	7	0
CHESTER	154	84	55	15
CLARION	10	3	7	0
CLEARFIELD	18	16	2	0
CLINTON	11	6	5	0
COLUMBIA	12	2	7	3
CRAWFORD	22	15	4	3
CUMBERLAND	37	17	17	3
DAUPHIN	171	93	71	7
DELAWARE	405	205	171	29
ELK	3	3	0	0
ERIE	287	95	127	65
FAYETTE	15	4	10	1
FOREST	2	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	91	49	38	4
FULTON	14	10	2	2
GREENE	5	5	0	0
HUNTINGDON	22	14	8	0
INDIANA	4	4	0	0
JEFFERSON	16	7	6	3
JUNIATA	15	9	4	2



## Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENT REVIEWS	OUTCOME		
		CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
LACKAWANNA	58	28	25	5
LANCASTER	135	69	43	23
LAWRENCE	13	4	4	5
LEBANON	61	39	11	11
LEHIGH	170	95	64	11
LUZERNE	111	64	34	13
LYCOMING	55	22	24	9
MCKEAN	5	1	2	2
MERCER	74	30	36	8
MIFFLIN	24	10	12	2
MONROE	200	111	79	10
MONTGOMERY	363	194	138	31
MONTOUR	7	5	1	1
NORTHAMPTON	85	72	11	2
NORTHUMBERLAND	23	12	10	1
PERRY	17	11	6	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,067	3,925	1,012	130
PIKE	29	14	14	1
POTTER	13	9	4	0
SCHUYLKILL	41	26	9	6
SNYDER	18	11	4	3
SOMERSET	4	3	1	0
SULLIVAN	2	0	0	2
SUSQUEHANNA	7	4	3	0
TIOGA	11	4	5	2
UNION	5	4	1	0
VENANGO	26	20	6	0
WARREN	39	25	6	8
WASHINGTON	180	71	67	42
WAYNE	13	7	5	1
WESTMORELAND	182	78	71	33
WYOMING	8	0	8	0
YORK	251	109	115	27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10,576</b>	<b>6,780</b>	<b>3,069</b>	<b>727</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>64.1%</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>



## Appendix 17

### Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2016

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	COMMUNITY BASED			
		COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICE/ GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING	TRANSITIONAL LIVING
ADAMS	2	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	104	46	0	3	6
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	6	2	0	0	0
BEDFORD	0	0	0	0	0
BERKS	23	8	1	0	1
BLAIR	5	0	2	0	0
BRADFORD	1	1	0	0	0
BUCKS	38	9	5	0	0
BUTLER	13	8	0	0	3
CAMBRIA	5	0	0	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	3	0	1	0	0
CENTRE	0	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	15	6	1	5	0
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	3	0	1	0	0
CRAWFORD	3	1	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	3	1	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	7	2	1	0	0
DELAWARE	29	11	3	1	0
ELK	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	65	29	0	0	3
FAYETTE	1	0	0	0	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	4	1	0	0	0
FULTON	2	1	0	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	3	1	1	0	0
JUNIATA	2	0	0	0	0



**Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by  
Type of Placement, 2016**  
*continued*

COUNTY	INSTITUTIONAL				OTHER			
	GENERAL RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	YDC SECURE	YDC/YFC NON-SECURE	CRR HOST HOME	DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROGRAM	INPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITY (RTF)
ADAMS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	21	9	6	5	0	4	0	4
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
BEDFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BERKS	7	1	0	0	0	3	0	2
BLAIR	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
BRADFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	8	7	3	3	0	0	0	3
BUTLER	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
CENTRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CRAWFORD	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
DAUPHIN	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
DELAWARE	6	2	1	0	1	1	0	3
ELK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	20	3	3	0	0	3	0	4
FAYETTE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
FULTON	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1



## Appendix 17

### Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2016

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	COMMUNITY BASED			
		COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SERVICE/ GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING	TRANSITIONAL LIVING
LACKAWANNA	5	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	23	7	2	0	0
LAWRENCE	5	1	0	0	1
LEBANON	11	1	3	0	0
LEHIGH	11	2	0	1	0
LUZERNE	13	5	1	0	0
LYCOMING	9	4	0	1	1
MCKEAN	2	0	0	0	1
MERCER	8	5	1	0	1
MIFFLIN	2	0	0	0	0
MONROE	10	0	1	0	0
MONTGOMERY	31	2	7	1	0
MONTOUR	1	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	2	0	1	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	1	0	0	0
PERRY	0	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	130	34	6	17	11
PIKE	1	0	0	0	0
POTTER	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	6	2	1	0	0
SNYDER	3	2	0	0	0
SOMERSET	0	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	2	1	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	0	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	2	1	0	0	0
UNION	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	8	4	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	42	20	1	0	3
WAYNE	1	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	33	12	0	0	7
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0
YORK	27	6	0	1	7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>



## Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	INSTITUTIONAL				OTHER			
	GENERAL RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES	YDC SECURE	YDC/YFC NON-SECURE	CRR HOST HOME	DRUG AND ALCOHOL PROGRAM	INPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH	RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITY (RTF)
LACKAWANNA	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	0
LEHIGH	1	4	3	0	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
LYCOMING	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
MCKEAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MIFFLIN	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
MONROE	4	1	3	0	0	1	0	0
MONTGOMERY	8	2	0	0	0	2	0	9
MONTOUR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PERRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	9	15	25	1	0	4	1	7
PIKE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
WASHINGTON	7	3	0	2	0	2	0	4
WAYNE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	8	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YORK	0	1	3	2	0	4	0	3
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>



## Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2016 Age calculated at date of disposition

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	225	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	8	3.6%	19	8.4%
ALLEGHENY	2,830	17	0.6%	47	1.7%	106	3.7%	187	6.6%
ARMSTRONG	94	1	1.1%	3	3.2%	2	2.1%	6	6.4%
BEAVER	331	2	0.6%	6	1.8%	10	3.0%	27	8.2%
BEDFORD	36	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%
BERKS	717	3	0.4%	3	0.4%	28	3.9%	47	6.6%
BLAIR	308	0	0.0%	3	1.0%	13	4.2%	16	5.2%
BRADFORD	120	1	0.8%	3	2.5%	4	3.3%	4	3.3%
BUCKS	701	1	0.1%	3	0.4%	13	1.9%	42	6.0%
BUTLER	227	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	13	5.7%	13	5.7%
CAMBRIA	235	1	0.4%	7	3.0%	10	4.3%	9	3.8%
CAMERON	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	103	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	2	1.9%	8	7.8%
CENTRE	113	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	5	4.4%	3	2.7%
CHESTER	590	0	0.0%	3	0.5%	19	3.2%	33	5.6%
CLARION	51	0	0.0%	3	5.9%	1	2.0%	6	11.8%
CLEARFIELD	48	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	4	8.3%
CLINTON	88	2	2.3%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%
COLUMBIA	64	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	6.3%
CRAWFORD	117	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	6.0%
CUMBERLAND	513	3	0.6%	6	1.2%	13	2.5%	23	4.5%
DAUPHIN	802	4	0.5%	15	1.9%	31	3.9%	56	7.0%
DELAWARE	1,227	2	0.2%	27	2.2%	53	4.3%	97	7.9%
ELK	41	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.3%	10	24.4%
ERIE	547	3	0.5%	13	2.4%	22	4.0%	48	8.8%
FAYETTE	362	1	0.3%	5	1.4%	20	5.5%	20	5.5%
FOREST	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	417	1	0.2%	6	1.4%	15	3.6%	29	7.0%
FULTON	26	0	0.0%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%
GREENE	28	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%
HUNTINGDON	48	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	3	6.3%
INDIANA	136	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	5	3.7%	8	5.9%
JEFFERSON	43	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.0%	2	4.7%
JUNIATA	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2016

### Age calculated at date of disposition

*continued*

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	14	6.2%	25	11.1%	42	18.7%	69	30.7%	46	20.4%
ALLEGHENY	310	11.0%	505	17.8%	652	23.0%	664	23.5%	342	12.1%
ARMSTRONG	7	7.4%	11	11.7%	20	21.3%	28	29.8%	16	17.0%
BEAVER	45	13.6%	49	14.8%	73	22.1%	83	25.1%	36	10.9%
BEDFORD	5	13.9%	5	13.9%	10	27.8%	8	22.2%	4	11.1%
BERKS	81	11.3%	107	14.9%	167	23.3%	176	24.5%	105	14.6%
BLAIR	33	10.7%	71	23.1%	64	20.8%	85	27.6%	23	7.5%
BRADFORD	14	11.7%	14	11.7%	27	22.5%	36	30.0%	17	14.2%
BUCKS	61	8.7%	115	16.4%	152	21.7%	219	31.2%	95	13.6%
BUTLER	27	11.9%	47	20.7%	41	18.1%	65	28.6%	19	8.4%
CAMBRIA	38	16.2%	45	19.1%	49	20.9%	49	20.9%	27	11.5%
CAMERON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
CARBON	4	3.9%	20	19.4%	16	15.5%	28	27.2%	24	23.3%
CENTRE	15	13.3%	21	18.6%	19	16.8%	27	23.9%	21	18.6%
CHESTER	49	8.3%	75	12.7%	110	18.6%	153	25.9%	148	25.1%
CLARION	4	7.8%	6	11.8%	4	7.8%	19	37.3%	8	15.7%
CLEARFIELD	8	16.7%	4	8.3%	9	18.8%	12	25.0%	9	18.8%
CLINTON	6	6.8%	9	10.2%	20	22.7%	33	37.5%	13	14.8%
COLUMBIA	3	4.7%	16	25.0%	20	31.3%	10	15.6%	11	17.2%
CRAWFORD	18	15.4%	31	26.5%	17	14.5%	29	24.8%	15	12.8%
CUMBERLAND	54	10.5%	72	14.0%	110	21.4%	166	32.4%	66	12.9%
DAUPHIN	75	9.4%	125	15.6%	147	18.3%	233	29.1%	116	14.5%
DELAWARE	169	13.8%	193	15.7%	235	19.2%	278	22.7%	173	14.1%
ELK	3	7.3%	7	17.1%	3	7.3%	10	24.4%	5	12.2%
ERIE	74	13.5%	92	16.8%	103	18.8%	130	23.8%	62	11.3%
FAYETTE	36	9.9%	55	15.2%	68	18.8%	87	24.0%	70	19.3%
FOREST	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
FRANKLIN	36	8.6%	61	14.6%	89	21.3%	137	32.9%	43	10.3%
FULTON	1	3.8%	4	15.4%	7	26.9%	4	15.4%	3	11.5%
GREENE	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	5	17.9%	8	28.6%	3	10.7%
HUNTINGDON	4	8.3%	10	20.8%	17	35.4%	6	12.5%	6	12.5%
INDIANA	13	9.6%	16	11.8%	22	16.2%	47	34.6%	24	17.6%
JEFFERSON	4	9.3%	5	11.6%	7	16.3%	15	34.9%	7	16.3%
JUNIATA	3	16.7%	6	33.3%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2016

### Age calculated at date of disposition

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	10		11		12		13	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	327	3	0.9%	2	0.6%	10	3.1%	23	7.0%
LANCASTER	781	4	0.5%	3	0.4%	17	2.2%	41	5.2%
LAWRENCE	173	1	0.6%	3	1.7%	1	0.6%	8	4.6%
LEBANON	272	1	0.4%	2	0.7%	11	4.0%	10	3.7%
LEHIGH	898	0	0.0%	11	1.2%	33	3.7%	81	9.0%
LUZERNE	520	3	0.6%	6	1.2%	14	2.7%	24	4.6%
LYCOMING	294	2	0.7%	3	1.0%	11	3.7%	26	8.8%
MCKEAN	96	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	4	4.2%	9	9.4%
MERCER	211	0	0.0%	3	1.4%	8	3.8%	11	5.2%
MIFFLIN	88	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	11	12.5%
MONROE	247	0	0.0%	6	2.4%	10	4.0%	18	7.3%
MONTGOMERY	1,010	2	0.2%	9	0.9%	35	3.5%	69	6.8%
MONTOUR	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%
NORTHAMPTON	618	0	0.0%	14	2.3%	26	4.2%	48	7.8%
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	4	1.8%	8	3.6%
PERRY	58	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	2	3.4%
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	15	0.5%	28	1.0%	89	3.3%	193	7.1%
PIKE	76	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%
POTTER	26	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	213	1	0.5%	2	0.9%	4	1.9%	11	5.2%
SNYDER	74	3	4.1%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	9	12.2%
SOMERSET	77	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	5	6.5%
SULLIVAN	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	30	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	2	6.7%
TIOGA	61	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	5	8.2%	4	6.6%
UNION	59	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	11.9%
VENANGO	222	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	2	0.9%	26	11.7%
WARREN	58	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	5	8.6%
WASHINGTON	310	1	0.3%	3	1.0%	15	4.8%	26	8.4%
WAYNE	59	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	5	8.5%
WESTMORELAND	524	4	0.8%	6	1.1%	4	0.8%	38	7.3%
WYOMING	47	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
YORK	1,397	3	0.2%	11	0.8%	25	1.8%	73	5.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>756</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>6.7%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2016

### Age calculated at date of disposition

*continued*

COUNTY	14		15		16		17		18 AND OVER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	43	13.1%	62	19.0%	74	22.6%	66	20.2%	44	13.5%
LANCASTER	71	9.1%	148	19.0%	170	21.8%	226	28.9%	101	12.9%
LAWRENCE	22	12.7%	31	17.9%	35	20.2%	52	30.1%	20	11.6%
LEBANON	50	18.4%	45	16.5%	45	16.5%	52	19.1%	56	20.6%
LEHIGH	116	12.9%	151	16.8%	183	20.4%	251	28.0%	72	8.0%
LUZERNE	55	10.6%	58	11.2%	105	20.2%	133	25.6%	122	23.5%
LYCOMING	51	17.3%	51	17.3%	68	23.1%	63	21.4%	19	6.5%
MCKEAN	11	11.5%	9	9.4%	17	17.7%	31	32.3%	14	14.6%
MERCER	33	15.6%	48	22.7%	41	19.4%	43	20.4%	24	11.4%
MIFFLIN	14	15.9%	18	20.5%	21	23.9%	16	18.2%	6	6.8%
MONROE	29	11.7%	32	13.0%	62	25.1%	56	22.7%	34	13.8%
MONTGOMERY	98	9.7%	146	14.5%	244	24.2%	275	27.2%	132	13.1%
MONTOUR	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	5	35.7%	2	14.3%
NORTHAMPTON	82	13.3%	111	18.0%	124	20.1%	171	27.7%	42	6.8%
NORTHUMBERLAND	31	14.0%	28	12.6%	52	23.4%	59	26.6%	39	17.6%
PERRY	5	8.6%	9	15.5%	14	24.1%	18	31.0%	7	12.1%
PHILADELPHIA	370	13.5%	495	18.1%	614	22.4%	657	24.0%	276	10.1%
PIKE	7	9.2%	6	7.9%	24	31.6%	24	31.6%	14	18.4%
POTTER	6	23.1%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	9	34.6%	5	19.2%
SCHUYLKILL	29	13.6%	37	17.4%	52	24.4%	52	24.4%	25	11.7%
SNYDER	11	14.9%	15	20.3%	11	14.9%	15	20.3%	9	12.2%
SOMERSET	9	11.7%	6	7.8%	13	16.9%	17	22.1%	25	32.5%
SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	8	26.7%	9	30.0%	1	3.3%
TIOGA	4	6.6%	6	9.8%	11	18.0%	15	24.6%	15	24.6%
UNION	9	15.3%	8	13.6%	9	15.3%	20	33.9%	6	10.2%
VENANGO	33	14.9%	51	23.0%	54	24.3%	35	15.8%	19	8.6%
WARREN	9	15.5%	9	15.5%	18	31.0%	9	15.5%	3	5.2%
WASHINGTON	40	12.9%	52	16.8%	54	17.4%	88	28.4%	31	10.0%
WAYNE	4	6.8%	5	8.5%	14	23.7%	19	32.2%	10	16.9%
WESTMORELAND	54	10.3%	99	18.9%	94	17.9%	172	32.8%	53	10.1%
WYOMING	4	8.5%	9	19.1%	7	14.9%	16	34.0%	9	19.1%
YORK	177	12.7%	266	19.0%	296	21.2%	402	28.8%	144	10.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,698</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>3,844</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>4,869</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>5,994</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>2,940</b>	<b>12.8%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2016

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	225	179	32	14
ALLEGHENY	2,830	2,750	78	2
ARMSTRONG	94	80	11	3
BEAVER	331	286	34	11
BEDFORD	36	35	1	0
BERKS	717	660	54	3
BLAIR	308	297	11	0
BRADFORD	120	98	16	6
BUCKS	701	622	55	24
BUTLER	227	212	7	8
CAMBRIA	235	229	5	1
CAMERON	4	3	1	0
CARBON	103	84	19	0
CENTRE	113	108	3	2
CHESTER	590	521	36	33
CLARION	51	47	2	2
CLEARFIELD	48	36	12	0
CLINTON	88	84	2	2
COLUMBIA	64	59	4	1
CRAWFORD	117	104	10	3
CUMBERLAND	513	483	30	0
DAUPHIN	802	742	57	3
DELAWARE	1,227	1,122	88	17
ELK	41	37	2	2
ERIE	547	480	57	10
FAYETTE	362	314	46	2
FOREST	2	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	417	339	43	35
FULTON	26	24	2	0
GREENE	28	26	2	0
HUNTINGDON	48	43	5	0
INDIANA	136	113	14	9
JEFFERSON	43	39	3	1
JUNIATA	18	16	2	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	327	313	11	3
LANCASTER	781	661	69	51
LAWRENCE	173	159	13	1
LEBANON	272	217	50	5
LEHIGH	898	760	72	66
LUZERNE	520	415	44	61
LYCOMING	294	272	22	0
MCKEAN	96	60	3	33
MERCER	211	184	13	14
MIFFLIN	88	86	2	0
MONROE	247	232	15	0
MONTGOMERY	1,010	845	59	106
MONTOUR	14	11	3	0
NORTHAMPTON	618	610	8	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	196	19	7
PERRY	58	48	10	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	2,239	351	147
PIKE	76	58	6	12
POTTER	26	25	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	213	145	33	35
SNYDER	74	65	4	5
SOMERSET	77	58	6	13
SULLIVAN	3	3	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	26	2	2
TIOGA	61	54	7	0
UNION	59	53	5	1
VENANGO	222	182	5	35
WARREN	58	57	1	0
WASHINGTON	310	246	14	50
WAYNE	59	51	6	2
WESTMORELAND	524	516	8	0
WYOMING	47	42	2	3
YORK	1,397	959	93	345
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>20,122</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>1,191</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



**Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	225	35	29	38	42	49	18	14
ALLEGHENY	2,830	806	262	652	525	471	108	6
ARMSTRONG	94	16	10	21	16	17	10	4
BEAVER	331	71	59	73	51	52	14	11
BEDFORD	36	4	3	5	5	5	14	0
BERKS	717	171	133	202	114	81	3	13
BLAIR	308	57	53	68	76	43	11	0
BRADFORD	120	20	21	25	21	19	8	6
BUCKS	701	113	104	139	136	159	18	32
BUTLER	227	49	46	32	42	40	10	8
CAMBRIA	235	74	41	43	43	30	3	1
CAMERON	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	0
CARBON	103	19	18	30	18	14	4	0
CENTRE	113	31	15	20	28	14	2	3
CHESTER	590	118	96	113	113	98	12	40
CLARION	51	19	4	9	10	6	1	2
CLEARFIELD	48	11	6	11	6	12	2	0
CLINTON	88	15	8	9	18	32	4	2
COLUMBIA	64	10	18	11	9	14	1	1
CRAWFORD	117	20	26	9	6	12	39	5
CUMBERLAND	513	74	73	87	108	62	109	0
DAUPHIN	802	200	141	140	137	115	67	2
DELAWARE	1,227	321	225	247	198	140	79	17
ELK	41	20	5	4	5	5	0	2
ERIE	547	163	102	94	86	54	38	10
FAYETTE	362	106	77	70	43	26	37	3
FOREST	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
FRANKLIN	417	77	52	74	62	77	40	35
FULTON	26	9	1	9	2	4	1	0
GREENE	28	9	2	9	4	3	1	0
HUNTINGDON	48	12	9	9	8	8	1	1
INDIANA	136	29	17	23	21	31	6	9
JEFFERSON	43	8	7	9	8	9	1	1
JUNIATA	18	9	5	0	1	1	2	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	327	56	62	77	46	35	46	5
LANCASTER	781	103	154	157	151	129	36	51
LAWRENCE	173	45	24	28	29	22	24	1
LEBANON	272	57	57	51	41	44	17	5
LEHIGH	898	202	220	157	127	103	14	75
LUZERNE	520	119	94	95	65	70	16	61
LYCOMING	294	85	56	57	53	29	14	0
MCKEAN	96	14	11	3	8	17	6	37
MERCER	211	45	44	45	29	28	6	14
MIFFLIN	88	27	21	11	18	9	2	0
MONROE	247	61	34	63	48	38	3	0
MONTGOMERY	1,010	224	166	195	173	141	3	108
MONTOUR	14	2	3	2	3	2	2	0
NORTHAMPTON	618	152	122	121	127	95	1	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	33	36	48	47	27	24	7
PERRY	58	10	13	10	5	9	11	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	594	680	588	356	162	210	147
PIKE	76	4	8	14	17	14	7	12
POTTER	26	2	5	3	1	13	2	0
SCHUYLKILL	213	38	42	34	34	19	11	35
SNYDER	74	19	13	8	13	7	5	9
SOMERSET	77	13	7	13	6	15	6	17
SULLIVAN	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	8	2	7	9	0	2	2
TIOGA	61	16	12	10	15	7	1	0
UNION	59	15	5	14	13	10	1	1
VENANGO	222	59	35	34	25	20	14	35
WARREN	58	15	10	17	11	3	2	0
WASHINGTON	310	63	49	46	59	33	10	50
WAYNE	59	13	13	12	9	9	1	2
WESTMORELAND	524	130	102	116	162	10	4	0
WYOMING	47	6	7	12	6	10	2	4
YORK	1,397	219	210	215	209	140	57	347
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>5,147</b>	<b>3,986</b>	<b>4,549</b>	<b>3,878</b>	<b>2,976</b>	<b>1,225</b>	<b>1,253</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



**Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2016**

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	225	48	53	17	7	0	67	19	14
ALLEGHENY	2,830	376	70	20	75	3	2,281	0	5
ARMSTRONG	94	18	31	13	7	0	18	3	4
BEAVER	331	62	73	8	8	0	129	40	11
BEDFORD	36	8	7	0	3	0	4	14	0
BERKS	717	113	84	29	28	1	449	1	12
BLAIR	308	53	34	68	6	0	114	33	0
BRADFORD	120	22	47	1	5	0	37	2	6
BUCKS	701	179	136	44	55	0	241	22	24
BUTLER	227	76	64	16	11	1	39	12	8
CAMBRIA	235	38	33	19	13	1	125	1	5
CAMERON	4	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
CARBON	103	21	27	4	6	0	45	0	0
CENTRE	113	35	29	1	3	0	33	10	2
CHESTER	590	84	20	6	2	0	31	424	23
CLARION	51	12	15	2	1	0	7	13	1
CLEARFIELD	48	14	16	6	0	0	10	2	0
CLINTON	88	20	32	8	4	0	15	7	2
COLUMBIA	64	12	11	7	6	1	26	0	1
CRAWFORD	117	18	22	10	3	0	26	33	5
CUMBERLAND	513	144	103	25	24	0	105	112	0
DAUPHIN	802	152	85	25	42	0	457	39	2
DELAWARE	1,227	192	125	46	60	1	669	117	17
ELK	41	6	11	1	9	0	10	2	2
ERIE	547	69	77	33	40	0	310	8	10
FAYETTE	362	45	39	16	5	0	245	10	2
FOREST	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	417	92	79	18	16	1	152	24	35
FULTON	26	6	10	1	1	0	6	2	0
GREENE	28	7	10	1	4	0	2	4	0
HUNTINGDON	48	9	19	3	2	0	13	2	0
INDIANA	136	35	28	13	7	0	29	15	9
JEFFERSON	43	12	18	0	1	0	10	1	1
JUNIATA	18	1	6	1	1	0	9	0	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS								
	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	327	56	56	9	13	0	189	4	0
LANCASTER	781	166	123	25	36	2	374	3	52
LAWRENCE	173	18	34	19	12	0	67	22	1
LEBANON	272	41	68	13	6	0	138	2	4
LEHIGH	898	113	104	68	55	2	465	8	83
LUZERNE	520	73	70	45	21	1	229	19	62
LYCOMING	294	43	31	19	21	0	173	7	0
MCKEAN	96	7	17	3	1	0	10	32	26
MERCER	211	30	28	32	6	1	96	4	14
MIFFLIN	88	19	25	1	4	1	37	1	0
MONROE	247	66	54	8	9	0	108	2	0
MONTGOMERY	1,010	250	192	45	33	0	341	41	108
MONTOUR	14	2	3	0	2	0	7	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	618	142	21	371	11	1	2	70	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	60	43	17	11	0	74	10	7
PERRY	58	13	12	3	2	0	15	13	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	171	31	19	130	4	2,160	75	147
PIKE	76	13	14	2	3	0	27	5	12
POTTER	26	7	16	1	1	0	1	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	213	35	36	5	11	0	85	8	33
SNYDER	74	24	24	1	1	0	13	8	3
SOMERSET	77	15	10	7	2	0	20	9	14
SULLIVAN	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	8	6	4	2	0	5	3	2
TIOGA	61	13	14	0	5	0	18	11	0
UNION	59	8	15	3	7	0	24	1	1
VENANGO	222	56	31	24	3	0	61	13	34
WARREN	58	10	14	2	3	1	28	0	0
WASHINGTON	310	49	66	10	17	0	105	13	50
WAYNE	59	12	11	2	5	0	25	2	2
WESTMORELAND	524	90	18	8	4	0	404	0	0
WYOMING	47	8	15	5	5	1	8	1	4
YORK	1,397	173	182	48	66	2	542	39	345
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>3,770</b>	<b>2,800</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>11,571</b>	<b>1,398</b>	<b>1,205</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



**Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	225	47	73	22	14	11	20	3	21	14
ALLEGHENY	2,830	352	1,811	252	281	22	65	20	26	1
ARMSTRONG	94	17	31	10	12	1	15	1	3	4
BEAVER	331	60	143	34	25	6	13	0	39	11
BEDFORD	36	8	9	2	2	3	3	2	7	0
BERKS	717	134	380	54	36	17	39	7	49	1
BLAIR	308	48	178	41	11	0	6	1	23	0
BRADFORD	120	19	48	6	11	5	11	2	12	6
BUCKS	701	182	243	63	65	23	56	1	44	24
BUTLER	227	62	97	15	15	6	9	1	15	7
CAMBRIA	235	51	105	28	12	5	15	2	14	3
CAMERON	4	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CARBON	103	23	45	10	5	2	7	1	10	0
CENTRE	113	37	38	18	4	1	9	0	4	2
CHESTER	590	108	296	85	24	5	10	2	37	23
CLARION	51	12	25	2	4	0	1	1	5	1
CLEARFIELD	48	14	12	6	7	1	5	0	3	0
CLINTON	88	18	27	9	6	7	9	4	6	2
COLUMBIA	64	13	19	6	4	4	11	1	5	1
CRAWFORD	117	17	32	13	5	3	7	0	35	5
CUMBERLAND	513	149	177	50	28	16	61	3	29	0
DAUPHIN	802	159	377	57	52	19	78	5	53	2
DELAWARE	1,227	201	616	95	85	20	89	17	87	17
ELK	41	7	18	9	2	1	0	0	2	2
ERIE	547	81	278	52	37	8	31	0	50	10
FAYETTE	362	46	207	40	17	2	14	0	34	2
FOREST	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	417	89	147	24	2	8	47	6	59	35
FULTON	26	6	6	7	2	1	2	0	2	0
GREENE	28	7	9	3	0	1	5	3	0	0
HUNTINGDON	48	8	16	9	2	2	4	0	7	0
INDIANA	136	33	49	10	5	2	6	6	16	9
JEFFERSON	43	11	16	4	4	0	3	0	4	1
JUNIATA	18	1	10	1	5	0	0	1	0	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/STEP-MOTHER	MOTHER/STEP-FATHER	FOSTER FAMILY	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	327	56	186	40	20	3	12	5	5	0
LANCASTER	781	167	296	62	50	21	78	6	50	51
LAWRENCE	173	18	78	21	13	6	10	3	23	1
LEBANON	272	40	130	31	20	5	29	2	11	4
LEHIGH	898	130	450	83	56	16	74	5	25	59
LUZERNE	520	159	198	31	11	6	29	5	21	60
LYCOMING	294	49	145	35	31	5	15	5	9	0
MCKEAN	96	6	23	13	5	2	2	3	16	26
MERCER	211	34	80	23	11	6	24	0	19	14
MIFFLIN	88	19	30	8	9	3	15	0	4	0
MONROE	247	65	89	23	22	2	17	16	13	0
MONTGOMERY	1,010	190	476	79	54	19	52	10	22	108
MONTOUR	14	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	7	0
NORTHAMPTON	618	142	306	58	31	4	18	6	53	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	50	75	16	14	3	27	13	17	7
PERRY	58	13	17	7	4	1	8	1	7	0
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	206	1,661	199	266	13	69	39	137	147
PIKE	76	13	23	4	7	3	6	1	7	12
POTTER	26	5	7	3	4	2	2	0	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	213	39	90	10	17	3	13	0	8	33
SNYDER	74	21	22	8	6	2	6	1	8	0
SOMERSET	77	13	37	8	3	1	2	0	5	8
SULLIVAN	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	7	6	3	2	0	4	0	6	2
TIOGA	61	17	21	3	8	1	7	2	2	0
UNION	59	9	25	5	7	2	5	3	3	0
VENANGO	222	44	75	17	11	4	29	2	6	34
WARREN	58	8	27	4	4	2	11	1	1	0
WASHINGTON	310	57	99	27	9	4	22	0	42	50
WAYNE	59	15	24	10	1	2	2	0	3	2
WESTMORELAND	524	100	266	60	16	1	4	3	74	0
WYOMING	47	9	16	6	3	0	6	0	5	2
YORK	1,397	185	480	78	99	24	96	29	62	344
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>3,909</b>	<b>11,003</b>	<b>2,013</b>	<b>1,599</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>1,346</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>1,147</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



**Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WHITE NON-HISPANIC	BLACK NON-HISPANIC	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNKNOWN
ADAMS	225	140	27	28	30
ALLEGHENY	2,830	853	1,871	25	81
ARMSTRONG	94	88	2	0	4
BEAVER	331	187	118	9	17
BEDFORD	36	33	2	1	0
BERKS	717	168	129	386	34
BLAIR	308	259	34	7	8
BRADFORD	120	116	3	1	0
BUCKS	701	435	169	74	23
BUTLER	227	181	33	7	6
CAMBRIA	235	158	47	1	29
CAMERON	4	3	0	1	0
CARBON	103	74	15	10	4
CENTRE	113	105	5	2	1
CHESTER	590	268	168	118	36
CLARION	51	45	0	1	5
CLEARFIELD	48	47	1	0	0
CLINTON	88	85	2	0	1
COLUMBIA	64	49	11	4	0
CRAWFORD	117	92	13	3	9
CUMBERLAND	513	351	73	52	37
DAUPHIN	802	299	398	97	8
DELAWARE	1,227	337	804	40	46
ELK	41	24	2	0	15
ERIE	547	217	233	68	29
FAYETTE	362	243	88	5	26
FOREST	2	2	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	417	260	85	44	28
FULTON	26	24	1	0	1
GREENE	28	26	0	2	0
HUNTINGDON	48	40	6	0	2
INDIANA	136	94	18	5	19
JEFFERSON	43	42	0	0	1
JUNIATA	18	17	1	0	0

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



## Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WHITE NON-HISPANIC	BLACK NON-HISPANIC	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNKNOWN
LACKAWANNA	327	198	76	50	3
LANCASTER	781	360	135	232	54
LAWRENCE	173	93	54	11	15
LEBANON	272	128	18	120	6
LEHIGH	898	219	224	432	23
LUZERNE	520	296	104	105	15
LYCOMING	294	184	96	2	12
MCKEAN	96	89	3	0	4
MERCER	211	137	63	3	8
MIFFLIN	88	78	2	2	6
MONROE	247	99	92	40	16
MONTGOMERY	1,010	382	541	53	34
MONTOUR	14	8	4	1	1
NORTHAMPTON	618	288	192	128	10
NORTHUMBERLAND	222	192	21	8	1
PERRY	58	54	3	0	1
PHILADELPHIA	2,737	169	2,000	447	121
PIKE	76	46	14	14	2
POTTER	26	25	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	213	163	28	21	1
SNYDER	74	69	0	2	3
SOMERSET	77	70	5	1	1
SULLIVAN	3	3	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	30	29	0	1	0
TIOGA	61	52	0	9	0
UNION	59	38	15	6	0
VENANGO	222	189	26	6	1
WARREN	58	57	1	0	0
WASHINGTON	310	206	79	3	22
WAYNE	59	52	5	2	0
WESTMORELAND	524	361	116	9	38
WYOMING	47	43	1	3	0
YORK	1,397	637	454	215	91
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>10,376</b>	<b>8,731</b>	<b>2,917</b>	<b>990</b>
<b>% OF TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>

NOTE: This data does not include dispositions resulting from disposition review and placement review hearings.



**Secure Detention Admissions by Race and Ethnicity, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE NON- HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	BLACK NON- HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	OTHER/ UNKNOWN	% OF TOTAL
ADAMS	3	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
ALLEGHENY	1,581	198	12.5%	1,270	80.3%	20	1.3%	93	5.9%
ARMSTRONG	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	28	8	28.6%	18	64.3%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	8	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
BERKS	227	36	15.9%	48	21.1%	140	61.7%	3	1.3%
BLAIR	19	14	73.7%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	668	378	56.6%	208	31.1%	80	12.0%	2	0.3%
BUTLER	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	8	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	12	8	66.7%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	29	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	205	73	35.6%	69	33.7%	59	28.8%	4	2.0%
CLARION	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	31	27	87.1%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	25	25	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	42	17	40.5%	12	28.6%	11	26.2%	2	4.8%
DAUPHIN	242	26	10.7%	188	77.7%	23	9.5%	5	2.1%
DELAWARE	706	131	18.6%	524	74.2%	24	3.4%	27	3.8%
ELK	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	142	38	26.8%	82	57.7%	8	5.6%	14	9.9%
FAYETTE	28	13	46.4%	13	46.4%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%
FOREST	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	27	13	48.1%	7	25.9%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%
FULTON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	12	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	13	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	8	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%



## Secure Detention Admissions by Race and Ethnicity, 2016

*continued*

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE NON- HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	BLACK NON- HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	OTHER/ UNKNOWN	% OF TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	156	83	53.2%	39	25.0%	34	21.8%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	152	42	27.6%	45	29.6%	63	41.4%	2	1.3%
LAWRENCE	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	62	22	35.5%	6	9.7%	34	54.8%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	151	27	17.9%	48	31.8%	75	49.7%	1	0.7%
LUZERNE	51	23	45.1%	16	31.4%	12	23.5%	0	0.0%
LYCOMING	29	11	37.9%	18	62.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	48	45	93.8%	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%
MONROE	77	28	36.4%	39	50.6%	9	11.7%	1	1.3%
MONTGOMERY	540	194	35.9%	245	45.4%	38	7.0%	63	11.7%
MONTOUR	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	177	61	34.5%	63	35.6%	52	29.4%	1	0.6%
NORTHUMBERLAND	33	24	72.7%	4	12.1%	5	15.2%	0	0.0%
PERRY	12	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	2,515	141	5.6%	1,796	71.4%	449	17.9%	129	5.1%
PIKE	19	9	47.4%	4	21.1%	6	31.6%	0	0.0%
POTTER	7	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	22	13	59.1%	5	22.7%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%
SNYDER	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	2	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
TIOGA	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	3	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	131	63	48.1%	61	46.6%	4	3.1%	3	2.3%
WAYNE	13	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	131	73	55.7%	46	35.1%	4	3.1%	8	6.1%
WYOMING	3	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
YORK	152	37	24.3%	83	54.6%	27	17.8%	5	3.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8,600</b>	<b>2,029</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>5,001</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>4.3%</b>



**Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2016**

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
ADAMS	382	382	225	129	28	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	7,351	7,351	2,830	3,379	1,142	0	0	0	0
ARMSTRONG	127	127	94	23	10	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	474	417	331	39	47	57	57	0	0
BEDFORD	58	58	36	11	11	0	0	0	0
BERKS	1,346	1,346	717	415	214	0	0	0	0
BLAIR	440	440	308	95	37	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	138	137	120	8	9	1	1	0	0
BUCKS	1,608	1,608	701	614	293	0	0	0	0
BUTLER	518	518	227	206	85	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	701	498	235	217	46	203	135	65	3
CAMERON	6	6	4	0	2	0	0	0	0
CARBON	176	176	103	45	28	0	0	0	0
CENTRE	153	153	113	27	13	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	926	926	590	182	154	0	0	0	0
CLARION	120	120	51	59	10	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	77	77	48	11	18	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	154	154	88	55	11	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	128	128	64	52	12	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	217	217	117	78	22	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	863	863	513	313	37	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	1,686	1,686	802	713	171	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	4,032	4,032	1,227	2,400	405	0	0	0	0
ELK	44	44	41	0	3	0	0	0	0
ERIE	964	964	547	130	287	0	0	0	0
FAYETTE	409	409	362	32	15	0	0	0	0
FOREST	6	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	815	815	417	307	91	0	0	0	0
FULTON	55	55	26	15	14	0	0	0	0
GREENE	33	33	28	0	5	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	76	76	48	6	22	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	160	160	136	20	4	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	69	69	43	10	16	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	48	48	18	15	15	0	0	0	0



## Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2016

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	DELINQUENCY CASES				DEPENDENCY CASES			
		SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
LACKAWANNA	682	682	327	297	58	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	1,262	1,262	781	346	135	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	225	225	173	39	13	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	376	376	272	43	61	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	1,468	1,468	898	400	170	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	975	975	520	344	111	0	0	0	0
LYCOMING	647	647	294	298	55	0	0	0	0
MCKEAN	197	197	96	96	5	0	0	0	0
MERCER	381	381	211	96	74	0	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	173	173	88	61	24	0	0	0	0
MONROE	690	690	247	243	200	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2,323	2,321	1,010	948	363	2	2	0	0
MONTOUR	25	25	14	4	7	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	867	867	618	164	85	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	257	256	222	11	23	1	1	0	0
PERRY	85	85	58	10	17	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	18,954	18,952	2,737	11,148	5,067	2	2	0	0
PIKE	160	160	76	55	29	0	0	0	0
POTTER	54	54	26	15	13	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	309	309	213	55	41	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	139	119	74	27	18	20	20	0	0
SOMERSET	95	95	77	14	4	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	9	9	3	4	2	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	47	47	30	10	7	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	108	108	61	36	11	0	0	0	0
UNION	74	74	59	10	5	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	322	322	222	74	26	0	0	0	0
WARREN	122	122	58	25	39	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	718	718	310	228	180	0	0	0	0
WAYNE	124	124	59	52	13	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	1,329	1,268	524	562	182	61	60	1	0
WYOMING	89	89	47	34	8	0	0	0	0
YORK	2,507	2,507	1,397	859	251	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>60,153</b>	<b>59,806</b>	<b>23,014</b>	<b>26,216</b>	<b>10,576</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>99.4%</b>				<b>0.6%</b>			



**pennsylvania**  
JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION